City of Red Bluff

City Council

Regular Meeting Agenda

555 Washington Street
Red Bluff, CA 96080
(530) 527-2605

Thursday, July 9, 2026 | **5:30 PM** | **Council Chambers**

SPECIAL MEETING

THIS HYBRID MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED VIA TELECONFERENCE AND IN-PERSON

Live audio is available on the City's website at:

https://cityofredbluff.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx

Please click this URL to join an interactive Zoom Meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83658645833?pwd=Q0dZdkpuTks4M2hES2tjRWNNclF0dz09

Passcode: 388955

Or join by phone: Dial 1 669 900 6833 or +1 669 444 9171
Webinar ID: 836 5864 5833
Passcode: 388955

The City of Red Bluff welcomes you to their Council meetings, which are regularly scheduled for the first and third Tuesdays of each month. Your participation and interest are encouraged and appreciated. Meeting notices are available in appropriate alternative formats, upon request of a person with a disability. If accommodations are needed for individuals with disabilities, please contact the Deputy City Clerk’s office at (530) 527-2605 at least 72 hours prior to the day of the meeting.

CALL TO ORDER

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ANNOUNCEMENTS OF AGENDA CORRECTIONS

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DECLARATION

SPECIAL AGENDA

1. Certify the Results of the June 2026 Primary Election **18-2026**

Recommended Action:

City Council adopts Resolution No.18-2026 certifying the results of the June 2026 Primary Election. Certify the results of Measure S, the Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative.

2. A Resolution of the City of Red Bluff Authorizing the City Manager to Execute Agreements with the State Board of Equalization (BOE) for Implementation of a Local Transactions and Use Tax **16-2026**

Recommended Action:

Approve Resolution No.16-2026 authorizing the City Manager to execute the agreements with the BOE for implementation of a local transactions and use tax.

3. A Resolution Authorizing Examination of Transactions & Use Tax Records, & Agreement with Hinderliter, De Llamas, & Associates (HdL) **17-2026**

Recommended Action:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 17-2026 authorizing HdL to examine transactions and use tax records, and
2. Authorize the City Manager to sign the agreement for transactions tax audits & information services with HdL.

4. Creation of Fund 38 Street SMART Citizen Initiative **0235**

Recommended Action:

1) Approval of the creation of Fund 38 Street SMART Citizen Initiative.

2) Direct the City to account for all revenues and expenditures of the Street SMARTS Citizen Initiative, Measure S, within Fund 38.

5. South Jackson Lift Station Change Order and Supplemental Appropriation **0237**

Recommended Action:

That the City Council authorize and approve as follows:

1. Authorize Change Order #1, to Task Order No. 3 in the amount of **$31,400.**

2. Authorize a project specific supplemental appropriation for Fund 47-44-760220-0100 Wastewater Collection Maintenance - Improve Other Than Buildings in the amount of **$31,400.**

ADJOURNMENT

This meeting is adjourned until the next regularly scheduled meeting. Regular City Council meetings are scheduled on the first and third Tuesdays of the month beginning at 5:30 p.m. in the City Council Chambers at 555 Washington Street, Red Bluff California. Agenda packets, minutes and audio are available on the City's website at https://cityofredbluff.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx. Contact the Deputy City Clerk's office for assistance at (530) 527-2605 x 3057.

CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION DISCLOSURE PURSUANT TO THE LEVINE ACT (GC Section §84308)

Members of the City Council are disqualified and not able to participate in any agenda item involving contracts (other than competitively bid, labor, or personal employment contracts), franchises, discretionary land use permits and other entitlements if the Councilmember received more than $500 in campaign contributions from the applicant or contractor, an agent of the applicant or contractor, or any financially interested participant who actively supports or opposes the City's decision on the agenda item since January 1, 2023. Members of the City Council who have received, and applicants, contractors or their agents who have made, campaign contributions totaling more than $500 to a Councilmember since January 1, 2023, are required to disclose that fact for the official record of the subject proceeding. Disclosures must include the amount of the campaign contribution and identify the recipient Councilmember and may be made either in writing to the City Clerk's Department before the subject hearing or by verbal disclosure at the time of the hearing.

555 Washington Street
Red Bluff, CA 96080
(530) 527-2605

City Council

Staff Report

File #: 18-2026 **Agenda Date:** 7/9/2026 **Agenda #:** 1.

TO: **Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council**

FROM: **Tom Westbrook**

SUBJECT:
Certify the Results of the June 2026 Primary Election

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
City Council adopts Resolution No.18-2026 certifying the results of the June 2026 Primary Election. Certify the results of Measure S, the Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative.

SUMMARY:
The June Primary Election was held on June 2, 2026. The Red Bluff City Council consolidated its election with Tehama County and placed Measure S (Street Safety Maintenance and Road Tax Citizen Initiative) on the ballot, which was passed by the electorate with a 60.33% majority vote.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL ACTION:
At the January 20, 2026, Red Bluff City Council Meeting, the Council passed Resolution 1-2026 calling an election on a ballot measure (attached).

DISCUSSION:
The 2026 June Primary Election results must be certified by Tehama County's Clerk and Recorder by July 2, 2026. Following the County's certification, the City Council must certify the election results. Pursuant to Elections Code section 10263(b), the governing body is required to meet at its regular meeting location, either at the next regularly scheduled City Council meeting following presentation of the 28-day canvass of returns or at a special meeting called for that purpose, to declare the results of the election. Certification of the election results is attached.

CITY FISCAL IMPACT:
The City's Budget Committee added $26,500 to the budget to cover election costs.

ATTACHMENTS:
1. Calling and Consolidating the June Primary Election - 2026
2. Certification of Election Results

CITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 18-2026

A RESOLUTION OF THE RED BLUFF CITY COUNCIL CERTIFYING THE RESULTS OF THE JUNE 2026 PRIMARY ELECTION, PASSING MEASURE S, THE STREET SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND ROAD TAX, CITIZENS' INITIATIVE

WHEREAS, a consolidated general municipal election was held and conducted by the County Clerk of the County of Tehama, State of California, on Tuesday, June 2, 2026, as required by law; and

WHEREAS, notice of the election was duly and regularly given in the time, form and manner as provided by the law; that voting precincts were properly established; that election officers were appointed and that in all respects the election was held and conducted and the votes cast, received and canvassed and the returns made and declared in the time, form and manner as required by the relevant provisions of the Election Code of the State of California for the holding of consolidated elections in Cities; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Resolution No. 61-1984, adopted December 4, 1984, the County Clerk of the County of Tehama canvassed the returns of said election and has certified the results to this City Council; and

WHEREAS, the results are received and attached to Resolution No. 18-2026:

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED ON JULY 9, 2026, BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED BLUFF:

Section 1. There were six (6) voting precincts established for the purpose of holding the election, consisting of election precincts in the City of Red Bluff, as established for the holding of state and county elections.

Section 2. That consolidated election was held for the purpose of putting forth the following Measure of the City of Red Bluff as required by the laws relating to cities in the State of California; namely:

- **Measure S: Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative.**

Section 3. That the whole number of votes cast in said election in said City, including absent voter ballots, are contained in the attached, certified by the Tehama County Clerk / Registrar of Voters.

Section 4. That the name of the measure the people voted for and elected at said election of said City is as follows:

- **Street Safety Maintenance and Road Tax Citizen Initiative**

Section 5. The Deputy City Clerk shall enter on the records of the City Council of said City, a statement of the results of said election, showing:

1. The whole number of votes cast in the City.
2. The number of votes given at each precinct for the measure.

Section 6. That the Deputy City Clerk shall certify to the passage and adoption of this Resolution;

shall enter the same in the book of original Resolutions of said City; and the City Clerk shall make a minute of the passage and adoption thereof in the records of the proceedings of the City Council of said City, in the minutes of the meeting at which the same is passed and adopted.

Motion:
Second:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT OR NOT VOTING:

ATTEST:

____
Mayor's Signature

____
Deputy City Clerk

AGENDA REPORT

Meeting Date: January 20, 2026
Agenda Item # 11
City Manager Approval: *TW*

TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

FROM: Sophia Meyer, City Attorney

SUBJECT: A Resolution of the Red Bluff City Council (1) Calling an Election on a Ballot Measure to Ask the Voters of the City of Red Bluff, California, Whether to Pass a Street Repair and Safety Measure Special Tax; (2) Requesting the Board of Supervisors of Tehama County to Consolidate a Municipal Election on a Local Measure with Other Elections to be Held on the June 2, 2026, Consolidated Election Date; (3) Requesting the Tehama County Board of Supervisors to Permit the Tehama County Elections Official to Render Election Services to the City; and (4) Approving the Proposed Ordinance

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
Recommend adopting resolution 01-2026 to consolidate the municipal election on the Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative with other elections to be held on the June 2, 2026 consolidated election date and request the Tehama County Board of Supervisors permit the Tehama County Elections Official to render election services to the City.

SUMMARY:
If the Council approves the Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative Measure at the Council meeting on January 20, 2026, then this item requests that the Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative, which establishes a one percent retail transaction and use tax for a period of 12-years to be placed on the ballot for the June 2, 2026 consolidated election.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL ACTION:
The City Council requested consolidation of the City's Local Street Repair and Safety Measure at the August 6, 2024, City Council Meeting.

DISCUSSION:
This item requests that the proposed Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative be placed on the ballot of a consolidated election and that the Tehama County Board of Supervisors permit the County Elections Official to render election services to the City.

CITY FISCAL IMPACT:
Ballot measures generally cost around $5,000 to $8,000, depending on the materials.

ATTACHMENTS:

A. Endorsements Redacted

CITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 1-2026

A RESOLUTION OF THE RED BLUFF CITY COUNCIL (1) CALLING AN ELECTION ON A BALLOT MEASURE TO ASK THE VOTERS OF THE CITY OF RED BLUFF, CALIFORNIA, WHETHER TO PASS A STREET REPAIR AND SAFETY MEASURE SPECIAL TAX; (2) REQUESTING THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF TEHAMA COUNTY TO CONSOLIDATE A MUNICIPAL ELECTION ON A LOCAL MEASURE WITH OTHER ELECTIONS TO BE HELD ON THE JUNE 2, 2026, CONSOLIDATED ELECTION DATE; (3) REQUESTING THE TEHAMA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO PERMIT THE TEHAMA COUNTY ELECTIONS OFFICIAL TO RENDER ELECTION SERVICES TO THE CITY; AND (4) APPROVING THE PROPOSED ORDINANCE

THE CITY OF RED BLUFF CITY COUNCIL DOES HEREBY RESOLVE THAT:

WHEREAS, the City has resolved to place the Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative Measure ____ on the next primary election; and

WHEREAS, the City's next election will be held on June 2, 2026, and the City wishes to submit to the voters Measure _____.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Red Bluff as follows:

Section 1. Pursuant to California Government Code Section 36508 and Resolution No. 1-2026, the City Council has called for a primary election to be held on June 2, 2026, and orders that the following question be submitted to the voters at such election:

Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative. Shall the measure providing Red Bluff funding to fix potholes; maintain and repair deteriorating local streets and roads; reduce expensive future repairs; improve safety for drivers, pedestrians, disabled residents, including safe routes to children's school; and make the City eligible for state/ federal matching funds, by establishing a locally controlled 1-cent sales tax providing approximately $5,100,000 annually for 12-years; requiring independent audits and public disclosure, be adopted?	Yes No

Section 2. That the proposed measure submitted to the voters shall read:

Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative. Shall the measure providing Red Bluff funding to fix potholes; maintain and repair deteriorating local streets and roads; reduce expensive future repairs; improve safety for drivers, pedestrians, disabled residents, including safe routes to children's school; and make the City eligible for state/ federal matching funds, by establishing a locally controlled 1-cent sales tax providing approximately $5,100,000 annually for 12-years; requiring independent audits and public disclosure, be adopted?

Section 3. That the vote requirement for the measure to pass is 50% plus 1 of the votes cast.

Section 4. That the Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure, which shall establish and implement a retail transaction and use tax of one cent for a period of 12 years is expected to raise $5,100,000.

Section 5. Pursuant to Elections Code Sections 10002 and 10403 and other applicable authority, the City Council hereby requests the Tehama County Board of Supervisors and the Tehama County Elections Official to: (a) consolidate the election on this City Measure with, and place it upon the same ballot as that provided for, the primary election scheduled for Tuesday, June 2, 2026; and (b) perform election services relating to the vote on the City proposition in accordance with City of Red Bluff Resolution No. 1-2026 requesting election services on behalf of the City for the June 2, 2026, election, which resolution was adopted by the City Council on January 20, 2026 and is being forwarded to the County.

Section 6. The City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed forthwith to file certified copies of this resolution with the Tehama County Board of Supervisors and the Tehama County Clerk and Registrar of Voters.

Section 7. That pursuant to Elections Code Section 9280, the City Council hereby directs the City Clerk to transmit a copy of the Ordinance to the City Attorney to prepare an impartial analysis of the Ordinance, which shall not exceed 500 words in length.

Section 8. That pursuant to Elections Code Section 9282, the Initiative Proponents may file a written argument in favor of the Ordinance in accordance with Article 4, Chapter 3, Division 9 of the Elections Code and may change the argument as allowed by law.

Section 9. That pursuant to Elections Code Section 9287, if more than one argument for or more than one argument against the Ordinance is submitted to the City Clerk within the time prescribed by law, she shall select one of the arguments in favor and one of the arguments against the Ordinance for printing and distribution to the voters, giving preference in such selection in the following order:

1. The City Council, or a member or members of the City Council authorized by the City Council.

2. Bona fide associations of citizens.

3. Individual voters who are eligible to vote on the Ordinance.

Section10. That pursuant to Elections Code Section 9285, when the City Clerk has selected the arguments for and against the Ordinance which will be printed and distributed to the voters, the City Clerk shall send copies of the argument in favor of the Ordinance to the author or authors of the argument against, and copies of the argument against the Ordinance to the author or authors of the argument in favor. The author or authors may submit a rebuttal argument to the direct argument not exceeding 250 words. Rebuttal arguments shall be printed in the same manner as the direct arguments and shall immediately follow the direct argument which it seeks to rebut. All previous resolutions providing for the filing of rebuttal arguments for City measures are repealed, and this Section shall only apply to the election on the Ordinance to be held on June 2, 2026.

Section 11. In accordance with Section 10002 of the Elections Code, the Board of Supervisors of Tehama County is hereby requested to consent to the Tehama County Clerk and Registrar of Voters rendering election services to the City as may be requested by the City Clerk of said City, the County of Tehama to be reimbursed in full for such services as are performed. The Board of Supervisors of Tehama County is also requested to consent and agree to the consolidation of the primary election with any other election occurring on June 2, 2026, and the City hereby consents to any such consolidation.

Section 12. The election services which the City requests of the Tehama County Clerk and Registrar of Voters, or such other official as may be appropriate to perform, and which such officer is hereby authorized and directed to perform if the said Board of Supervisors consents, include: the preparation, printing and mailing of sample ballots and polling place cards, the establishment or appointment of precincts, polling places, and election officers, opening and closing of polling places, and making such publications as are required by law in connection therewith; the furnishing of ballots, voting booths and other necessary supplies or materials for polling places, the canvassing of the returns of the election and the furnishing of the results of such canvassing to the City Clerk, and the performance of such other election services as may be requested by said City Clerk.

Section 13. The polls for the election shall be open at seven o’clock a.m. of the day of the election and shall remain open continuously from that time until eight o'clock p.m. of the same day when the polls shall be closed, pursuant to California Elections Code Section 10242 and Section 14212, except as provided in California Elections Code Section 14401 or any other provision of law.

Section 14. All persons qualified to vote at municipal elections in the City on the day of the election herein provided for shall be qualified to vote on the Ordinance hereby submitted at the primary election.

Section 15. In all particulars not recited in this Resolution, the election shall be held and conducted as provided by law for holding primary election in the City.

Section 16. Notice of the time and place of holding the primary election is given and the City Clerk is authorized, instructed and directed to give further or additional notice of the election, in time, form and manner as required by law.

Section 17. The City Clerk shall receive the canvass as it pertains to the primary election, and shall certify the results to this City Council, as required by law.

Section 18. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or provision of this Resolution or the application thereof to any person or circumstances is held invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity of any other provision or applications, and to this end the provisions of this Resolution are declared to be severable. The City Council hereby declares that it would have passed this Resolution and each section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or provision thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses, phrases or provisions thereof be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

Section 19. Pursuant to California Elections Code section 9295, this Resolution will be available for public examination for no fewer than ten (10) calendar days prior to being submitted for printing in the sample ballot. The examination period will end on the day that is seventy-five (75) days prior to the date set for the election.

Section 20. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption by a majority vote of all of the members of the City Council.

Section 21. The City Clerk of the City of Red Bluff is hereby directed to certify to the passage and adoption of this Resolution and to file a certified copy of this Resolution with the Board of Supervisors of Tehama County and the Registrar of Voters of Tehama County at least eighty-eight (88) days before the date of the election.

Section 22. The City Clerk and other City officers and employees are hereby authorized and directed to take all other actions that are necessary to have the City's proposition properly submitted to the City voters at the June 2, 2026, election.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the City Council of the City of Red Bluff on this 20th day of January 2026, by the following votes:

RESULT:	**ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]**
MOVER:	Patrick Hurton, Councilmember
SECONDER:	Kris Deiters, Councilmember
AYES:	Cassie Pope, Patrick Hurton, Kris Deiters, Mark Clement
EXCUSED:	Jr Gonzales

Mayor

ATTEST:

Deputy City Clerk

Outlook

'Street SMARTS' Endorsement

DCC

From Tehama County Democratic Central Committee <tehamacountydem[redacted]
Date Thu 11/20/2025 7:53 PM
To Daniele Eyestone <[redacted]

Dear Danielle,

The Tehama County Democratic Central Committee is proud to add its name to your list of endorsements for the 'Street SMARTS' citizen initiative.

Thank you and your team for all your efforts to improve the lives of Red Bluff residents and also the entire county since Tehama residents outside of Red Bluff also visit the city for a myriad of reasons. Better roads will positively affect everyone for the better.

Best.

Kathy Cole
Chair, Tehama County Democratic Central Committee
BlueSky: https://bsky.app/profile/tehamademocrats.bsky.social
Email: tehamacountydem[redacted]
Address: PO Box[redacted]Red Bluff, CA 96080

Tehama County
DEMOCRATS

tehamacountydem[redacted]

Tehama County Republican Central Committee

RCC

December 17, 2025

Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of Red Bluff

Re: Endorsement of the Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council,

The Republican Central Committee writes to express our support for the Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure, a citizen-led initiative proposed for placement on the June 2026 ballot.

The condition of Red Bluff's streets is a fundamental quality-of-life and public safety issue. According to the City's 2023 Pavement Condition Index (PCI) survey, many local roadways continue to deteriorate. Delaying maintenance further will only increase long-term repair costs and place additional strain on taxpayers, local businesses, and emergency response services.

We appreciate that this proposal includes strong accountability measures, including independent audits, a citizens 'oversight committee, and a transparent plan for how funds will be spent. These safeguards are critical to ensuring taxpayer dollars are used efficiently and solely for their intended purposes.

Maintaining reliable infrastructure is a core responsibility of local government and essential to economic stability and public safety. For these reasons, the Republican Central Committee respectfully urges the Mayor and City Council to support this measure and place it before voters in June 2026.

Sincerely,

Terrie Lynn Runolfson
Chairperson

DH/Secretary
Tehama County
Republican Central Committee

Blues
FOR THE POOL
• A NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATION •
1500 SOUTH JACKSON STREET
RED BLUFF, CA 96080
[redacted]

Blues for the Pool

July 25, 2025

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of Red Bluff,

RE: Endorsement of the Red Bluff Street SMARTs Initiative

Dear Mayor and Members of the City Council,

On behalf of Blues for the Pool, we are pleased to express our strong support for the Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure, a citizen-led initiative proposed for placement on the June 2026 ballot.

This measure represents a responsible and much-needed response to the City's infrastructure challenges identified in the 2023 Pavement Condition Index (PCI) survey. Without decisive action, the continued deterioration of Red Bluff's streets poses increasing risks to residents, local businesses, and critical emergency access routes.

The proposed one-cent sales tax, limited to a twelve-year duration, will provide a dedicated and locally controlled funding source to address long-overdue street repairs, improve pedestrian safety through ADA upgrades, and fund other essential transportation-related improvements throughout the community. We appreciate that this measure includes strong accountability provisions and a clear and transparent expenditure plan to ensure funds are used as promised.

As a local nonprofit organization committed to enhancing the quality of life in Red Bluff, Blues for the Pool believes this measure is an investment in public safety, economic vitality, and long-term community sustainability. Well-maintained streets benefit all who live, work, and visit our city.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and City Council to support this citizen-led effort and help advance the Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure to the June 2026 ballot.

Thank you for your leadership and continued commitment to the future of our community.

Sincerely,

Robert Jackson

Robert Jackson
President

Robert Thayer
2025 President

Steve Mandolfo
Past President

TEHAMA COUNTY
ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®
EST. 1953

Stephanie Dodero
President -Elect

Barbara Dancel
Secretary/Treasurer

TCAOR

Sylvia Taylor
Association Executive
[redacted]

10/24/2025

Subject: Letter of Endorsement – Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure

Dear Mayor and City Council,

On behalf of the Tehama County Association of REALTORS® (TCAOR), we are writing to formally express our support for the Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure—an essential citizen-led initiative designed to invest in the safety, reliability, and future of Red Bluff's transportation infrastructure.

As REALTORS®, we understand the critical role that well-maintained streets and safe neighborhoods play in the overall livability and property values of a community. The proposed one-cent sales tax for a twelve-year period is a sensible and locally controlled solution to the ongoing decline in Red Bluff's pavement conditions, as clearly identified by the 2023 Pavement Condition Index (PCI) survey. Without action, the City risks further deterioration of streets, ultimately impacting residents, businesses, and emergency access.

This initiative provides a responsible funding mechanism to address long-overdue repairs, ADA upgrades, and other transportation-related improvements, while including strong taxpayer safeguards such as independent audits, a citizens' oversight committee, and a transparent expenditure plan.

We believe this measure reflects community-driven priorities and positions the City of Red Bluff to compete more effectively for state and federal matching funds. For these reasons, TCAOR is proud to endorse the Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure and urges its adoption on the June 2026 ballot.

Thank you for your continued service to our community. Should you have any questions, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Sincerely,

Robert Thayer

Robert Thayer
2025 President
Tehama County Association of REALTORS®

Outlook

Tehama Tomorrow

Re: Tehama Tomorrow Logo

From Kenn Rieders <[redacted]>
Date Thu 12/18/2025 11:05 AM
To deye[redacted]

Hello Streets Committee,
The Tehama Tomorrow Board unanimously endorses the efforts of the Citizens Initiative to repair Red Bluff Streets via a Sales Tax.
Best Regards,
Tehama Tomorrow Board Members

Sent from AT&T Yahoo Mail on Android

Creating a
TEHAMA TOMORROW
Better Future

Outlook

Police

RE: Request for POA Endorsement – Street Smarts Citizen Initiative, Need by January 13 POA

From Sean Baxter <[redacted]
Date Mon 12/29/2025 4:08 PM
To Daniele Eyestone [redacted]

Daniele,

I am sorry for the delay in responding, I have been out of the office. The POA voted after your presentation and we are in support. I thought that had been past on to you, I am sorry it was not.

The Red Bluff Police Officer Association supports the Street Smarts Citizen Initiative.

If you need anything more, please let me know

Baxter

From: Daniele Eyestone <[redacted]
Sent: Monday, December 29, 2025 13:17
To: Sean Baxter <[redacted]>
Subject: Request for POA Endorsement – Street Smarts Citizen Initiative, Need by January 13

Hi Sean,

I hope you're doing well. We are in the final stages of the Street Smarts Citizen Initiative before it is considered by City Council at their January meeting. While we have strong community support demonstrated through collected signatures, support from the Police Officers Association would be especially meaningful at this stage, and time is of the essence (needed by January 13).

An endorsement from the Association would allow us to inform City Council that the Police Officers Association supports this initiative and, if approved by Council, include that endorsement in voter outreach materials. As you know, City Council's action in January is the final step before the measure can be placed before voters. Their options include submitting the initiative to the voters, ordering an impact report, placing a competing initiative on the ballot, or pursuing other procedural actions.

Given the importance of public safety and reliable road conditions, we believe this initiative aligns well with the interests of the officers you represent. I wanted to ask whether this is something that could be brought before the Association for consideration, or if the Association prefers not to take a position on this initiative.

Either way, I appreciate your time and consideration, and I'm happy to provide any additional information that may be helpful.

Thank you,

Outlook

Fire

FFA

Re: Wednesday's Meeting

From Matt Petersen <[redacted]>
Date Thu 1/8/2026 9:07 AM
To Daniele Eyestone [redacted]

Daniele,

I am pleased to inform you the Red Bluff Firefighter Association will support your road tax initiative.

Thank you,
Matthew Petersen
Captain
Red Bluff Fire Dep't
555 Washington St. Red Bluff, CA. 96080

From: Daniele Eyestone [redacted]
Sent: Sunday, January 4, 2026 6:54 PM
To: Matt Petersen [redacted]
Subject: Wednesday's Meeting

Hi Matt -

I was just checking in to see what time Wednesday's meeting was and where?
Should we bring hard copies or will you there be access if we bring a flash drive with the presentation on it?

Thank you kinldy,

Daniele Eyestone, REALTOR ®

RED BLUFF UNION ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT

1755 Airport Blvd. ~ Red Bluff, CA 96080 ~ Bus: (530) 527-7200 ~ Fax: (530) 527-9308
www.rbuesd.org

Superintendent
Cliff Curry

Assistant Superintendent
Jennie Bachmeyer

01/13/2026

RESOLUTION #25-26-14

Subject: Letter of Endorsement - Red Bluff Street SMARTs Initiative

Dear Mayor and Members of the City Council,

On behalf of the Red Bluff Union Elementary School District (RBUESD) and its Board of Trustees, we are pleased to express our strong support for the Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure, a citizen-led initiative proposed for placement on the June 2026 ballot.

The Red Bluff Union Elementary School District is committed to ensuring the safety, accessibility, and well-being of its students, families, staff, and the broader community it serves. Safe and well-maintained streets, sidewalks, and transportation infrastructure are essential to student safety, reliable access to schools, effective emergency response, and overall community quality of life.

The City of Red Bluff's proposed Street Smarts Initiative would establish a local special tax on retail transactions within the city to provide a dedicated funding source for street maintenance, repairs, and related infrastructure improvements. Investments in local infrastructure directly benefit RBUESD students and families by improving routes to school, enhancing pedestrian and bicycle safety, and supporting consistent access to district schools and programs.

This measure represents an important investment in public safety, economic vitality, and long-term community sustainability. Well-maintained streets benefit all who live, work, and visit the City of Red Bluff.

We respectfully urge the Mayor and City Council, along with the citizens of Red Bluff, to support this citizen-led effort. The Red Bluff Union Elementary School District and its board of trustees are proud to endorse the Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure and supports its placement on the June 2026 ballot.

Thank you for your continued service to our community. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Respectfully,

Steve Piffero
Board President

Cliff Curry
Superintendent

TEHAMA COUNTY

STATEMENT OF ALL VOTES CAST FOR THE STATEWIDE DIRECT PRIMARY ELECTION

JUNE 2, 2026

SEAN HOUGHTBY, COUNTY CLERK

633 Washington St., Red Bluff CA 96080

530.527.8190

elections@tehama.gov

https://www.tehama.gov/government/departments/elections/

CERTIFICATE OF COUNTY CLERK TO RESULTS OF THE CANVASS OF THE STATEWIDE DIRECT PRIMARY ELECTION RETURNS

JUNE 2, 2026

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

) ss.

COUNTY OF TEHAMA)

I, Sean Houghtby, County Clerk of said County of Tehama, do hereby certify that in pursuance of the provisions of the Elections Code, I did canvass the returns of the votes cast at the Statewide Direct Primary Election held on June 2, 2026 for elective public offices, and/or for and against each measure as submitted to the vote of the electors, and that the Statement of All Votes Cast, to which this certificate is attached shows the whole number of votes cast for each candidate and/or for and against each measure and in each of the respective precincts therein, and that the totals of the respective columns and the totals as shown for each candidate and/or for and against each measure are full, true, and correct.

WITNESS my hand and Official Seal this 26th day of June 2026.

SEAN HOUGHTBY
County Clerk

STATEWIDE DIRECT PRIMARY ELECTION
JUNE 2, 2026
CERTIFICATE OF NOMINATION

I, SEAN HOUGHTBY, County Clerk, County of Tehama, State of California, hereby certify that the following nominees qualify for appointment to the positions within the jurisdictions listed below, pursuant to Section 15400 of the Elections Code:

COUNTY

SUPERVISOR – 3rd DISTRICT
Steve Zane – Declare Elected

SUPERVISOR – 4th DISTRICT
Matthew Hansen – Declare Elected

ASSESSOR
Burley Phillips – Declare Elected

AUDITOR/CONTROLLER
Krista Peterson – Declare Elected

CLERK/RECORDER
Sean Houghtby – Declare Elected

TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR
Parker Hunt – Declare Elected

TEHAMA COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION

Pursuant to Education Code §5326 and Education Code §5328, the candidates who have been nominated shall be declared elected.

TRUSTEE AREA 3
Pamela Begrin – Declare Elected

COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Jared Caylor – Declare Elected

Dated: June 26, 2026

SEAN HOUGHTBY
County Clerk & Recorder
County of Tehama, State of California

HELP AMERICA VOTE ACT OF 2002
CERTIFICATION OF ELECTIONS OFFICIAL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF Tehama } ss.

Pursuant to the statewide voter registration list requirements set forth in the Help America Vote Act of 2002 ((HAVA) 52 U.S.C. § 21083),

I, Sean Houghtby, County Clerk/Registrar of Voters for the County of Tehama, State of California, hereby certify that I complied with all provisions of Chapter 2 of Division 7 of Title 2 of the California Code of Regulations for the Federal election held on the 2nd day of June 2026, in the County of Tehama, State of California and all elections consolidated therewith.

I hereby set my hand and official seal this 25th day of June, 2026, at the County of Tehama

COUNTY SEAL

County Clerk/Registrar of Voters
County of Tehama
State of California

CERTIFICATION OF
COUNTY CLERK/REGISTRAR OF VOTERS OF THE
RESULTS OF THE CANVASS
OF THE JUNE 2, 2026,
PRIMARY ELECTION

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF Tehama } ss.

I, Sean Houghtby, County Clerk/Registrar of Voters of County of Tehama, do hereby certify that, in pursuance of the provisions of Elections Code section 15300, et seq., I did canvass the results of the votes cast in the Primary Election held in said County on June 2, 2026, for contests that were submitted to the vote of the voters, and that the Statement of Votes Cast, to which this certificate is attached is full, true, and correct.

I hereby set my hand and official seal this 25th day of June, 2026, at the County of Tehama

COUNTY SEAL

County Clerk/Registrar of Voters
County of Tehama
State of California

Canvass Certification of Elections Official
(6/2026)

Summary for: All Contests, All Districts, All Tabulators, All Counting Groups

Statewide Direct Primary Election
Tehama County
June 02, 2026
Final Official

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

Voters Cast: 16,827 of 37,312 (45.10%)

GOVERNOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	163	
Overvotes	69	

Candidate	Party	Total	
MARGARET TROWE	NPP	10	0.06%
TONY K. THURMOND	DEM	64	0.39%
THUNDER PARLEY	DEM	16	0.10%
KATIE PORTER	DEM	446	2.69%
TOM WOODARD	LIB	26	0.16%
LEO SAMUEL ZACKY	REP	75	0.45%
ERIN "ZEZ" ZEZULAK	DEM	34	0.20%
DAVID ZICKEFOOSE	REP	11	0.07%
AMANDA MARTIN	NPP	13	0.08%
MATT MAHAN	DEM	355	2.14%
BRENT MAUPIN	NPP	6	0.04%
DANIEL MERCURI	NPP	11	0.07%
BETTY T. YEE	DEM	65	0.39%
NANCY D. YOUNG	NPP	7	0.04%
ALICIA OLIVIA LAPP	REP	13	0.08%
MATTHEW CHASE LEVY	DEM	8	0.05%
DUANE TERRENCE LOYNES JR.	NPP	3	0.02%
RANDEEP S. DHILLON	REP	55	0.33%
LIVINGFORGOD ANDCOUNTRY DEMOTT	NPP	5	0.03%
PATRICIA DE LUCA BASUALDO	REP	7	0.04%
LOUIS A. DE BARRAICUA	DEM	8	0.05%
RAJI RAB	DEM	3	0.02%
SATISH RAO	DEM	2	0.01%
RAMSEY ROBINSON	PF	51	0.31%
LEWIS HERMS	NPP	18	0.11%
RAFAEL M. HERNANDEZ	REP	7	0.04%
JON HENDERSON	NPP	28	0.17%

Candidate	Party	Total	
STEVE HILTON	REP	6,246	37.64%
NAOMI BAR-LEV	NPP	2	0.01%
XAVIER BECERRA	DEM	1,537	9.26%
CAROLINA BUHLER	DEM	25	0.15%
CHAD BIANCO	REP	5,260	31.70%
JOSEPH CABRERA	NPP	9	0.05%
ELAINE CULOTTI	NPP	8	0.05%
JOEL E. JACOB	DEM	1	0.01%
MAX FOMIN	NPP	1	0.01%
LUKASZ ADAM FILINSKI	NPP	0	0.00%
SERGE FIANKAN	NPP	1	0.01%
LEO NARANJO IV	REP	27	0.16%
TIM NELSON	REP	71	0.43%
TOM STEYER	DEM	1,907	11.49%
ERIC SWALWELL	DEM	23	0.14%
SCOTT P SHIELDS	DEM	3	0.02%
FREDERIC C. SCHULTZ	NPP	7	0.04%
CHRISTINE R. SARMIENTO	NPP	8	0.05%
REZA SAFARNEJAD	NPP	0	0.00%
SAM SANDAK	NPP	1	0.01%
GRETHA SOLÓRZANO	REP	22	0.13%
JAMES ATHANS JR.	REP	15	0.09%
LARRY AZEVEDO	DEM	5	0.03%
MOHAMMAD ARIF	DEM	3	0.02%
AKINYEMI AGBEDE	DEM	1	0.01%
DEREK GRASTY	DEM	8	0.05%
DON J. GRUNDMANN	NPP	3	0.02%
DAWIT KELLEL	NPP	1	0.01%
ANNE KOMAROVSK	NPP	0	0.00%
GARY HOWARD KIDGELL	DEM	2	0.01%
SOPHIA EDUM-A-SAM	DEM	0	0.00%
MAURO ALBERTO OROZCO	NPP	1	0.01%
BARACK D. OBAMA SHAW	DEM	12	0.07%
ANTONIO VILLARAIGOSA	DEM	29	0.17%
Total Votes		16,595	

		Total	
KALID MEKY	WRITE-IN	0	0.00%
JIBRI J PEAVY	WRITE-IN	0	0.00%
BUTCH WARE	WRITE-IN	6	0.04%
MICHEL J DILGER	WRITE-IN	0	0.00%
DIRK LANGER	WRITE-IN	0	0.00%
CHE AHN	WRITE-IN	3	0.02%

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	860	
Overvotes	47	

Candidate	Party	Total	
MICHAEL TUBBS	DEM	468	2.94%
TIM MYERS	DEM	206	1.29%
FIONA MA	DEM	1,829	11.49%
OLIVER MA	DEM	458	2.88%
EBIE LYNCH	REP	565	3.55%
JEYSON LOPEZ	DEM	49	0.31%
GLORIA ROMERO	REP	2,794	17.55%
RAKESH CHRISTIAN	NPP	12	0.08%
DAVID COLLENBERG	REP	5,414	34.01%
SEAN COLLINSON	NPP	62	0.39%
JOSH FRYDAY	DEM	938	5.89%
DAVID FENNELL	REP	1,882	11.82%
ALICE STEK	PF	162	1.02%
SKIP SHELTON	REP	767	4.82%
ABDUR RAHMAN SIKDER	DEM	39	0.24%
JANELLE KELLMAN	DEM	275	1.73%
Total Votes		15,920	

		Total	
JAMES P CAMERON	WRITE-IN	0	0.00%

SECRETARY OF STATE (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	632	
Overvotes	3	

Candidate	Party	Total	
DONALD P. (DON) WAGNER	REP	11,538	71.26%
SHIRLEY N. WEBER	DEM	4,160	25.69%
GARY N. BLENNER	GRN	287	1.77%
MICHAEL FEINSTEIN	GRN	207	1.28%
Total Votes		16,192	

CONTROLLER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	802	
Overvotes	2	

Candidate	Party	Total	
HERB W MORGAN	REP	11,416	71.25%
MALIA M. COHEN	DEM	4,082	25.48%
MEGHANN ADAMS	PF	525	3.28%
Total Votes		16,023	

TREASURER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	1,015	
Overvotes	12	

Candidate	Party	Total	
GLENN TURNER	GRN	184	1.16%
JENNIFER HAWKS	REP	5,785	36.61%
ANNA M. CABALLERO	DEM	1,273	8.06%
DAVID SERPA	REP	5,652	35.77%
ELENI KOUNALAKIS	DEM	2,271	14.37%
TONY VAZQUEZ	DEM	635	4.02%
Total Votes		15,800	

ATTORNEY GENERAL (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	897	
Overvotes	3	

Candidate	Party	Total	
MARJORIE MIKELS	GRN	591	3.71%
ROB BONTA	DEM	3,759	23.60%
MICHAEL E. GATES	REP	11,577	72.69%
Total Votes		15,927	

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	1,249	
Overvotes	21	

Candidate	Party	Total	
PATRICK WOLFF	DEM	584	3.75%
SEAN LEE	REP	1,178	7.57%
KEITH W. DAVIS	AI	347	2.23%
ROBERT P HOWELL	REP	3,519	22.62%
STEVEN CRAIG BRADFORD	DEM	431	2.77%
MERRITT FARREN	REP	2,205	14.17%
BEN ALLEN	DEM	1,211	7.78%
ERIC THOR AARNIO	REP	912	5.86%
STACY A. KORSGADEN	REP	3,225	20.73%
JANE KIM	DEM	1,678	10.79%
EDUARDO "LALO" VARGAS	PF	267	1.72%
Total Votes		15,557	

MEMBER, STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION, 1ST DISTRICT (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	1,202	
Overvotes	9	

Candidate	Party	Total	
DONALD E. WILLIAMSON	DEM	1,569	10.05%
DUSTY BEACH	REP	3,984	25.51%
NADER F SHAHATIT	REP	1,023	6.55%
SHANNON GROVE	REP	6,385	40.89%
NELSON ESPARZA	DEM	2,655	17.00%
Total Votes		15,616	

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, 1ST DISTRICT - FULL TERM (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	464	
Overvotes	6	

Candidate	Party	Total	
MIKE MCGUIRE	DEM	2,200	13.45%
RICHARD T. MINNER	NPP	40	0.24%
AUDREY DENNEY	DEM	2,009	12.28%
JAMES GALLAGHER	REP	11,918	72.86%
JANICE KARRMAN	DEM	83	0.51%
TIMOTHY SEAN KELLY	NPP	107	0.65%
Total Votes		16,357	

UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, 1ST DISTRICT - PARTIAL/UNEXPIRED TERM (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	570	
Overvotes	13	

Candidate	Party	Total	
JAMES GALLAGHER	REP	11,514	70.88%
MIKE MCGUIRE	DEM	2,277	14.02%
RICHARD MONTGOMERY	NPP	131	0.81%
AUDREY DENNEY	DEM	1,984	12.21%
JOT THIARA	REP	338	2.08%
Total Votes		16,244	

MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, 3RD DISTRICT (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	2,736	
Overvotes	11	

Candidate	Party	Total	
JAMES "JAMIE" JOHANSSON	REP	5,123	36.38%
DOM BELZA	REP	6,558	46.58%
ANDREW COOLIDGE	REP	2,399	17.04%
Total Votes		14,080	

SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	3,361	
Overvotes	9	

Candidate	Party	Total	
GUS MATTAMMAL		476	3.54%
AL MURATSUCHI		622	4.62%
FRANK LARA		1,172	8.71%
AINYE LONG		1,026	7.62%
ANTHONY RENDON		696	5.17%
NICHELLE M. HENDERSON		583	4.33%
RICHARD BARRERA		1,928	14.33%
WENDY CASTANEDA LEAL		1,209	8.98%
JOSH NEWMAN		1,213	9.01%
SONJA SHAW		4,532	33.68%
Total Votes		13,457	

COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	5,150	
Overvotes	2	

Candidate	Party	Total	
JARED CAYLOR		11,675	100.00%
Total Votes		11,675	

SUPERVISOR, 3RD DISTRICT (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 10 of 10 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	4,077 / 8,376	48.67%
Undervotes	368	
Overvotes	1	

Candidate	Party	Total	
STEVE ZANE		2,470	66.61%
MELANI KAIN		1,238	33.39%
Total Votes		3,708	

SUPERVISOR, 4TH DISTRICT (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 10 of 10 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	2,458 / 6,237	39.41%
Undervotes	336	
Overvotes	1	

Candidate	Party	Total	
SUSAN PRICE		860	40.55%
MATT HANSEN		1,261	59.45%
Total Votes		2,121	

ASSESSOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	4,830	
Overvotes	2	

Candidate	Party	Total	
BURLEY PHILLIPS		11,995	100.00%
Total Votes		11,995	

AUDITOR/CONTROLLER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	4,839	
Overvotes	1	

Candidate	Party	Total	
KRISTA PETERSON		11,987	100.00%
Total Votes		11,987	

CLERK & RECORDER (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	2,798	
Overvotes	5	

Candidate	Party	Total	
SEAN HOUGHTBY		10,891	77.66%
PATI NOLEN		3,133	22.34%
Total Votes		14,024	

TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 38 of 38 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	16,827 / 37,312	45.10%
Undervotes	5,014	
Overvotes	4	

Candidate	Party	Total	
PARKER R. HUNT		11,809	100.00%
Total Votes		11,809	

Measure S - Street Safety, Maintenance, And Road Tax Citizen Initiative. (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 6 of 6 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	2,926 / 7,823	37.40%
Undervotes	98	
Overvotes	0	

Candidate	Party	Total	
YES		1,706	60.33%
NO		1,122	39.67%
Total Votes		2,828	

Measure T - Antelope Elementary School District Safe And Updated Schools Measure (Vote for 1)

Precincts Reported: 6 of 6 (100.00%)

	Total	
Times Cast	1,789 / 3,549	50.41%
Undervotes	110	
Overvotes	0	

Candidate	Party	Total	
YES		885	52.71%
NO		794	47.29%
Total Votes		1,679	

1 GOVERNOR

						1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		NPP - MARGARET TROWE	DEM - TONY K. THURMOND	DEM - THUNDER PARLEY	DEM - KATIE PORTER	LIB - TOM WOODARD	REP - LEO SAMUEL ZACKY	DEM - ERIN "ZEZ" ZEZULAK	REP - DAVID ZICKEFOOSE	NPP - AMANDA MARTIN	DEM - MATT MAHAN
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		10	64	16	446	26	75	34	11	13	355
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		10	64	16	446	26	75	34	11	13	355
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		10	64	16	446	26	75	34	11	13	355
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		10	64	16	446	26	75	34	11	13	355
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		10	64	16	446	26	75	34	11	13	355
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		10	64	16	446	26	75	34	11	13	355
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		1	14	3	99	2	20	9	6	1	86
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		1	16	2	75	7	11	5	2	4	63
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2	12	1	128	8	12	7	1	5	101
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		4	7	8	64	4	15	7	1	2	48
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		2	15	2	80	5	17	6	1	1	57
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%			4	5	32		8	3	1		21
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		2	12	4	108	8	17	9	2	3	83
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%			1		6			1			1
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		8	47	7	300	18	50	21	8	10	250

1 GOVERNOR

					1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	NPP - BRENT MAUPIN	NPP - DANIEL MERCURI	DEM - BETTY T. YEE	NPP - NANCY D. YOUNG	REP - ALICIA OLIVIA LAPP	DEM - MATTHEW CHASE LEVY	NPP - DUANE TERRENCE LOYNES JR.	REP - RANDEEP S. DHILLON	NPP - LIVINGFORGOD ANDCOUNTRY DEMOTT	REP - PATRICIA DE LUCA BASUALDO
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	6	11	65	7	13	8	3	55	5	7
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	6	11	65	7	13	8	3	55	5	7
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	6	11	65	7	13	8	3	55	5	7
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	6	11	65	7	13	8	3	55	5	7
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	6	11	65	7	13	8	3	55	5	7
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	6	11	65	7	13	8	3	55	5	7
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%	1	1	8	3	3	3	1	12	3	1
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%	2	4	16	1	1	2		13		3
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		4	21	1	3	2	2	13	1	2
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%	2		13	1	5			11	1	1
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%	1	2	7	1	1	1		6		
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%			5	1	2	1		3	1	
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%	1	4	13	2		3	1	12	2	4
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%			1							
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%	5	7	46	4	11	4	2	40	2	3

1 GOVERNOR

						1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		DEM - LOUIS A. DE BARRAICUA	DEM - RAJI RAB	DEM - SATISH RAO	PF - RAMSEY ROBINSON	NPP - LEWIS HERMS	REP - RAFAEL M. HERNANDEZ	NPP - JON HENDERSON	REP - STEVE HILTON	NPP - NAOMI BAR-LEV	DEM - XAVIER BECERRA
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		8	3	2	51	18	7	28	6246	2	1537
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		8	3	2	51	18	7	28	6246	2	1537
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		8	3	2	51	18	7	28	6246	2	1537
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		8	3	2	51	18	7	28	6246	2	1537
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		8	3	2	51	18	7	28	6246	2	1537
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		8	3	2	51	18	7	28	6246	2	1537
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		4		1	9	5	2	4	1677		384
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		2	1		18	4	2	9	1053		245
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		1	1		3	6	2	4	1597	1	384
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		1	1		8	1	1	8	856		233
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%				1	13	2		3	1063	1	291
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%					12	1		5	311		158
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		5	1	1	20	1	2	4	822	1	345
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%								1	50		16
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		3	2	1	19	16	5	18	5063	1	1018

1 GOVERNOR

						1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		DEM - CAROLINA BUHLER	REP - CHAD BIANCO	NPP - JOSEPH CABRERA	NPP - ELAINE CULOTTI	DEM - JOEL E. JACOB	NPP - MAX FOMIN	NPP - LUKASZ ADAM FILINSKI	NPP - SERGE FIANKAN	REP - LEO NARANJO IV	REP - TIM NELSON
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		25	5260	9	8	1	1		1	27	71
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		25	5260	9	8	1	1		1	27	71
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		25	5260	9	8	1	1		1	27	71
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		25	5260	9	8	1	1		1	27	71
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		25	5260	9	8	1	1		1	27	71
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		25	5260	9	8	1	1		1	27	71
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		4	1261	2	1		1			1	16
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		3	1182		1				1	8	15
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		6	1166	4		1				7	14
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		7	800	2	3					3	14
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		5	851	1	3					8	12
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		5	294	1						3	6
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		8	876	1	1		1		1	9	18
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%			22								1
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		12	4068	7	7	1				15	46

1 GOVERNOR

						1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		DEM - TOM STEYER	DEM - ERIC SWALWELL	DEM - SCOTT P SHIELDS	NPP - FREDERIC C. SCHULTZ	NPP - CHRISTINE R. SARMIENTO	NPP - REZA SAFARNEJAD	NPP - SAM SANDAK	REP - GRETHA SOLÓRZANO	REP - JAMES ATHANS JR.	DEM - LARRY AZEVEDO
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		1907	23	3	7	8		1	22	15	5
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		1907	23	3	7	8		1	22	15	5
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		1907	23	3	7	8		1	22	15	5
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		1907	23	3	7	8		1	22	15	5
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		1907	23	3	7	8		1	22	15	5
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		1907	23	3	7	8		1	22	15	5
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		479	4	1	3	2			8	3	2
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		348	3			3			6	6	
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		474	9	1	3	1		1	2	2	1
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		265	2	1	1				1	4	2
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		341	5			2			5		
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		131	1	1	1				1	4	
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		416	4	1	1	4			7	3	1
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		16									
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		1344	18	1	5	4		1	14	8	4

1 GOVERNOR

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	DEM - MOHAMMAD ARIF	DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE	DEM - DEREK GRASTY	NPP - DON J. GRUNDMANN	NPP - DAWIT KELLEL	NPP - ANNE KOMAROVSK	DEM - GARY HOWARD KIDGELL	DEM - SOPHIA EDUM-A-SAM	NPP - MAURO ALBERTO OROZCO	DEM - BARACK D. OBAMA SHAW
					1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	3	1	8	3	1		2		1	12
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	3	1	8	3	1		2		1	12
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	3	1	8	3	1		2		1	12
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	3	1	8	3	1		2		1	12
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	3	1	8	3	1		2		1	12
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	3	1	8	3	1		2		1	12
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%	1		1	1	1		1			3
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%	1		1						1	1
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		1	2				1			4
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%	1									2
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%			4	2						2
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%			1	1						2
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%	1	1	2	1					1	3
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%										
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%	2		5	1	1		2			7

1 GOVERNOR

						1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		DEM - ANTONIO VILLARAIGOSA	Write-ins	Total Votes	KALID MEKY	JIBRI J PEAVY	BUTCH WARE	MICHEL J DILGER	DIRK LANGER	CHE AHN
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		29		16595			6			3
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		29		16595			6			3
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		29		16595			6			3
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		29		16595			6			3
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		29		16595			6			3
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		29		16595			6			3
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		7		4170			1			3
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		1		3145			2			
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		7		4033			1			
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		6		2419			2			
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		8		2828						
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		5		1031						
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		5		2861			3			
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%				116						
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		19		12587			3			3

1 LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

					1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	DEM - MICHAEL TUBBS	DEM - TIM MYERS	DEM - FIONA MA	DEM - OLIVER MA	REP - EBIE LYNCH	DEM - JEYSON LOPEZ	REP - GLORIA ROMERO	NPP - RAKESH CHRISTIAN	REP - DAVID COLLENBERG	NPP - SEAN COLLINSON
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	468	206	1829	458	565	49	2794	12	5414	62
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	468	206	1829	458	565	49	2794	12	5414	62
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	468	206	1829	458	565	49	2794	12	5414	62
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	468	206	1829	458	565	49	2794	12	5414	62
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	468	206	1829	458	565	49	2794	12	5414	62
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	468	206	1829	458	565	49	2794	12	5414	62
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%	97	44	442	112	144	9	744	2	1310	9
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%	95	47	315	76	119	2	479	1	1084	9
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%	129	38	479	110	143	16	713	4	1203	23
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%	57	36	269	88	63	11	401	2	875	17
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%	90	41	324	72	96	11	457	3	942	4
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%	45	26	137	58	31	8	149		294	3
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%	114	49	385	123	107	9	381	2	799	11
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%	4	1	18		5	2	25		23	
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%	305	130	1289	277	422	30	2239	10	4298	48

1 LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		DEM - JOSH FRYDAY	REP - DAVID FENNELL	PF - ALICE STEK	REP - SKIP SHELTON	DEM - ABDUR RAHMAN SIKDER	DEM - JANELLE KELLMAN	Write-ins	Total Votes	JAMES P CAMERON
						1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		938	1882	162	767	39	275		15920	
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		938	1882	162	767	39	275		15920	
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		938	1882	162	767	39	275		15920	
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		938	1882	162	767	39	275		15920	
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		938	1882	162	767	39	275		15920	
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		938	1882	162	767	39	275		15920	
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		254	505	36	207	9	87		4011	
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		161	360	35	172	8	46		3009	
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		241	478	34	184	6	56		3857	
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		104	237	24	96	9	40		2329	
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		178	302	33	108	7	46		2714	
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		58	99	15	51	3	19		996	
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		200	301	42	148	11	67		2749	
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		12	10	1	8		3		112	
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		668	1472	104	560	25	186		12063	

1 SECRETARY OF STATE

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		REP - DONALD P. (DON) WAGNER	DEM - SHIRLEY N. WEBER	GRN - GARY N. BLENNER	GRN - MICHAEL FEINSTEIN	Write-ins	Total Votes
						1	1	1	1	1	1
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11538	4160	287	207		16192
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11538	4160	287	207		16192
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11538	4160	287	207		16192
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11538	4160	287	207		16192
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11538	4160	287	207		16192
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11538	4160	287	207		16192
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		2951	1031	75	41		4098
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		2250	736	40	47		3073
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2746	1027	71	60		3904
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		1674	605	45	32		2356
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		1917	761	56	27		2761
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		625	338	24	21		1008
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		1732	946	74	51		2803
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		69	39	3	2		113
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		9112	2837	186	133		12268

1 CONTROLLER

						1	1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		REP - HERB W MORGAN	DEM - MALIA M. COHEN	PF - MEGHANN ADAMS	Write-ins	Total Votes
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11416	4082	525		16023
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11416	4082	525		16023
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11416	4082	525		16023
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11416	4082	525		16023
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11416	4082	525		16023
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11416	4082	525		16023
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		2918	988	148		4054
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		2203	728	95		3026
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2726	1032	109		3867
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		1655	587	88		2330
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		1914	747	85		2746
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		615	338	45		998
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		1693	920	155		2768
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		71	44	1		116
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		9037	2780	324		12141

1 TREASURER

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		GRN - GLENN TURNER	REP - JENNIFER HAWKS	DEM - ANNA M. CABALLERO	REP - DAVID SERPA	DEM - ELENI KOUNALAKIS	DEM - TONY VAZQUEZ	Write-ins	Total Votes
						1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		184	5785	1273	5652	2271	635		15800
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		184	5785	1273	5652	2271	635		15800
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		184	5785	1273	5652	2271	635		15800
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		184	5785	1273	5652	2271	635		15800
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		184	5785	1273	5652	2271	635		15800
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		184	5785	1273	5652	2271	635		15800
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		35	1538	299	1384	572	161		3989
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		32	1060	211	1174	413	114		3004
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		45	1388	288	1340	601	146		3808
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		29	823	230	829	286	102		2299
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		43	976	245	925	399	112		2700
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		17	305	138	313	151	63		987
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		46	834	288	893	517	156		2734
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		3	35	17	36	17	5		113
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		118	4611	830	4410	1586	411		11966

1 ATTORNEY GENERAL

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		GRN - MARJORIE MIKELS	DEM - ROB BONTA	REP - MICHAEL E. GATES	Write-ins	Total Votes
						1	1	1	1	1
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		591	3759	11577		15927
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		591	3759	11577		15927
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		591	3759	11577		15927
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		591	3759	11577		15927
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		591	3759	11577		15927
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		591	3759	11577		15927
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		133	938	2958		4029
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		104	664	2247		3015
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		153	932	2747		3832
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		90	546	1695		2331
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		111	679	1930		2720
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		50	311	639		1000
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		155	850	1749		2754
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		5	38	74		117
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		381	2560	9115		12056

1 INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	DEM - PATRICK WOLFF	REP - SEAN LEE	AI - KEITH W. DAVIS	REP - ROBERT P HOWELL	DEM - STEVEN CRAIG BRADFORD	REP - MERRITT FARREN	DEM - BEN ALLEN	REP - ERIC THOR AARNIO	REP - STACY A. KORSGADEN	DEM - JANE KIM
					1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	584	1178	347	3519	431	2205	1211	912	3225	1678
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	584	1178	347	3519	431	2205	1211	912	3225	1678
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	584	1178	347	3519	431	2205	1211	912	3225	1678
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	584	1178	347	3519	431	2205	1211	912	3225	1678
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	584	1178	347	3519	431	2205	1211	912	3225	1678
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	584	1178	347	3519	431	2205	1211	912	3225	1678
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%	123	276	85	922	85	596	318	244	786	442
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%	127	227	73	678	72	431	187	191	615	310
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%	140	323	74	849	112	502	329	192	772	401
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%	92	187	48	472	79	322	177	129	493	227
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%	102	165	67	598	83	354	200	156	559	298
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%	46	70	22	182	53	128	78	35	182	142
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%	133	185	77	552	90	340	259	147	463	401
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		9	4	22	4	16	8	5	17	14
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%	405	914	244	2763	284	1721	866	725	2563	1121

1 INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

						1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		PF - EDUARDO "LALO" VARGAS	Write-ins	Total Votes
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		267		15557
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		267		15557
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		267		15557
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		267		15557
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		267		15557
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		267		15557
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		50		3927
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		37		2948
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		50		3744
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		57		2283
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		73		2655
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		46		984
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		63		2710
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		9		108
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		149		11755

1 MEMBER, STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION, 1ST DISTRICT

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		DEM - DONALD E. WILLIAMSON	REP - DUSTY BEACH	REP - NADER F SHAHATIT	REP - SHANNON GROVE	DEM - NELSON ESPARZA	Write-ins	Total Votes
						1	1	1	1	1	1	1
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		1569	3984	1023	6385	2655		15616
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		1569	3984	1023	6385	2655		15616
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		1569	3984	1023	6385	2655		15616
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		1569	3984	1023	6385	2655		15616
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		1569	3984	1023	6385	2655		15616
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		1569	3984	1023	6385	2655		15616
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		406	976	273	1652	634		3941
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		282	785	186	1228	477		2958
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		400	967	243	1503	639		3752
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		202	597	150	925	418		2292
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		279	659	171	1077	487		2673
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		108	249	55	339	240		991
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		369	638	124	949	632		2712
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		17	26	5	40	20		108
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		1075	3071	839	5057	1763		11805

1 UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, 1ST DISTRICT - FULL TERM

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		DEM - MIKE MCGUIRE	NPP - RICHARD T. MINNER	DEM - AUDREY DENNEY	REP - JAMES GALLAGHER	DEM - JANICE KARRMAN	NPP - TIMOTHY SEAN KELLY	Write-ins	Total Votes
						1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		2200	40	2009	11918	83	107		16357
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		2200	40	2009	11918	83	107		16357
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		2200	40	2009	11918	83	107		16357
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		2200	40	2009	11918	83	107		16357
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		2200	40	2009	11918	83	107		16357
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		2200	40	2009	11918	83	107		16357
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		558	10	474	3038	26	21		4127
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		409	6	330	2316	6	25		3092
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		569	12	490	2841	18	29		3959
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		306	6	303	1736	18	19		2388
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		358	6	412	1987	15	13		2791
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		151	1	202	649	8	5		1016
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		506	9	456	1801	27	31		2830
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		11		29	77				117
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		1532	30	1322	9391	48	71		12394

1 UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, 1ST DISTRICT - PARTIAL/UNEXPIRED TERM

						1	1	1	1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		REP - JAMES GALLAGHER	DEM - MIKE MCGUIRE	NPP - RICHARD MONTGOMERY	DEM - AUDREY DENNEY	REP - JOT THIARA	Write-ins	Total Votes
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11514	2277	131	1984	338		16244
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11514	2277	131	1984	338		16244
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11514	2277	131	1984	338		16244
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11514	2277	131	1984	338		16244
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11514	2277	131	1984	338		16244
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11514	2277	131	1984	338		16244
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		2927	575	33	485	77		4097
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		2254	406	28	329	61		3078
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2724	605	36	465	85		3915
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		1680	315	17	303	55		2370
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		1929	376	17	402	60		2784
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		619	153	7	196	34		1009
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		1713	508	47	463	83		2814
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		75	13		28	1		117
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		9107	1603	77	1297	220		12304

1 MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, 3RD DISTRICT

						1	1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		REP - JAMES "JAMIE" JOHANSSON	REP - DOM BELZA	REP - ANDREW COOLIDGE	Write-ins	Total Votes
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		5123	6558	2399		14080
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		5123	6558	2399		14080
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		5123	6558	2399		14080
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		5123	6558	2399		14080
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		5123	6558	2399		14080
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		5123	6558	2399		14080
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		1319	1602	644		3565
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		1018	1197	450		2665
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		1243	1536	574		3353
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		756	987	338		2081
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		787	1236	393		2416
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		265	414	173		852
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		839	951	559		2349
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		35	41	21		97
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		3984	5152	1646		10782

1 SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	GUS MATTAMMAL	AL MURATSUCHI	FRANK LARA	AINYE LONG	ANTHONY RENDON	NICHELLE M. HENDERSON	RICHARD BARRERA	WENDY CASTANEDA LEAL	JOSH NEWMAN	SONJA SHAW
					1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	476	622	1172	1026	696	583	1928	1209	1213	4532
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	476	622	1172	1026	696	583	1928	1209	1213	4532
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	476	622	1172	1026	696	583	1928	1209	1213	4532
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	476	622	1172	1026	696	583	1928	1209	1213	4532
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	476	622	1172	1026	696	583	1928	1209	1213	4532
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%	476	622	1172	1026	696	583	1928	1209	1213	4532
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%	119	149	285	230	177	124	490	298	305	1174
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%	89	133	225	237	126	111	373	211	246	845
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%	112	146	264	240	142	138	473	295	310	1097
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%	77	79	194	166	108	105	275	189	163	634
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%	79	115	204	153	143	105	317	216	189	782
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%	19	40	82	80	52	52	142	98	60	251
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%	84	127	260	206	140	132	383	235	205	662
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%	1	4	14	7	7	1	13	8	6	27
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%	372	451	816	733	497	398	1390	868	942	3592

1 SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		Write-ins	Total Votes
						1	1
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%			13457
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%			13457
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%			13457
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%			13457
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%			13457
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%			13457
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%			3351
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%			2596
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%			3217
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%			1990
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%			2303
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%			876
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%			2434
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%			88
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%			10059

1 COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

						1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		JARED CAYLOR	Write-ins	Total Votes
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11675		11675
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11675		11675
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11675		11675
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11675		11675
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11675		11675
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11675		11675
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		2845		2845
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		2291		2291
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2799		2799
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		1746		1746
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		1994		1994
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		754		754
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		2147		2147
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		87		87
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		8687		8687

1 SUPERVISOR, 3RD DISTRICT

						1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		STEVE ZANE	MELANI KAIN	Write-ins	Total Votes
CALIFORNIA	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2470	1238		3708
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2470	1238		3708
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2470	1238		3708
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2470	1238		3708
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2470	1238		3708
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2470	1238		3708
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2470	1238		3708
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	695	215	30.94%		139	51		190
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	7681	3862	50.28%		2331	1187		3518

1 SUPERVISOR, 4TH DISTRICT

						1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		SUSAN PRICE	MATT HANSEN	Write-ins	Total Votes
CALIFORNIA	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		860	1261		2121
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		860	1261		2121
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		860	1261		2121
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		860	1261		2121
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		860	1261		2121
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		860	1261		2121
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		860	1261		2121
CITY OF CORNING	Total	2089	625	29.92%		249	293		542
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	4148	1833	44.19%		611	968		1579

1 ASSESSOR

						1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		BURLEY PHILLIPS	Write-ins	Total Votes
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11995		11995
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11995		11995
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11995		11995
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11995		11995
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11995		11995
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11995		11995
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		2933		2933
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		2347		2347
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2881		2881
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		1775		1775
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		2059		2059
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		769		769
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		2189		2189
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		81		81
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		8956		8956

1 AUDITOR/CONTROLLER

						1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		KRISTA PETERSON	Write-ins	Total Votes
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11987		11987
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11987		11987
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11987		11987
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11987		11987
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11987		11987
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11987		11987
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		2934		2934
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		2318		2318
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2904		2904
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		1781		1781
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		2050		2050
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		763		763
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		2179		2179
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		86		86
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		8959		8959

1 CLERK & RECORDER

						1	1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		SEAN HOUGHTBY	PATI NOLEN	Write-ins	Total Votes
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		10891	3133		14024
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		10891	3133		14024
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		10891	3133		14024
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		10891	3133		14024
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		10891	3133		14024
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		10891	3133		14024
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		2678	786		3464
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		2179	572		2751
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2688	743		3431
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		1503	523		2026
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		1843	509		2352
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		617	247		864
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		1928	602		2530
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		75	22		97
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		8271	2262		10533

1 TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR

						1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		PARKER R. HUNT	Write-ins	Total Votes
CALIFORNIA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11809		11809
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11809		11809
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11809		11809
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11809		11809
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11809		11809
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	37312	16827	45.10%		11809		11809
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	8769	4233	48.27%		2879		2879
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	7224	3197	44.26%		2270		2270
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	8376	4077	48.67%		2872		2872
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 4	Total	6237	2458	39.41%		1779		1779
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 5	Total	6706	2862	42.68%		2009		2009
CITY OF CORNING	Total	3438	1048	30.48%		766		766
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		2098		2098
CITY OF TEHAMA	Total	243	118	48.56%		86		86
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	25808	12735	49.35%		8859		8859

1 Measure S - Street Safety, Maintenance, And Road Tax Citizen Initiative.

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		YES	NO	Total Votes
						1	1	1
CALIFORNIA	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		1706	1122	2828
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		1706	1122	2828
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		1706	1122	2828
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		1706	1122	2828
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		1706	1122	2828
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		1706	1122	2828
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 1	Total	3271	1343	41.06%		789	504	1293
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 2	Total	3857	1368	35.47%		774	550	1324
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	695	215	30.94%		143	68	211
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	7823	2926	37.40%		1706	1122	2828

1 Measure T - Antelope Elementary School District Safe And Updated Schools Measure

						1	1	1
		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		YES	NO	Total Votes
CALIFORNIA	Total	3549	1789	50.41%		885	794	1679
CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 1	Total	3549	1789	50.41%		885	794	1679
STATE SENATE DISTRICT 1	Total	3549	1789	50.41%		885	794	1679
STATE ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3	Total	3549	1789	50.41%		885	794	1679
BRD OF EQUALIZATION DISTRICT 1	Total	3549	1789	50.41%		885	794	1679
COUNTY OF TEHAMA	Total	3549	1789	50.41%		885	794	1679
SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3	Total	3549	1789	50.41%		885	794	1679
CITY OF RED BLUFF	Total	114	33	28.95%		19	11	30
UNINCORPORATED AREA	Total	3435	1756	51.12%		866	783	1649

1 GOVERNOR

	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	NPP - MARGARET TROWE	DEM - TONY K. THURMOND	DEM - THUNDER PARLEY	DEM - KATIE PORTER	LIB - TOM WOODARD	REP - LEO SAMUEL ZACKY	DEM - ERIN "ZEZ" ZEZULAK	REP - DAVID ZICKEFOOSE	NPP - AMANDA MARTIN	DEM - MATT MAHAN
0010030	3712	1886	50.81%		4	1	28	1	7	4	3		22
0010130	1786	1004	56.22%		5		22		2		1		21
0012060	1525	561	36.79%	1	3		24		4	2		1	15
0012080	1086	517	47.61%		2	1	16	1	3	1	1		14
0012210	660	265	40.15%			1	9		4	2	1		14
0020140	1174	638	54.34%		6		9	1	3	1			16
0020160	866	388	44.80%		1		6		4		2	1	8
0020250	1327	803	60.51%		3		14					1	8
0022190	2891	994	34.38%	1	5	2	34	6	2	4		2	26
0022240	966	374	38.72%		1		12		2				5
0030010	594	325	54.71%		1		4		1				7
0030015	103	66	64.08%				4						2
0030120	2445	1158	47.36%			1	40		2	2			33
0030260	2496	1284	51.44%	2	6		44	4	4	4	1	3	28
0030270	824	370	44.90%				7	1	1	1			4
0030300	724	369	50.97%		1		8	1	2				13
0030320	196	115	58.67%		1		5						2
0030330	96	68	70.83%				3						
0030340	203	107	52.71%		2			1				2	3
0032350	695	215	30.94%		1		13	1	2				9
0040180	156	103	66.03%			1							1
0040360	1278	529	41.39%		3		14	3	1	2	1		5
0040365	19	9	47.37%										1
0040420	1542	685	44.42%	3	1		12	1	5	1			12
0040430	158	113	71.52%				2						3
0040480	84	49	58.33%			1	2						3
0040485	775	272	35.10%	1		1	9		2	3		2	9
0040620	136	73	53.68%										1
0041380	1076	351	32.62%		3	2	13		4				4
0041400	1013	274	27.05%			3	12		3	1			9
0050370	279	172	61.65%				2	2	1				3
0050450	197	112	56.85%				2						4
0050455	51	21	41.18%										
0050560	1542	684	44.36%	1	6		17	2	8	2			20
0050580	1470	630	42.86%	1	5		25		1				15
0050590	1575	702	44.57%		2	2	21	1	6	1		1	6
0051510	1349	423	31.36%		1		7		1	2	1		8
0053610	243	118	48.56%		1		6			1			1
Contest Total	37312	16827	45.10%	10	64	16	446	26	75	34	11	13	355

1 GOVERNOR

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	NPP - BRENT MAUPIN	NPP - DANIEL MERCURI	DEM - BETTY T. YEE	NPP - NANCY D. YOUNG	REP - ALICIA OLIVIA LAPP	DEM - MATTHEW CHASE LEVY	NPP - DUANE TERRENCE LOYNES JR.	REP - RANDEEP S. DHILLON	NPP - LIVINGFORGOD ANDCOUNTRY DEMOTT	REP - PATRICIA DE LUCA BASUALDO
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%			3	1	3			6	1	
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%	1	1	3			1		3		1
0012060		1525	561	36.79%				1		2		2	1	
0012080		1086	517	47.61%							1	1		
0012210		660	265	40.15%			2	1					1	
0020140		1174	638	54.34%	1		3					5		
0020160		866	388	44.80%			1	1	1			1		
0020250		1327	803	60.51%			2			1		1		1
0022190		2891	994	34.38%	1	4	8			1		5		1
0022240		966	374	38.72%			2					1		1
0030010		594	325	54.71%		2	1				1	2		
0030015		103	66	64.08%										
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		1	4		1			2	1	
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%			6		2	1	1	5		
0030270		824	370	44.90%			2			1		1		
0030300		724	369	50.97%			4	1						
0030320		196	115	58.67%										
0030330		96	68	70.83%		1	3							
0030340		203	107	52.71%										
0032350		695	215	30.94%			1					3		2
0040180		156	103	66.03%								1		
0040360		1278	529	41.39%	1				2			5		
0040365		19	9	47.37%										
0040420		1542	685	44.42%	1		1		1			2		1
0040430		158	113	71.52%										
0040480		84	49	58.33%					1					
0040485		775	272	35.10%			6					2		
0040620		136	73	53.68%			1							
0041380		1076	351	32.62%			3					1	1	
0041400		1013	274	27.05%			2	1	1					
0050370		279	172	61.65%			1							
0050450		197	112	56.85%										
0050455		51	21	41.18%			1							
0050560		1542	684	44.36%	1		3	1				1		
0050580		1470	630	42.86%										
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		2	1					3		
0051510		1349	423	31.36%					1	1		2		
0053610		243	118	48.56%			1							
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%	6	11	65	7	13	8	3	55	5	7

1 GOVERNOR

	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	DEM - LOUIS A. DE BARRAICUA	DEM - RAJI RAB	DEM - SATISH RAO	PF - RAMSEY ROBINSON	NPP - LEWIS HERMS	REP - RAFAEL M. HERNANDEZ	NPP - JON HENDERSON	REP - STEVE HILTON	NPP - NAOMI BAR-LEV	DEM - XAVIER BECERRA
0010030	3712	1886	50.81%				1	2	1	1	848		119
0010130	1786	1004	56.22%	1			1	3		2	422		95
0012060	1525	561	36.79%			1	3				159		76
0012080	1086	517	47.61%	2			3		1	1	170		62
0012210	660	265	40.15%	1			1				78		32
0020140	1174	638	54.34%				1		1	2	224		32
0020160	866	388	44.80%				3			1	135		28
0020250	1327	803	60.51%				1	3		3	328		41
0022190	2891	994	34.38%	2	1		12	1	1	3	250		119
0022240	966	374	38.72%				1				116		25
0030010	594	325	54.71%					1		2	131		26
0030015	103	66	64.08%								30		5
0030120	2445	1158	47.36%				2	2	1		510		96
0030260	2496	1284	51.44%	1	1			2	1	1	474		120
0030270	824	370	44.90%				1			1	156		48
0030300	724	369	50.97%					1			133		31
0030320	196	115	58.67%								40		16
0030330	96	68	70.83%								26		10
0030340	203	107	52.71%								48		1
0032350	695	215	30.94%								49	1	31
0040180	156	103	66.03%								38		8
0040360	1278	529	41.39%				1				212		45
0040365	19	9	47.37%								6		
0040420	1542	685	44.42%	1	1			1		2	246		39
0040430	158	113	71.52%								50		6
0040480	84	49	58.33%								16		1
0040485	775	272	35.10%				1		1	2	81		33
0040620	136	73	53.68%				1				35		3
0041380	1076	351	32.62%				2			2	108		48
0041400	1013	274	27.05%				3			2	64		50
0050370	279	172	61.65%								71		16
0050450	197	112	56.85%								49		12
0050455	51	21	41.18%				1				11		1
0050560	1542	684	44.36%				4				232		64
0050580	1470	630	42.86%			1					252		58
0050590	1575	702	44.57%				1	1		1	259	1	64
0051510	1349	423	31.36%				7	1		1	139		60
0053610	243	118	48.56%							1	50		16
Contest Total	37312	16827	45.10%	8	3	2	51	18	7	28	6246	2	1537

1 GOVERNOR

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		DEM - CAROLINA BUHLER	REP - CHAD BIANCO	NPP - JOSEPH CABRERA	NPP - ELAINE CULOTTI	DEM - JOEL E. JACOB	NPP - MAX FOMIN	NPP - LUKASZ ADAM FILINSKI	NPP - SERGE FIANKAN	REP - LEO NARANJO IV	REP - TIM NELSON
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%		1	608	1	1						3
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%			290								3
0012060		1525	561	36.79%			164				1				4
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		2	142	1							3
0012210		660	265	40.15%		1	57							1	3
0020140		1174	638	54.34%			244								4
0020160		866	388	44.80%			148								3
0020250		1327	803	60.51%			334							1	2
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		2	310						1	6	6
0022240		966	374	38.72%		1	146		1					1	
0030010		594	325	54.71%			97	1						1	2
0030015		103	66	64.08%			15								
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		1	317			1				2	2
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		1	378	1						1	7
0030270		824	370	44.90%			93							1	
0030300		724	369	50.97%			125	2						1	
0030320		196	115	58.67%			34								
0030330		96	68	70.83%		1	16								
0030340		203	107	52.71%		1	34								1
0032350		695	215	30.94%		2	57							1	2
0040180		156	103	66.03%			35								
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		1	169								4
0040365		19	9	47.37%			2								
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		1	277		3					1	1
0040430		158	113	71.52%			39								2
0040480		84	49	58.33%			21								
0040485		775	272	35.10%		1	63	1							3
0040620		136	73	53.68%			26								1
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		4	97	1							1
0041400		1013	274	27.05%			71							2	2
0050370		279	172	61.65%			53								
0050450		197	112	56.85%			35								1
0050455		51	21	41.18%			6								
0050560		1542	684	44.36%		2	225	1	2					6	
0050580		1470	630	42.86%			181		1					1	2
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		2	203								5
0051510		1349	423	31.36%		1	126							1	3
0053610		243	118	48.56%			22								1
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		25	5260	9	8	1	1		1	27	71

1 GOVERNOR

	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	DEM - TOM STEYER	DEM - ERIC SWALWELL	DEM - SCOTT P SHIELDS	NPP - FREDERIC C. SCHULTZ	NPP - CHRISTINE R. SARMIENTO	NPP - REZA SAFARNEJAD	NPP - SAM SANDAK	REP - GRETHA SOLÓRZANO	REP - JAMES ATHANS JR.	DEM - LARRY AZEVEDO
0010030	3712	1886	50.81%	179	2		2				3	2	1
0010130	1786	1004	56.22%	106	1			1			4	1	
0012060	1525	561	36.79%	78		1					1		1
0012080	1086	517	47.61%	68	1		1						
0012210	660	265	40.15%	48				1					
0020140	1174	638	54.34%	74									
0020160	866	388	44.80%	37							1	1	
0020250	1327	803	60.51%	50	1							2	
0022190	2891	994	34.38%	146	1			3			2	3	
0022240	966	374	38.72%	41	1						3		
0030010	594	325	54.71%	40									
0030015	103	66	64.08%	7									
0030120	2445	1158	47.36%	115	4		3						
0030260	2496	1284	51.44%	154	4	1				1		1	1
0030270	824	370	44.90%	50							1		
0030300	724	369	50.97%	35				1				1	
0030320	196	115	58.67%	16									
0030330	96	68	70.83%	8									
0030340	203	107	52.71%	14									
0032350	695	215	30.94%	35	1						1		
0040180	156	103	66.03%	17									
0040360	1278	529	41.39%	53	1								
0040365	19	9	47.37%										
0040420	1542	685	44.42%	52									1
0040430	158	113	71.52%	10									
0040480	84	49	58.33%	4									
0040485	775	272	35.10%	43									
0040620	136	73	53.68%	3									1
0041380	1076	351	32.62%	45	1	1	1				1	2	
0041400	1013	274	27.05%	38								2	
0050370	279	172	61.65%	17	1								
0050450	197	112	56.85%	7	1								
0050455	51	21	41.18%	1									
0050560	1542	684	44.36%	77							2		
0050580	1470	630	42.86%	75	1			1			1		
0050590	1575	702	44.57%	100	2			1			2		
0051510	1349	423	31.36%	48									
0053610	243	118	48.56%	16									
Contest Total	37312	16827	45.10%	1907	23	3	7	8		1	22	15	5

1 GOVERNOR

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		DEM - MOHAMMAD ARIF	DEM - AKINYEMI AGBEDE	DEM - DEREK GRASTY	NPP - DON J. GRUNDMANN	NPP - DAWIT KELLEL	NPP - ANNE KOMAROVSK	DEM - GARY HOWARD KIDGELL	DEM - SOPHIA EDUM-A-SAM	NPP - MAURO ALBERTO OROZCO	DEM - BARACK D. OBAMA SHAW
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%				1		1		1			
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%											
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		1									1
0012080		1086	517	47.61%					1						2
0012210		660	265	40.15%											
0020140		1174	638	54.34%											1
0020160		866	388	44.80%											
0020250		1327	803	60.51%		1									
0022190		2891	994	34.38%										1	
0022240		966	374	38.72%				1							
0030010		594	325	54.71%				1							
0030015		103	66	64.08%											
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%											2
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%								1			
0030270		824	370	44.90%											
0030300		724	369	50.97%											2
0030320		196	115	58.67%											
0030330		96	68	70.83%											
0030340		203	107	52.71%											
0032350		695	215	30.94%			1	1							
0040180		156	103	66.03%											
0040360		1278	529	41.39%											
0040365		19	9	47.37%											
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		1									
0040430		158	113	71.52%											
0040480		84	49	58.33%											
0040485		775	272	35.10%											
0040620		136	73	53.68%											
0041380		1076	351	32.62%											
0041400		1013	274	27.05%											2
0050370		279	172	61.65%											
0050450		197	112	56.85%											
0050455		51	21	41.18%											
0050560		1542	684	44.36%				1							1
0050580		1470	630	42.86%				1							
0050590		1575	702	44.57%				1	1						1
0051510		1349	423	31.36%				1	1						
0053610		243	118	48.56%											
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		3	1	8	3	1		2		1	12

1 GOVERNOR

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	DEM - ANTONIO VILLARAIGOSA	Write-ins	Total Votes	KALID MEKY	JIBRI J PEAVY	BUTCH WARE	MICHEL J DILGER	DIRK LANGER	CHE AHN
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%	2		1865						1
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%	1		994						2
0012060		1525	561	36.79%	1		548						
0012080		1086	517	47.61%	1		503			1			
0012210		660	265	40.15%	2		260						
0020140		1174	638	54.34%			628						
0020160		866	388	44.80%			383						
0020250		1327	803	60.51%			798						
0022190		2891	994	34.38%	1		975			2			
0022240		966	374	38.72%			361						
0030010		594	325	54.71%			321						
0030015		103	66	64.08%	2		65						
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%	1		1147			1			
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%	3		1265						
0030270		824	370	44.90%			369						
0030300		724	369	50.97%	1		363						
0030320		196	115	58.67%			114						
0030330		96	68	70.83%			68						
0030340		203	107	52.71%			107						
0032350		695	215	30.94%			214						
0040180		156	103	66.03%			101						
0040360		1278	529	41.39%	1		524						
0040365		19	9	47.37%			9						
0040420		1542	685	44.42%	2		671			1			
0040430		158	113	71.52%			112						
0040480		84	49	58.33%			49						
0040485		775	272	35.10%	2		267			1			
0040620		136	73	53.68%			72						
0041380		1076	351	32.62%	1		346						
0041400		1013	274	27.05%			268						
0050370		279	172	61.65%			167						
0050450		197	112	56.85%			111						
0050455		51	21	41.18%			21						
0050560		1542	684	44.36%	1		680						
0050580		1470	630	42.86%	1		623						
0050590		1575	702	44.57%	2		693						
0051510		1349	423	31.36%	4		417						
0053610		243	118	48.56%			116						
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%	29		16595			6			3

1 LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	DEM - MICHAEL TUBBS	DEM - TIM MYERS	DEM - FIONA MA	DEM - OLIVER MA	REP - EBIE LYNCH	DEM - JEYSON LOPEZ	REP - GLORIA ROMERO	NPP - RAKESH CHRISTIAN	REP - DAVID COLLENBERG	NPP - SEAN COLLINSON
0010030	3712	1886	50.81%	32	19	130	34	66	2	380		662	2
0010130	1786	1004	56.22%	19	5	109	21	28	1	196	1	290	1
0012060	1525	561	36.79%	23	5	71	32	14	3	70	1	149	3
0012080	1086	517	47.61%	11	9	87	17	28	2	80		143	2
0012210	660	265	40.15%	12	6	45	8	8	1	18		66	1
0020140	1174	638	54.34%	12	9	64	10	27		97		224	2
0020160	866	388	44.80%	11	6	40	5	16		53		153	
0020250	1327	803	60.51%	15	6	62	9	28	1	140		321	2
0022190	2891	994	34.38%	49	20	118	42	33	1	135		270	5
0022240	966	374	38.72%	8	6	31	10	15		54	1	116	
0030010	594	325	54.71%	11	1	35	6	12	2	75		101	2
0030015	103	66	64.08%	4		10				11	1	14	
0030120	2445	1158	47.36%	29	11	120	25	42	3	228	2	306	8
0030260	2496	1284	51.44%	37	12	142	40	46	4	204	1	395	9
0030270	824	370	44.90%	13	5	47	10	10	4	62		111	3
0030300	724	369	50.97%	10	2	54	11	10		66		119	
0030320	196	115	58.67%	6	2	17	2	6		20		36	
0030330	96	68	70.83%	2		13	2	3	1	8		25	
0030340	203	107	52.71%	6	2	8		5		15		41	1
0032350	695	215	30.94%	11	3	33	14	9	2	24		55	
0040180	156	103	66.03%			10	3	3		12	1	39	1
0040360	1278	529	41.39%	3	5	52	15	12	3	83		196	3
0040365	19	9	47.37%							3		3	
0040420	1542	685	44.42%	11	9	56	13	12	2	127	1	305	1
0040430	158	113	71.52%	1		15	3	2		27		48	
0040480	84	49	58.33%			4	2	2		3		25	2
0040485	775	272	35.10%	8	4	48	12	12	2	31		68	7
0040620	136	73	53.68%	1	1	5		3		20		31	
0041380	1076	351	32.62%	17	8	36	20	9	2	59		100	3
0041400	1013	274	27.05%	16	9	43	20	8	2	36		60	
0050370	279	172	61.65%	4		18	8	3		24		64	
0050450	197	112	56.85%	1	3	7	3	3		24		38	
0050455	51	21	41.18%			4				8		7	
0050560	1542	684	44.36%	18	8	67	18	23	3	86		234	1
0050580	1470	630	42.86%	26	8	74	10	20	1	110		206	1
0050590	1575	702	44.57%	25	12	78	15	28	1	126	3	236	2
0051510	1349	423	31.36%	12	9	58	18	14	4	54		134	
0053610	243	118	48.56%	4	1	18		5	2	25		23	
Contest Total	37312	16827	45.10%	468	206	1829	458	565	49	2794	12	5414	62

1 LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		DEM - JOSH FRYDAY	REP - DAVID FENNELL	PF - ALICE STEK	REP - SKIP SHELTON	DEM - ABDUR RAHMAN SIKDER	DEM - JANELLE KELLMAN	Write-ins	Total Votes	JAMES P CAMERON
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%		92	234	17	94	2	29		1795	
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%		62	133	5	50	2	26		949	
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		40	63	7	27	3	20		531	
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		34	45	4	21	1	6		490	
0012210		660	265	40.15%		26	30	3	15	1	6		246	
0020140		1174	638	54.34%		32	78	7	39	2	7		610	
0020160		866	388	44.80%		15	42	4	16		2		363	
0020250		1327	803	60.51%		30	100	1	41		8		764	
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		66	103	21	52	3	21		939	
0022240		966	374	38.72%		18	37	2	24	3	8		333	
0030010		594	325	54.71%		11	30	4	10	1			301	
0030015		103	66	64.08%			12		7		3		62	
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		69	170	5	56	1	15		1090	
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		96	131	8	63	3	19		1210	
0030270		824	370	44.90%		22	40	6	21		4		358	
0030300		724	369	50.97%		11	45	3	15		4		350	
0030320		196	115	58.67%		6	8	1	1		4		109	
0030330		96	68	70.83%		5	6		1		1		67	
0030340		203	107	52.71%		5	13	2	1	1			100	
0032350		695	215	30.94%		16	23	5	9		6		210	
0040180		156	103	66.03%		6	14	1	4		4		98	
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		17	65	5	23	3	10		495	
0040365		19	9	47.37%					1				7	
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		20	63	4	18	3	3		648	
0040430		158	113	71.52%		2	8		3		1		110	
0040480		84	49	58.33%		4	1			1			44	
0040485		775	272	35.10%		14	30	5	10	1	7		259	
0040620		136	73	53.68%		2	4	1	4				72	
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		26	30	5	13	1	9		338	
0041400		1013	274	27.05%		13	22	3	20		6		258	
0050370		279	172	61.65%		12	25		4		1		163	
0050450		197	112	56.85%		8	6		4		2		99	
0050455		51	21	41.18%			2						21	
0050560		1542	684	44.36%		46	96	14	19	3	16		652	
0050580		1470	630	42.86%		43	59	5	29	1	6		599	
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		38	57	6	26	1	14		668	
0051510		1349	423	31.36%		19	47	7	18	2	4		400	
0053610		243	118	48.56%		12	10	1	8		3		112	
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		938	1882	162	767	39	275		15920	

1 SECRETARY OF STATE

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		REP - DONALD P. (DON) WAGNER	DEM - SHIRLEY N. WEBER	GRN - GARY N. BLENNER	GRN - MICHAEL FEINSTEIN	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%		1453	340	27	11		1831
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%		716	236	9	13		974
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		327	186	19	6		538
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		320	156	16	8		500
0012210		660	265	40.15%		135	113	4	3		255
0020140		1174	638	54.34%		466	135	5	7		613
0020160		866	388	44.80%		297	73	2	3		375
0020250		1327	803	60.51%		653	120	5	6		784
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		583	315	25	29		952
0022240		966	374	38.72%		251	93	3	2		349
0030010		594	325	54.71%		230	65	7	4		306
0030015		103	66	64.08%		47	17				64
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		811	258	10	20		1099
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		847	333	28	23		1231
0030270		824	370	44.90%		246	103	6	1		356
0030300		724	369	50.97%		258	94	4	4		360
0030320		196	115	58.67%		71	35	2	3		111
0030330		96	68	70.83%		42	21	3			66
0030340		203	107	52.71%		78	18	4	2		102
0032350		695	215	30.94%		116	83	7	3		209
0040180		156	103	66.03%		73	25	1	2		101
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		388	110	6	3		507
0040365		19	9	47.37%		7	1				8
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		516	116	13	5		650
0040430		158	113	71.52%		89	20	1			110
0040480		84	49	58.33%		35	12				47
0040485		775	272	35.10%		152	95	8	9		264
0040620		136	73	53.68%		62	8	2	1		73
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		207	112	8	8		335
0041400		1013	274	27.05%		145	106	6	4		261
0050370		279	172	61.65%		126	40	1	1		168
0050450		197	112	56.85%		77	24	1	1		103
0050455		51	21	41.18%		17	4				21
0050560		1542	684	44.36%		460	181	15	7		663
0050580		1470	630	42.86%		426	173	7	2		608
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		469	180	19	5		673
0051510		1349	423	31.36%		273	120	10	9		412
0053610		243	118	48.56%		69	39	3	2		113
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		11538	4160	287	207		16192

1 CONTROLLER

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		REP - HERB W MORGAN	DEM - MALIA M. COHEN	PF - MEGHANN ADAMS	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%		1436	325	57		1818
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%		713	228	17		958
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		318	175	40		533
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		314	158	25		497
0012210		660	265	40.15%		137	102	9		248
0020140		1174	638	54.34%		461	134	6		601
0020160		866	388	44.80%		290	74	6		370
0020250		1327	803	60.51%		646	116	13		775
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		561	317	57		935
0022240		966	374	38.72%		245	87	13		345
0030010		594	325	54.71%		224	65	11		300
0030015		103	66	64.08%		46	16	1		63
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		800	259	27		1086
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		848	342	33		1223
0030270		824	370	44.90%		248	96	10		354
0030300		724	369	50.97%		253	94	10		357
0030320		196	115	58.67%		74	34	1		109
0030330		96	68	70.83%		40	23	2		65
0030340		203	107	52.71%		75	22	3		100
0032350		695	215	30.94%		118	81	11		210
0040180		156	103	66.03%		71	26	3		100
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		383	95	19		497
0040365		19	9	47.37%		7	1			8
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		516	112	17		645
0040430		158	113	71.52%		88	20	1		109
0040480		84	49	58.33%		35	10	1		46
0040485		775	272	35.10%		152	91	19		262
0040620		136	73	53.68%		60	8	4		72
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		200	119	13		332
0041400		1013	274	27.05%		143	105	11		259
0050370		279	172	61.65%		127	41	1		169
0050450		197	112	56.85%		77	22	2		101
0050455		51	21	41.18%		17	4			21
0050560		1542	684	44.36%		447	186	17		650
0050580		1470	630	42.86%		430	169	12		611
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		473	167	31		671
0051510		1349	423	31.36%		272	114	21		407
0053610		243	118	48.56%		71	44	1		116
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		11416	4082	525		16023

1 TREASURER

	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	GRN - GLENN TURNER	REP - JENNIFER HAWKS	DEM - ANNA M. CABALLERO	REP - DAVID SERPA	DEM - ELENI KOUNALAKIS	DEM - TONY VAZQUEZ	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030	3712	1886	50.81%	10	748	102	696	183	52		1791
0010130	1786	1004	56.22%	6	382	67	318	138	30		941
0012060	1525	561	36.79%	11	170	58	151	96	40		526
0012080	1086	517	47.61%	6	169	38	150	101	24		488
0012210	660	265	40.15%	2	69	34	69	54	15		243
0020140	1174	638	54.34%	5	220	32	234	74	30		595
0020160	866	388	44.80%	2	141	20	154	38	16		371
0020250	1327	803	60.51%		335	32	318	74	8		767
0022190	2891	994	34.38%	22	254	105	331	173	49		934
0022240	966	374	38.72%	3	110	22	137	54	11		337
0030010	594	325	54.71%	4	120	14	102	35	14		289
0030015	103	66	64.08%	1	26	3	20	12	1		63
0030120	2445	1158	47.36%	12	411	63	397	163	28		1074
0030260	2496	1284	51.44%	14	432	110	409	199	47		1211
0030270	824	370	44.90%	8	120	24	124	47	22		345
0030300	724	369	50.97%	2	128	26	130	57	9		352
0030320	196	115	58.67%	1	33	7	41	25	2		109
0030330	96	68	70.83%		21	5	21	13	3		63
0030340	203	107	52.71%	1	35	5	41	11	3		96
0032350	695	215	30.94%	2	62	31	55	39	17		206
0040180	156	103	66.03%		40	6	33	21	2		102
0040360	1278	529	41.39%	6	172	42	201	49	12		482
0040365	19	9	47.37%		3	2	2				7
0040420	1542	685	44.42%	8	258	40	259	57	20		642
0040430	158	113	71.52%		51	4	36	11	4		106
0040480	84	49	58.33%		23	6	11	5			45
0040485	775	272	35.10%	7	71	38	80	41	20		257
0040620	136	73	53.68%		33	1	30	6	2		72
0041380	1076	351	32.62%	4	89	40	114	54	28		329
0041400	1013	274	27.05%	4	83	51	63	42	14		257
0050370	279	172	61.65%	2	62	5	61	27	6		163
0050450	197	112	56.85%		44	8	34	15	2		103
0050455	51	21	41.18%		10	1	7	2			20
0050560	1542	684	44.36%	8	229	57	221	94	35		644
0050580	1470	630	42.86%	9	220	45	207	96	22		599
0050590	1575	702	44.57%	12	243	65	223	93	21		657
0051510	1349	423	31.36%	9	133	47	136	55	21		401
0053610	243	118	48.56%	3	35	17	36	17	5		113
Contest Total	37312	16827	45.10%	184	5785	1273	5652	2271	635		15800

1 ATTORNEY GENERAL

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		GRN - MARJORIE MIKELS	DEM - ROB BONTA	REP - MICHAEL E. GATES	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%		40	315	1453		1808
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%		28	215	716		959
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		39	160	330		529
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		17	152	322		491
0012210		660	265	40.15%		9	96	137		242
0020140		1174	638	54.34%		17	118	465		600
0020160		866	388	44.80%		5	66	289		360
0020250		1327	803	60.51%		8	111	651		770
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		63	289	589		941
0022240		966	374	38.72%		11	80	253		344
0030010		594	325	54.71%		12	61	227		300
0030015		103	66	64.08%			15	48		63
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		40	234	813		1087
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		46	306	848		1200
0030270		824	370	44.90%		16	89	241		346
0030300		724	369	50.97%		9	85	262		356
0030320		196	115	58.67%		6	32	74		112
0030330		96	68	70.83%		4	19	39		62
0030340		203	107	52.71%		4	18	77		99
0032350		695	215	30.94%		16	73	118		207
0040180		156	103	66.03%		4	23	72		99
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		11	99	390		500
0040365		19	9	47.37%			1	7		8
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		16	106	521		643
0040430		158	113	71.52%		4	16	84		104
0040480		84	49	58.33%		1	9	37		47
0040485		775	272	35.10%		19	84	158		261
0040620		136	73	53.68%		3	6	62		71
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		21	99	214		334
0041400		1013	274	27.05%		11	103	150		264
0050370		279	172	61.65%		2	41	121		164
0050450		197	112	56.85%		2	19	79		100
0050455		51	21	41.18%		1	2	17		20
0050560		1542	684	44.36%		28	157	463		648
0050580		1470	630	42.86%		22	156	427		605
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		33	157	474		664
0051510		1349	423	31.36%		18	109	275		402
0053610		243	118	48.56%		5	38	74		117
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		591	3759	11577		15927

1 INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	DEM - PATRICK WOLFF	REP - SEAN LEE	AI - KEITH W. DAVIS	REP - ROBERT P HOWELL	DEM - STEVEN CRAIG BRADFORD	REP - MERRITT FARREN	DEM - BEN ALLEN	REP - ERIC THOR AARNIO	REP - STACY A. KORSGADEN	DEM - JANE KIM
0010030	3712	1886	50.81%	35	131	37	441	32	301	111	121	381	153
0010130	1786	1004	56.22%	32	71	17	218	15	138	78	52	204	94
0012060	1525	561	36.79%	25	24	12	110	16	63	56	41	73	82
0012080	1086	517	47.61%	19	30	11	99	12	73	49	21	94	63
0012210	660	265	40.15%	12	20	8	54	10	21	24	9	34	50
0020140	1174	638	54.34%	18	42	17	126	10	106	49	37	129	52
0020160	866	388	44.80%	17	35	3	100	11	44	18	23	73	22
0020250	1327	803	60.51%	25	58	12	197	11	120	22	63	181	57
0022190	2891	994	34.38%	56	63	35	187	29	115	75	42	158	145
0022240	966	374	38.72%	11	29	6	68	11	46	23	26	74	34
0030010	594	325	54.71%	7	24	5	65	6	42	27	12	74	23
0030015	103	66	64.08%	3	8		13		4	4	4	17	9
0030120	2445	1158	47.36%	43	76	21	284	23	126	76	50	235	111
0030260	2496	1284	51.44%	38	109	20	240	45	186	107	53	236	129
0030270	824	370	44.90%	10	32	5	79	12	55	29	13	62	40
0030300	724	369	50.97%	20	29	14	73	8	41	26	31	63	35
0030320	196	115	58.67%	2	5		28	2	10	13	6	24	17
0030330	96	68	70.83%	3	4	1	13	3	3	7	8	11	8
0030340	203	107	52.71%	4	17	3	20	1	13	8	7	20	2
0032350	695	215	30.94%	10	19	5	34	12	22	32	8	30	27
0040180	156	103	66.03%	2	4	3	25		12	8	8	22	14
0040360	1278	529	41.39%	19	32	9	120	15	68	37	43	98	35
0040365	19	9	47.37%				1		5			1	
0040420	1542	685	44.42%	17	60	15	142	14	90	46	38	163	36
0040430	158	113	71.52%	1	7	1	20	1	11	8	5	43	10
0040480	84	49	58.33%	4	9		8	1	5	4	3	8	2
0040485	775	272	35.10%	19	21	9	47	14	30	22	10	41	35
0040620	136	73	53.68%	3	4	2	13		16	1	3	22	3
0041380	1076	351	32.62%	11	34	5	61	18	50	28	12	49	47
0041400	1013	274	27.05%	16	16	4	35	16	35	23	7	46	45
0050370	279	172	61.65%	2	16	2	36	2	23	9	12	33	24
0050450	197	112	56.85%	7	8	2	23	1	12	10	4	24	5
0050455	51	21	41.18%	1	1		3		3	1	2	8	2
0050560	1542	684	44.36%	21	48	20	150	22	75	54	39	121	66
0050580	1470	630	42.86%	15	28	11	128	19	95	54	29	134	68
0050590	1575	702	44.57%	37	35	15	150	16	87	37	49	135	69
0051510	1349	423	31.36%	19	20	13	86	19	43	27	16	87	50
0053610	243	118	48.56%		9	4	22	4	16	8	5	17	14
Contest Total	37312	16827	45.10%	584	1178	347	3519	431	2205	1211	912	3225	1678

1 INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		PF - EDUARDO "LALO" VARGAS	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%		10		1753
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%		10		929
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		14		516
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		12		483
0012210		660	265	40.15%		4		246
0020140		1174	638	54.34%		1		587
0020160		866	388	44.80%		7		353
0020250		1327	803	60.51%		2		748
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		21		926
0022240		966	374	38.72%		6		334
0030010		594	325	54.71%		5		290
0030015		103	66	64.08%				62
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		9		1054
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		19		1182
0030270		824	370	44.90%		7		344
0030300		724	369	50.97%		2		342
0030320		196	115	58.67%				107
0030330		96	68	70.83%		1		62
0030340		203	107	52.71%		1		96
0032350		695	215	30.94%		6		205
0040180		156	103	66.03%		1		99
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		5		481
0040365		19	9	47.37%				7
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		9		630
0040430		158	113	71.52%				107
0040480		84	49	58.33%				44
0040485		775	272	35.10%		11		259
0040620		136	73	53.68%		4		71
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		14		329
0041400		1013	274	27.05%		13		256
0050370		279	172	61.65%		2		161
0050450		197	112	56.85%		1		97
0050455		51	21	41.18%				21
0050560		1542	684	44.36%		15		631
0050580		1470	630	42.86%		8		589
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		19		649
0051510		1349	423	31.36%		19		399
0053610		243	118	48.56%		9		108
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		267		15557

1 MEMBER, STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION, 1ST DISTRICT

	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	DEM - DONALD E. WILLIAMSON	REP - DUSTY BEACH	REP - NADER F SHAHATIT	REP - SHANNON GROVE	DEM - NELSON ESPARZA	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030	3712	1886	50.81%	122	465	136	832	209		1764
0010130	1786	1004	56.22%	105	224	79	402	124		934
0012060	1525	561	36.79%	62	124	22	169	142		519
0012080	1086	517	47.61%	74	120	26	172	94		486
0012210	660	265	40.15%	43	43	10	77	65		238
0020140	1174	638	54.34%	48	159	41	256	85		589
0020160	866	388	44.80%	30	94	18	169	44		355
0020250	1327	803	60.51%	43	218	70	347	75		753
0022190	2891	994	34.38%	128	217	45	320	214		924
0022240	966	374	38.72%	33	97	12	136	59		337
0030010	594	325	54.71%	18	68	25	130	50		291
0030015	103	66	64.08%	6	13	5	24	8		56
0030120	2445	1158	47.36%	106	270	58	471	154		1059
0030260	2496	1284	51.44%	114	314	81	445	226		1180
0030270	824	370	44.90%	47	95	25	122	50		339
0030300	724	369	50.97%	46	92	22	140	52		352
0030320	196	115	58.67%	12	29	8	36	22		107
0030330	96	68	70.83%	11	18	6	16	12		63
0030340	203	107	52.71%	11	31	4	44	7		97
0032350	695	215	30.94%	29	37	9	75	58		208
0040180	156	103	66.03%	8	23	9	41	19		100
0040360	1278	529	41.39%	45	130	40	204	66		485
0040365	19	9	47.37%		4		3			7
0040420	1542	685	44.42%	33	188	30	297	86		634
0040430	158	113	71.52%	6	24	12	52	13		107
0040480	84	49	58.33%	6	8	8	14	6		42
0040485	775	272	35.10%	36	61	21	73	63		254
0040620	136	73	53.68%	1	16	5	43	7		72
0041380	1076	351	32.62%	31	94	16	107	85		333
0041400	1013	274	27.05%	36	49	9	91	73		258
0050370	279	172	61.65%	17	43	9	66	25		160
0050450	197	112	56.85%	9	28	2	43	15		97
0050455	51	21	41.18%	2	5	1	11	2		21
0050560	1542	684	44.36%	66	156	35	264	123		644
0050580	1470	630	42.86%	67	135	38	247	101		588
0050590	1575	702	44.57%	60	160	51	265	119		655
0051510	1349	423	31.36%	41	106	30	141	82		400
0053610	243	118	48.56%	17	26	5	40	20		108
Contest Total	37312	16827	45.10%	1569	3984	1023	6385	2655		15616

1 UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, 1ST DISTRICT - FULL TERM

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	DEM - MIKE MCGUIRE	NPP - RICHARD T. MINNER	DEM - AUDREY DENNEY	REP - JAMES GALLAGHER	DEM - JANICE KARRMAN	NPP - TIMOTHY SEAN KELLY	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%	189	4	147	1485	5	8		1838
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%	135	2	97	746	3	2		985
0012060		1525	561	36.79%	83	1	115	334	6	7		546
0012080		1086	517	47.61%	90		76	327	7	3		503
0012210		660	265	40.15%	61	3	39	146	5	1		255
0020140		1174	638	54.34%	82	1	55	475		3		616
0020160		866	388	44.80%	43	1	29	299		3		375
0020250		1327	803	60.51%	58	1	56	667	1	2		785
0022190		2891	994	34.38%	173	2	154	616	5	13		963
0022240		966	374	38.72%	53	1	36	259		4		353
0030010		594	325	54.71%	38	1	32	234	2	3		310
0030015		103	66	64.08%	3	1	12	46	1			63
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%	151	2	118	835	2	8		1116
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%	180	6	169	883	6	10		1254
0030270		824	370	44.90%	70		31	260	1	1		363
0030300		724	369	50.97%	47		48	268		2		365
0030320		196	115	58.67%	18		18	75				111
0030330		96	68	70.83%	4		20	41				65
0030340		203	107	52.71%	12		6	80	2	2		102
0032350		695	215	30.94%	46	2	36	119	4	3		210
0040180		156	103	66.03%	12		13	75	2			102
0040360		1278	529	41.39%	60	1	48	402	1	3		515
0040365		19	9	47.37%	1		1	7				9
0040420		1542	685	44.42%	74		49	531	4	8		666
0040430		158	113	71.52%	11	1	8	91	1			112
0040480		84	49	58.33%	3		7	37	1			48
0040485		775	272	35.10%	52	3	38	159	5	4		261
0040620		136	73	53.68%	3		5	63		1		72
0041380		1076	351	32.62%	50	1	64	220	3	1		339
0041400		1013	274	27.05%	40		70	151	1	2		264
0050370		279	172	61.65%	17		25	125				167
0050450		197	112	56.85%	15		9	85				109
0050455		51	21	41.18%	3		1	17				21
0050560		1542	684	44.36%	88	4	86	476	7	4		665
0050580		1470	630	42.86%	84	2	87	437	2	3		615
0050590		1575	702	44.57%	79		107	492	2	4		684
0051510		1349	423	31.36%	61		68	278	4	2		413
0053610		243	118	48.56%	11		29	77				117
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%	2200	40	2009	11918	83	107		16357

1 UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE, 1ST DISTRICT - PARTIAL/UNEXPIRED TERM

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	REP - JAMES GALLAGHER	DEM - MIKE MCGUIRE	NPP - RICHARD MONTGOMERY	DEM - AUDREY DENNEY	REP - JOT THIARA	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%	1450	204	3	144	27		1828
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%	722	144	4	95	9		974
0012060		1525	561	36.79%	303	87	16	111	26		543
0012080		1086	517	47.61%	313	85	7	87	9		501
0012210		660	265	40.15%	139	55	3	48	6		251
0020140		1174	638	54.34%	463	84	7	53	5		612
0020160		866	388	44.80%	293	36	3	34	8		374
0020250		1327	803	60.51%	650	54	2	61	14		781
0022190		2891	994	34.38%	601	176	12	148	19		956
0022240		966	374	38.72%	247	56	4	33	15		355
0030010		594	325	54.71%	226	42	3	28	7		306
0030015		103	66	64.08%	46	5	1	11			63
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%	791	147	11	126	29		1104
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%	849	203	15	148	27		1242
0030270		824	370	44.90%	249	73		26	8		356
0030300		724	369	50.97%	260	49		45	3		357
0030320		196	115	58.67%	74	19		20			113
0030330		96	68	70.83%	39	7		17	1		64
0030340		203	107	52.71%	80	11	1	8	2		102
0032350		695	215	30.94%	110	49	5	36	8		208
0040180		156	103	66.03%	70	11	1	15	3		100
0040360		1278	529	41.39%	390	67	2	44	7		510
0040365		19	9	47.37%	7	1		1			9
0040420		1542	685	44.42%	519	75	2	50	15		661
0040430		158	113	71.52%	90	11		10			111
0040480		84	49	58.33%	37	5		5			47
0040485		775	272	35.10%	151	55	6	42	7		261
0040620		136	73	53.68%	64	1		6	2		73
0041380		1076	351	32.62%	205	45	4	67	16		337
0041400		1013	274	27.05%	147	44	2	63	5		261
0050370		279	172	61.65%	126	14		25	1		166
0050450		197	112	56.85%	83	15		9	3		110
0050455		51	21	41.18%	17	2		2			21
0050560		1542	684	44.36%	451	106	6	84	19		666
0050580		1470	630	42.86%	437	80	7	82	5		611
0050590		1575	702	44.57%	473	82	3	106	18		682
0051510		1349	423	31.36%	267	64	1	66	13		411
0053610		243	118	48.56%	75	13		28	1		117
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%	11514	2277	131	1984	338		16244

1 MEMBER OF THE STATE ASSEMBLY, 3RD DISTRICT

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		REP - JAMES "JAMIE" JOHANSSON	REP - DOM BELZA	REP - ANDREW COOLIDGE	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%		664	750	213		1627
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%		286	408	147		841
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		169	158	138		465
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		130	202	96		428
0012210		660	265	40.15%		70	84	50		204
0020140		1174	638	54.34%		222	234	84		540
0020160		866	388	44.80%		122	163	50		335
0020250		1327	803	60.51%		269	353	88		710
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		289	317	180		786
0022240		966	374	38.72%		116	130	48		294
0030010		594	325	54.71%		93	121	40		254
0030015		103	66	64.08%		26	15	13		54
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		380	406	158		944
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		358	525	184		1067
0030270		824	370	44.90%		95	147	60		302
0030300		724	369	50.97%		118	163	41		322
0030320		196	115	58.67%		48	30	13		91
0030330		96	68	70.83%		24	22	7		53
0030340		203	107	52.71%		36	47	11		94
0032350		695	215	30.94%		65	60	47		172
0040180		156	103	66.03%		45	29	12		86
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		188	209	65		462
0040365		19	9	47.37%		3	3	2		8
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		203	312	78		593
0040430		158	113	71.52%		29	55	15		99
0040480		84	49	58.33%		23	19	4		46
0040485		775	272	35.10%		89	74	51		214
0040620		136	73	53.68%		20	43	8		71
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		85	146	54		285
0041400		1013	274	27.05%		71	97	49		217
0050370		279	172	61.65%		67	62	19		148
0050450		197	112	56.85%		39	43	13		95
0050455		51	21	41.18%		8	12			20
0050560		1542	684	44.36%		175	298	88		561
0050580		1470	630	42.86%		160	290	94		544
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		194	319	88		601
0051510		1349	423	31.36%		109	171	70		350
0053610		243	118	48.56%		35	41	21		97
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		5123	6558	2399		14080

1 SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

	Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)	GUS MATTAMMAL	AL MURATSUCHI	FRANK LARA	AINYE LONG	ANTHONY RENDON	NICHELLE M. HENDERSON	RICHARD BARRERA	WENDY CASTANEDA LEAL	JOSH NEWMAN	SONJA SHAW
0010030	3712	1886	50.81%	59	55	127	114	64	43	160	130	168	561
0010130	1786	1004	56.22%	31	43	33	40	47	23	129	61	63	301
0012060	1525	561	36.79%	12	26	52	37	29	25	97	34	38	120
0012080	1086	517	47.61%	15	19	37	26	22	21	76	47	23	129
0012210	660	265	40.15%	2	6	36	13	15	12	28	26	13	63
0020140	1174	638	54.34%	15	35	38	47	26	22	68	31	40	180
0020160	866	388	44.80%	5	8	25	27	17	10	41	37	26	105
0020250	1327	803	60.51%	19	32	47	42	19	19	110	34	72	249
0022190	2891	994	34.38%	37	43	87	94	48	53	105	76	80	226
0022240	966	374	38.72%	13	15	28	27	16	7	49	33	28	85
0030010	594	325	54.71%	4	14	21	18	8	8	38	15	15	95
0030015	103	66	64.08%	3	7		3	2	9	4	1	9	10
0030120	2445	1158	47.36%	27	28	70	65	40	35	104	84	83	355
0030260	2496	1284	51.44%	36	45	93	81	47	34	177	101	94	316
0030270	824	370	44.90%	13	13	20	23	15	18	35	32	39	99
0030300	724	369	50.97%	18	3	24	20	17	9	54	21	27	104
0030320	196	115	58.67%	2	5	3	6	2	3	16	7	7	38
0030330	96	68	70.83%	1	1	6	3			13	9	3	18
0030340	203	107	52.71%	3	12	7	12	1	8	4	6	10	23
0032350	695	215	30.94%	5	18	20	9	10	14	28	19	23	39
0040180	156	103	66.03%	4	3	5	2	12	6	13	4	9	24
0040360	1278	529	41.39%	21	13	36	31	23	33	49	34	30	144
0040365	19	9	47.37%	3								1	3
0040420	1542	685	44.42%	19	24	66	40	19	19	74	37	51	194
0040430	158	113	71.52%	9	1	5	13	5	1	9	8	7	40
0040480	84	49	58.33%	1		2	3	1		8	2	5	12
0040485	775	272	35.10%	4	10	30	23	18	15	26	36	15	47
0040620	136	73	53.68%	6	2	1	4		3	8	4	10	27
0041380	1076	351	32.62%	7	18	20	22	19	11	47	43	26	78
0041400	1013	274	27.05%	3	8	29	28	11	17	41	21	9	65
0050370	279	172	61.65%	5	4	11	7	7	4	18	14	12	55
0050450	197	112	56.85%	2	3	5	5	4	1	8	7	6	30
0050455	51	21	41.18%				1	2	1	2	1		11
0050560	1542	684	44.36%	21	25	55	31	38	20	77	45	59	171
0050580	1470	630	42.86%	15	45	32	36	34	24	60	43	43	184
0050590	1575	702	44.57%	26	20	54	36	29	30	85	64	38	196
0051510	1349	423	31.36%	9	14	33	30	22	24	54	34	25	108
0053610	243	118	48.56%	1	4	14	7	7	1	13	8	6	27
Contest Total	37312	16827	45.10%	476	622	1172	1026	696	583	1928	1209	1213	4532

1 SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%			1481
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%			771
0012060		1525	561	36.79%			470
0012080		1086	517	47.61%			415
0012210		660	265	40.15%			214
0020140		1174	638	54.34%			502
0020160		866	388	44.80%			301
0020250		1327	803	60.51%			643
0022190		2891	994	34.38%			849
0022240		966	374	38.72%			301
0030010		594	325	54.71%			236
0030015		103	66	64.08%			48
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%			891
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%			1024
0030270		824	370	44.90%			307
0030300		724	369	50.97%			297
0030320		196	115	58.67%			89
0030330		96	68	70.83%			54
0030340		203	107	52.71%			86
0032350		695	215	30.94%			185
0040180		156	103	66.03%			82
0040360		1278	529	41.39%			414
0040365		19	9	47.37%			7
0040420		1542	685	44.42%			543
0040430		158	113	71.52%			98
0040480		84	49	58.33%			34
0040485		775	272	35.10%			224
0040620		136	73	53.68%			65
0041380		1076	351	32.62%			291
0041400		1013	274	27.05%			232
0050370		279	172	61.65%			137
0050450		197	112	56.85%			71
0050455		51	21	41.18%			18
0050560		1542	684	44.36%			542
0050580		1470	630	42.86%			516
0050590		1575	702	44.57%			578
0051510		1349	423	31.36%			353
0053610		243	118	48.56%			88
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%			13457

1 COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		JARED CAYLOR	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%		1172		1172
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%		687		687
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		420		420
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		385		385
0012210		660	265	40.15%		181		181
0020140		1174	638	54.34%		453		453
0020160		866	388	44.80%		268		268
0020250		1327	803	60.51%		574		574
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		735		735
0022240		966	374	38.72%		261		261
0030010		594	325	54.71%		199		199
0030015		103	66	64.08%		40		40
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		712		712
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		930		930
0030270		824	370	44.90%		282		282
0030300		724	369	50.97%		267		267
0030320		196	115	58.67%		79		79
0030330		96	68	70.83%		45		45
0030340		203	107	52.71%		80		80
0032350		695	215	30.94%		165		165
0040180		156	103	66.03%		65		65
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		371		371
0040365		19	9	47.37%		4		4
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		490		490
0040430		158	113	71.52%		86		86
0040480		84	49	58.33%		28		28
0040485		775	272	35.10%		194		194
0040620		136	73	53.68%		62		62
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		240		240
0041400		1013	274	27.05%		206		206
0050370		279	172	61.65%		115		115
0050450		197	112	56.85%		69		69
0050455		51	21	41.18%		17		17
0050560		1542	684	44.36%		490		490
0050580		1470	630	42.86%		428		428
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		480		480
0051510		1349	423	31.36%		308		308
0053610		243	118	48.56%		87		87
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		11675		11675

1 SUPERVISOR, 3RD DISTRICT

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		STEVE ZANE	MELANI KAIN	Write-ins	Total Votes
0030010		594	325	54.71%		182	107		289
0030015		103	66	64.08%		42	14		56
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		519	518		1037
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		851	336		1187
0030270		824	370	44.90%		258	79		337
0030300		724	369	50.97%		253	83		336
0030320		196	115	58.67%		81	28		109
0030330		96	68	70.83%		54	12		66
0030340		203	107	52.71%		91	10		101
0032350		695	215	30.94%		139	51		190
Contest Total		8376	4077	48.67%		2470	1238		3708

1 SUPERVISOR, 4TH DISTRICT

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		SUSAN PRICE	MATT HANSEN	Write-ins	Total Votes
0040180		156	103	66.03%		33	53		86
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		178	268		446
0040365		19	9	47.37%		1	8		9
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		236	344		580
0040430		158	113	71.52%		15	93		108
0040480		84	49	58.33%		10	32		42
0040485		775	272	35.10%		114	123		237
0040620		136	73	53.68%		24	47		71
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		128	173		301
0041400		1013	274	27.05%		121	120		241
Contest Total		6237	2458	39.41%		860	1261		2121

1 ASSESSOR

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		BURLEY PHILLIPS	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%		1238		1238
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%		687		687
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		427		427
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		389		389
0012210		660	265	40.15%		192		192
0020140		1174	638	54.34%		456		456
0020160		866	388	44.80%		279		279
0020250		1327	803	60.51%		599		599
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		738		738
0022240		966	374	38.72%		275		275
0030010		594	325	54.71%		193		193
0030015		103	66	64.08%		44		44
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		757		757
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		936		936
0030270		824	370	44.90%		294		294
0030300		724	369	50.97%		272		272
0030320		196	115	58.67%		82		82
0030330		96	68	70.83%		49		49
0030340		203	107	52.71%		86		86
0032350		695	215	30.94%		168		168
0040180		156	103	66.03%		73		73
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		372		372
0040365		19	9	47.37%		4		4
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		498		498
0040430		158	113	71.52%		86		86
0040480		84	49	58.33%		32		32
0040485		775	272	35.10%		201		201
0040620		136	73	53.68%		63		63
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		248		248
0041400		1013	274	27.05%		198		198
0050370		279	172	61.65%		119		119
0050450		197	112	56.85%		72		72
0050455		51	21	41.18%		18		18
0050560		1542	684	44.36%		511		511
0050580		1470	630	42.86%		428		428
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		507		507
0051510		1349	423	31.36%		323		323
0053610		243	118	48.56%		81		81
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		11995		11995

1 AUDITOR/CONTROLLER

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		KRISTA PETERSON	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%		1224		1224
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%		700		700
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		433		433
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		386		386
0012210		660	265	40.15%		191		191
0020140		1174	638	54.34%		456		456
0020160		866	388	44.80%		276		276
0020250		1327	803	60.51%		582		582
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		734		734
0022240		966	374	38.72%		270		270
0030010		594	325	54.71%		201		201
0030015		103	66	64.08%		47		47
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		758		758
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		951		951
0030270		824	370	44.90%		291		291
0030300		724	369	50.97%		275		275
0030320		196	115	58.67%		81		81
0030330		96	68	70.83%		51		51
0030340		203	107	52.71%		84		84
0032350		695	215	30.94%		165		165
0040180		156	103	66.03%		72		72
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		373		373
0040365		19	9	47.37%		4		4
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		498		498
0040430		158	113	71.52%		85		85
0040480		84	49	58.33%		33		33
0040485		775	272	35.10%		202		202
0040620		136	73	53.68%		65		65
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		251		251
0041400		1013	274	27.05%		198		198
0050370		279	172	61.65%		116		116
0050450		197	112	56.85%		70		70
0050455		51	21	41.18%		17		17
0050560		1542	684	44.36%		506		506
0050580		1470	630	42.86%		433		433
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		508		508
0051510		1349	423	31.36%		314		314
0053610		243	118	48.56%		86		86
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		11987		11987

1 CLERK & RECORDER

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		SEAN HOUGHTBY	PATI NOLEN	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%		1072	383		1455
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%		691	139		830
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		373	127		500
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		384	82		466
0012210		660	265	40.15%		158	55		213
0020140		1174	638	54.34%		447	90		537
0020160		866	388	44.80%		266	66		332
0020250		1327	803	60.51%		589	122		711
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		623	225		848
0022240		966	374	38.72%		254	69		323
0030010		594	325	54.71%		197	64		261
0030015		103	66	64.08%		41	10		51
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		654	232		886
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		914	225		1139
0030270		824	370	44.90%		276	52		328
0030300		724	369	50.97%		251	72		323
0030320		196	115	58.67%		85	18		103
0030330		96	68	70.83%		48	14		62
0030340		203	107	52.71%		86	12		98
0032350		695	215	30.94%		136	44		180
0040180		156	103	66.03%		65	24		89
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		320	106		426
0040365		19	9	47.37%		7			7
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		448	115		563
0040430		158	113	71.52%		80	18		98
0040480		84	49	58.33%		33	9		42
0040485		775	272	35.10%		142	81		223
0040620		136	73	53.68%		56	10		66
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		192	90		282
0041400		1013	274	27.05%		160	70		230
0050370		279	172	61.65%		109	23		132
0050450		197	112	56.85%		68	12		80
0050455		51	21	41.18%		13	6		19
0050560		1542	684	44.36%		442	130		572
0050580		1470	630	42.86%		406	100		506
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		465	129		594
0051510		1349	423	31.36%		265	87		352
0053610		243	118	48.56%		75	22		97
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		10891	3133		14024

1 TREASURER/TAX COLLECTOR

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		PARKER R. HUNT	Write-ins	Total Votes
0010030		3712	1886	50.81%		1217		1217
0010130		1786	1004	56.22%		696		696
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		418		418
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		366		366
0012210		660	265	40.15%		182		182
0020140		1174	638	54.34%		452		452
0020160		866	388	44.80%		269		269
0020250		1327	803	60.51%		576		576
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		711		711
0022240		966	374	38.72%		262		262
0030010		594	325	54.71%		203		203
0030015		103	66	64.08%		51		51
0030120		2445	1158	47.36%		758		758
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		940		940
0030270		824	370	44.90%		285		285
0030300		724	369	50.97%		270		270
0030320		196	115	58.67%		77		77
0030330		96	68	70.83%		48		48
0030340		203	107	52.71%		81		81
0032350		695	215	30.94%		159		159
0040180		156	103	66.03%		72		72
0040360		1278	529	41.39%		370		370
0040365		19	9	47.37%		5		5
0040420		1542	685	44.42%		504		504
0040430		158	113	71.52%		82		82
0040480		84	49	58.33%		31		31
0040485		775	272	35.10%		198		198
0040620		136	73	53.68%		65		65
0041380		1076	351	32.62%		253		253
0041400		1013	274	27.05%		199		199
0050370		279	172	61.65%		113		113
0050450		197	112	56.85%		63		63
0050455		51	21	41.18%		17		17
0050560		1542	684	44.36%		499		499
0050580		1470	630	42.86%		424		424
0050590		1575	702	44.57%		493		493
0051510		1349	423	31.36%		314		314
0053610		243	118	48.56%		86		86
Contest Total		37312	16827	45.10%		11809		11809

1 Measure S - Street Safety, Maintenance, And Road Tax Citizen Initiative.

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		YES	NO	Total Votes
0012060		1525	561	36.79%		321	222	543
0012080		1086	517	47.61%		311	188	499
0012210		660	265	40.15%		157	94	251
0022190		2891	994	34.38%		558	404	962
0022240		966	374	38.72%		216	146	362
0032350		695	215	30.94%		143	68	211
Contest Total		7823	2926	37.40%		1706	1122	2828

1 Measure T - Antelope Elementary School District Safe And Updated Schools Measure

		Registered Voters	Voters Cast	Turnout (%)		YES	NO	Total Votes
0030260		2496	1284	51.44%		662	546	1208
0030270		444	182	40.99%		81	88	169
0030320		196	115	58.67%		43	65	108
0030330		96	68	70.83%		20	43	63
0030340		203	107	52.71%		60	41	101
0032350		114	33	28.95%		19	11	30
Contest Total		3549	1789	50.41%		885	794	1679

City Council

Staff Report

555 Washington Street
Red Bluff, CA 96080
(530) 527-2605

File #: 16-2026 **Agenda Date:** 7/9/2026 **Agenda #:** 2.

TO: **Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council**

FROM: **Paul Young, Finance Director**

SUBJECT:
A Resolution of the City of Red Bluff Authorizing the City Manager to Execute Agreements with the State Board of Equalization (BOE) for Implementation of a Local Transactions and Use Tax

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
Approve Resolution No.16-2026 authorizing the City Manager to execute the agreements with the BOE for implementation of a local transactions and use tax.

SUMMARY:
As a result of the passage of Measure S on the June 02, 2026, Ballot, the BOE has sent several agreements that the City needs to approve. This is because the BOE is the only entity allowed to collect and distribute sales tax

PREVIOUS COUNCIL ACTION:
During its meeting on January 20, 2026, the City Council approved Resolution 01-2026, calling for placing the Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative on the June 2, 2026, election.

On June 2, 2026, the electorate voted to approve the implementation of a local transactions and use tax.

DISCUSSION:
The City of Red Bluff certified signatures on a citizen initiative to add a special transaction and use tax (known as “sales tax”) of one percent (1.0%) to be used to repair and improve streets and roads in the City. On January 20, 2026, the City Council directed staff to submit the sales tax initiative to be placed on the June 2, 2026, ballot.

On June 02, 2026, the electorate of the City of Red Bluff passed Measure S approving a new one percent (1.0%) local sales or transactions and use tax (TUT), which increases the TUT, or sales tax, rate from 7.5% to 8.5%. The CDTFA administers and collects the tax for the City and requires administration agreements with local jurisdictions to enact the tax. The agreements are included as Exhibit A and Exhibit B of the proposed resolution authorizing agreements with the CDTFA.

CITY FISCAL IMPACT:
The City of Red Bluff will receive a new revenue source for use on streets. This tax is subject to a “preparatory cost”, and an amount “as is provided by law” for administration costs(Revenue and Taxation Code sections 7272 and 7273), as well as the other sales taxes the city received prior to this new tax.

The City’s revenues were reduced by $37,405 or 0.65% for its Bradley Burns 1% tax and was charged $19,720 or 1.36% for its Measure D .25% tax collections in fiscal year 2024-2025. CDTFA fees are deducted at the state level from amounts collected.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. City Preparatory Agreement Attachment
2. City Administrative Agreement

CITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 16-2026

A RESOLUTION OF THE RED BLUFF CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE AGREEMENTS WITH THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION (BOE) FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF A LOCAL TRANSACTION AND USE TAX

WHEREAS, on June 02, 2026, the electorate of the City of Red Bluff passed Measure S, a one-cent transactions and use tax (TUT) (1%); and

WHEREAS, the City of Red Bluff (City) entered into a contract with the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration (Department) to perform all functions incident to the administration and collection of transactions and use tax; and

WHEREAS, the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration (Department) administers and collects the transactions and use taxes for all applicable jurisdictions within the state; and

WHEREAS, the Department will be responsible for administering and collecting the transactions and use tax for the City; and

WHEREAS, the Department requires that the City enter into a “Preparatory Agreement” and an “Administrative Agreement” prior to implementation of said taxes, and

WHEREAS, the Department requires that the City Council authorize the agreements

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED: by the City Council of the City of Red Bluff that the “Preparatory Agreement” attached as Exhibit A and the “Administrative Agreement” attached as Exhibit B are hereby approved and the City Manager who is hereby authorized to execute each agreement.

The foregoing resolution was introduced and adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Red Bluff held on July 9, 2026, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT OR NOT VOTING:

DATED: ______________ ______________________

Mayor’s Signature

ATTEST:

____
Deputy City Clerk

Exhibit A

AGREEMENT FOR PREPARATION TO ADMINISTER AND OPERATE CITY'S TRANSACTIONS AND USE TAX ORDINANCE

In order to prepare to administer a transactions and use tax ordinance adopted in accordance with the provision of Part 1.6 (commencing with Section 7251) of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, the City of Red Bluff, hereinafter called *City*, and the CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION, hereinafter called *Department*, do agree as follows:

1. The Department agrees to enter into work to prepare to administer and operate a transactions and use tax in conformity with Part 1.6 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code which has been approved by a majority of the electors of the City and whose ordinance has been adopted by the City.

2. City agrees to pay to the Department at the times and in the amounts hereinafter specified all of the Department's costs for preparatory work necessary to administer the City's transactions and use tax ordinance. The Department's costs for preparatory work include costs of developing procedures, programming for data processing, developing and adopting appropriate regulations, designing and printing forms, developing instructions for the Department's staff and for taxpayers, and other appropriate and necessary preparatory costs to administer a transactions and use tax ordinance. These costs shall include both direct and indirect costs as specified in Section 11256 of the Government Code.

3. Preparatory costs may be accounted for in a manner which conforms to the internal accounting and personnel records currently maintained by the Department. The billings for costs may be presented in summary form. Detailed records of preparatory costs will be retained for audit and verification by the City.

4. Any dispute as to the amount of preparatory costs incurred by the Department shall be referred to the State Director of Finance for resolution, and the Director's decision shall be final.

5. Preparatory costs incurred by the Department shall be billed by the Department periodically, with the final billing within a reasonable time after the operative date of the ordinance. City shall pay to the Department the amount of such costs on or before the last day of the next succeeding month following the month when the billing is received.

6. The amount to be paid by City for the Department's preparatory costs shall not exceed one hundred seventy-five thousand dollars ($175,000) (Revenue and Taxation Code Section 7272.)

7. Communications and notices may be sent by first class United States mail or through email at jservices@cdtfa.ca.gov. If and when communications and notices may include confidential information, communications and notices must be sent through encrypted email at jservices@cdtfa.ca.gov or by mail. Communications and notices to be sent to the Department shall be addressed to:

California Department of Tax and Fee Administration
P.O. Box 942879 MIC: 27
Sacramento, California 94279-0027

Attention: Administrator
Local Revenue Branch

Communications and notices to be sent to City shall be addressed to:

City Of Red Bluff

Attention: Finance Director

555 Washington Street

Red Bluff, CA 96080

8. The date of this agreement is the date on which it is approved by the Department of General Services. This agreement shall continue in effect until the preparatory work necessary to administer City's transactions and use tax ordinance has been completed and the Department has received all payments due from City under the terms of this agreement.

CITY OF ______________________________

By ___________________________________
(Signature)

Tom Westbrook
(Typed Name)

City Manager
(Title)

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION

By ___________________________________
Administrator
Local Revenue Branch

Date: _________________________________

Date: ______________________________

Exhibit B

AGREEMENT FOR STATE ADMINISTRATION OF CITY TRANSACTIONS AND USE TAXES

The City Council of the City of Red Bluff has adopted, and the voters of the City of Red Bluff (hereafter called "City" or "District") have approved by the required majority vote, the City of Red Bluff Transactions and Use Tax Ordinance (hereafter called "Ordinance"), a copy of which is attached hereto. To carry out the provisions of Part 1.6 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code and the Ordinance, the California State Department of Tax and Fee Administration, (hereinafter called the "Department") and the City do agree as follows:

ARTICLE I

DEFINITIONS

Unless the context requires otherwise, wherever the following terms appear in the Agreement, they shall be interpreted to mean the following:

1. "District taxes" shall mean the transactions and use taxes, penalties, and interest imposed under an ordinance specifically authorized by Revenue and Taxation code Section **________**, and in compliance with Part 1.6, Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

2. "City Ordinance" shall mean the City's Transactions and Use Tax Ordinance referred to above and attached hereto, Ordinance No. Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative (Measure S), as amended from time to time, or as deemed to be amended from time to time pursuant to Revenue and Taxation Code Section 7262.2.

ARTICLE II

ADMINISTRATION AND COLLECTION OF CITY TAXES

A. Administration. The Department and City agree that the Department shall perform exclusively all functions incident to the administration and operation of the City Ordinance.

B. Other Applicable Laws. City agrees that all provisions of law applicable to the administration and operation of the Department Sales and Use Tax Law which are not inconsistent with Part 1.6 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code shall be applicable to the administration and operation of the City Ordinance. City agrees that money collected pursuant to the City Ordinance may be deposited into the State Treasury to the credit of the Retail Sales Tax Fund and may be drawn from that Fund for any authorized purpose, including making refunds, compensating and reimbursing the Department pursuant to Article IV of this Agreement, and transmitting to City the amount to which City is entitled.

C. Transmittal of money.

1. For the period during which the tax is in effect, and except as otherwise provided herein, all district taxes collected under the provisions of the City Ordinance shall be transmitted to City periodically as promptly as feasible, but not less often than twice in each calendar quarter.

2. For periods subsequent to the expiration date of the tax whether by City's self-imposed limits or by final judgment of any court of the State of California holding that City's ordinance is invalid or void, all district taxes collected under the provisions of the City Ordinance shall be transmitted to City not less than once in each calendar quarter.

3. Transmittals may be made by mail or electronic funds transfer to an account of the City designated and authorized by the City. A statement shall be furnished at least quarterly indicating the amounts withheld pursuant to Article IV of this Agreement.

D. Rules. The Department shall prescribe and adopt such rules and regulations as in its judgment are necessary or desirable for the administration and operation of the City Ordinance and the distribution of the district taxes collected thereunder.

E. Preference. Unless the payor instructs otherwise, and except as otherwise provided in this Agreement, the Department shall give no preference in applying money received for state sales and use taxes, state-administered local sales and use taxes, and district transactions and use taxes owed by a taxpayer, but shall apply moneys collected to the satisfaction of the claims of the State, cities, counties, cities and counties, redevelopment agencies, other districts, and City as their interests appear.

F. Security. The Department agrees that any security which it hereafter requires to be furnished by taxpayers under the State Sales and Use Tax Law will be upon such terms that it also will be available for the payment of the claims of City for district taxes owing to it as its interest appears. The Department shall not be required to change the terms of any security now held by it, and City shall not participate in any security now held by the Department.

G. Records of the Department.

When requested by resolution of the legislative body of the City under section 7056 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, the Department agrees to permit authorized personnel of the City to examine the records of the Department, including the name, address, and account number of each seller holding a seller's permit with a registered business location in the City, pertaining to the ascertainment of transactions and use taxes collected for the City. Information obtained by the City from examination of the Department's records shall be used by the City only for purposes related to the collection of transactions and use taxes by the Department pursuant to this Agreement.

H. Annexation. City agrees that the Department shall not be required to give effect to an annexation, for the purpose of collecting, allocating, and distributing District transactions and use taxes, earlier than the first day of the calendar quarter which commences not less than two months after notice to the Department. The notice shall include the name of the county or counties annexed to the extended City boundary. In the event the City shall annex an area, the boundaries of which are not coterminous with a county or counties, the notice shall include a description of the area annexed and two maps of the City showing the area annexed and the location address of the property nearest to the extended City boundary on each side of every street or road crossing the boundary.

ARTICLE III

ALLOCATION OF TAX

A. Allocation. In the administration of the Department's contracts with all districts that impose transactions and use taxes imposed under ordinances, which comply with Part 1.6 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code:

1. Any payment not identified as being in payment of liability owing to a designated district or districts may be apportioned among the districts as their interest appear, or, in the discretion

of the Department, to all districts with which the Department has contracted using ratios reflected by the distribution of district taxes collected from all taxpayers.

2. All district taxes collected as a result of determinations or billings made by the Department, and all amounts refunded or credited may be distributed or charged to the respective districts in the same ratio as the taxpayer's self-declared district taxes for the period for which the determination, billing, refund or credit applies.

B. Vehicles, Vessels, and Aircraft. For the purpose of allocating use tax with respect to vehicles, vessels, or aircraft, the address of the registered owner appearing on the application for registration or on the certificate of ownership may be used by the Department in determining the place of use.

ARTICLE IV
COMPENSATION

The City agrees to pay to the Department as the State's cost of administering the City Ordinance such amount as is provided for by law. Such amounts shall be deducted from the taxes collected by the Department for the City.

ARTICLE V
MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

A. Communications. Communications and notices may be sent by first class United States mail to the addresses listed below, or to such other addresses as the parties may from time to time designate or through email at jservices@cdtfa.ca.gov. If and when communications and notices may include confidential information, communications and notices must be sent through encrypted email at jservices@cdtfa.ca.gov or by mail.

Communications and notices to be sent to the Department shall be addressed to:

California State Department of Tax and Fee Administration
P.O. Box 942879
Sacramento, California 94279-0027

Attention: Administrator
Local Revenue Branch

Communications and notices to be sent to the City shall be addressed to:

City Of Red Bluff

Attention: Finance Director

555 Washington Street

Red Bluff, CA 96080

Unless otherwise directed, transmittals of payment of District transactions and use taxes will be sent to the address above.

B. Term. The date of this Agreement is the date on which it is approved by the Department of General Services. The Agreement shall take effect on ______________. This Agreement shall continue until December 31 next following the expiration date of the City Ordinance, and shall thereafter be renewed automatically from year to year until the Department completes all work necessary to the administration of the City Ordinance and has received and disbursed all payments due under that Ordinance.

C. Notice of Repeal of Ordinance. City shall give the Department written notice of the repeal of the City Ordinance not less than 110 days prior to the operative date of the repeal.

ARTICLE VI

ADMINISTRATION OF TAXES IF THE
ORDINANCE IS CHALLENGED AS BEING INVALID

A. Impoundment of funds.

1. When a legal action is begun challenging the validity of the imposition of the tax, the City shall deposit in an interest-bearing escrow account, any proceeds transmitted to it under Article II. C., until a court of competent jurisdiction renders a final and non-appealable judgment that the tax is valid.

2. If the tax is determined to be unconstitutional or otherwise invalid, the City shall transmit to the Department the moneys retained in escrow, including any accumulated interest, within ten days of the judgment of the trial court in the litigation awarding costs and fees becoming final and non-appealable.

B. Costs of administration. Should a final judgment be entered in any court of the State of California, holding that City's Ordinance is invalid or void, and requiring a rebate or refund to taxpayers of any taxes collected under the terms of this Agreement, the parties mutually agree that:

1. Department may retain all payments made by City to Department to prepare to administer the City Ordinance.

2. City will pay to Department and allow Department to retain Department's cost of administering the City Ordinance in the amounts set forth in Article IV of this Agreement.

3. City will pay to Department or to the State of California the amount of any taxes plus interest and penalties, if any, that Department or the State of California may be required to rebate or refund to taxpayers.

4. City will pay to Department its costs for rebating or refunding such taxes, interest, or penalties. Department's costs shall include its additional cost for developing procedures for processing

the rebates or refunds, its costs of actually making these refunds, designing and printing forms, and developing instructions for Department's staff for use in making these rebates or refunds and any other costs incurred by Department which are reasonably appropriate or necessary to make those rebates or refunds. These costs shall include Department's direct and indirect costs as specified by Section 11256 of the Government Code.

5. Costs may be accounted for in a manner, which conforms to the internal accounting, and personnel records currently maintained by the Department. The billings for such costs may be presented in summary form. Detailed records will be retained for audit and verification by City.

6. Any dispute as to the amount of costs incurred by Department in refunding taxes shall be referred to the State Director of Finance for resolution and the Director's decision shall be final.

7. Costs incurred by Department in connection with such refunds shall be billed by Department on or before the 25th day of the second month following the month in which the judgment of a court of the State of California holding City's Ordinance invalid or void becomes final. Thereafter Department shall bill City on or before the 25th of each month for all costs incurred by Department for the preceding calendar month. City shall pay to Department the amount of such costs on or before the last day of the succeeding month and shall pay to Department the total amount of taxes, interest, and penalties refunded or paid to taxpayers, together with Department costs incurred in making those refunds.

CITY OF ______________________________

By ___________________________________
(Signature)
Tom Westbrook
____
(Typed Name)

City Manager
(Title)

____
(Date)

CALIFORNIA STATE DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION

By ___________________________________
Administrator
Local Revenue Branch

Date: _________________________________

555 Washington Street
Red Bluff, CA 96080
(530) 527-2605

City Council

Staff Report

File #: 17-2026 **Agenda Date:** 7/9/2026 **Agenda #:** 3.

TO: **Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council**

FROM: **Paul Young, Finance Director**

SUBJECT:
A Resolution Authorizing Examination of Transactions & Use Tax Records, & Agreement with Hinderliter, De Llamas, & Associates (HdL)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Adopt Resolution No. 17-2026 authorizing HdL to examine transactions and use tax records, and
2. Authorize the City Manager to sign the agreement for transactions tax audits & information services with HdL.

SUMMARY:
As a result of the passage of Measure S, HdL needs a new agreement to provide transactions and use tax updates and audit services, relating to the new one-cent sales tax. The attached resolution and agreement allow HdL to provide these services.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL ACTION:
On August 19, 2003, the Council approved Resolution 45-2003, Authorizing HdL to examine sales and use tax records for the one-cent sales tax collected for the City.

On January 06, 2015, the Council approved Resolution 2-2015, Authorizing HdL to examine sales and use tax records for the quarter-cent sales tax collected for the City.

DISCUSSION:
As part of the required documents for implementation of the new sales tax, the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration will need an updated Resolution for Examination of Confidential Records. An update to our contract with HdL will also be required to complete this request.

As stated in the attached agreement, HdL charges the City an increase of $300.00 per month for adding the new street sales tax to their quarterly updates to the City. Additionally, HdL will charge 25% of audit recovery amounts for the new sales tax.

CITY FISCAL IMPACT:
Click or tap here to enter fiscal impact summary.

ATTACHMENTS:
1. Agreement for transactions, tax audit & information services

CITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 17-2026

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF RED BLUFF AUTHORIZING EXAMINATION OF TRANSACTIONS AND USE TAX RECORDS

WHEREAS, on June 02, 2026, of the electorate of the City of Red Bluff passed Measure S, a one-cent transactions and use tax (TUT) (1%); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Ordinance Number 1030 and Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative (Measure S) of the City of Red Bluff (City), and Revenue and Taxation Code section 7270, the District entered into a contract with the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration (Department) to perform all functions incident to the administration and collection of sales or transactions and use taxes; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of City of Red Bluff deems it desirable and necessary for authorized officers, employees and representatives of the City to examine confidential sales or transactions and use tax records of the Department pertaining to sales or transactions and use taxes collected by the Department for the City pursuant to that contract; and

WHEREAS, Section 7056 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code sets forth certain requirements and conditions for the disclosure of Department records, and Section 7056.5 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code establishes criminal penalties for the unlawful disclosure of information contained in, or derived from, the sales or transactions and use tax records of the Department;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED BLUFF HEREBY RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. That the City Manager, Finance Director, Assistant Finance Director, and Community Development Director or other officer or employee of the City designated in writing by the to the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration is hereby appointed to represent the City with authority to examine sales or transactions and use tax records of the Department pertaining to sales or transactions and use taxes collected for the City by the Department pursuant to the contract between the City and the Department.

Section 2.

The information obtained by examination of Department records shall be used only for purposes related to the collection of City sales or transactions and use tax by the Department pursuant to that contract, and for purposes related to the following governmental functions of the City:

(a) City Administration
(b) Revenue Management and Budgeting
(c) Community and Economic Development

The information obtained by examination of Department records shall be used only for those governmental functions of the City listed above.

Section 3. That Hinderliter, de Llamas & Associates is hereby designated to examine the sales or transactions and use tax records of the Department pertaining to sales or transactions and use taxes collected for the City by the Department. The person or entity designated by this section meets all of the following conditions, which are also included in the contract between the City and Hiderliter, de Llamas & Associates:

a) has an existing contract with the City to examine those sales or transactions and use tax records;

a) is required by that contract to disclose information contained in, or derived from, those sales or transactions and use tax records only to the officer or employee authorized under Section 1 of this resolution to examine the information.

a) is prohibited by that contract from performing consulting services for a retailer during the term of that contract;

a) is prohibited by that contract from retaining the information contained in or derived from those sales or transactions and use tax records, after that contract has expired.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the information obtained by examination of Department records shall be used only for purposes related to the collection of City sales or transactions and use taxes by the Department pursuant to the contract between the City and the Department and for those purposes relating to the governmental functions of the City listed in section 2 of this resolution.

Section 4. That this resolution supersedes all prior resolutions of the City Council of the City of Red Bluff adopted pursuant to subdivision (b) of Revenue and Taxation Code section 7056.

Introduced, approved and adopted this _________day of___________________ 20___.

ATTEST: (s) ___________________________ (s) ____________________________

Deputy City Clerk Mayor's Signature

I, ____________________, City Clerk of the City of _______________________, California, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, approved and adopted by the City Council of the City of _______________________, at a regular meeting of said Council held on the ________ day of ________________, 20___, by the following roll call vote:

Ayes: (Names of Councilmembers)

Noes: (Names of Councilmembers)

Absent: (Names of Councilmembers)

(s) _______________________________
Deputy City Clerk

MASTER SERVICES AGREEMENT

THIS MASTER SERVICES AGREEMENT (this "Agreement") is entered into as of _____________, 2026 (the "Agreement Date") by and between Hinderliter de Llamas and Associates ("Consultant"), and City of Red Bluff ("Client"), which is located within the state of California (the "State").

W I T N E S S E T H:

WHEREAS, Consultant is engaged in the business of providing consulting, software and other services that help public agencies understand and maximize their collection of sales, use and transactions taxes, business license taxes, property and lodging taxes, and other revenues, as well as their delivery of public services (collectively, "Consultant's Business"); and

WHEREAS, Client desires to contract with Consultant to obtain one or more of the services included within Consultant's Business (as provided for in Section 1) upon the terms and conditions contained in this Agreement;

WHEREAS, Consultant desires to contract with Client to render such services upon the terms and conditions contained in this Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants and promises contained herein, Client and Consultant mutually agree as follows:

1. Services.

1.1 Consultant will perform those services included within Consultant's Business that are described in any and all schedule(s) referencing this Agreement and signed by Client and Consultant as of the Agreement Date or hereafter (individually and collectively, the "Schedule(s)"), upon the terms and conditions contained in this Agreement (including the Schedules) (such services are, collectively, the "Services"). In performing the Services, Consultant is acting as an independent contractor (and not as an agent or employee of Client).

1.2 Client acknowledges and agrees that any other public agency (including, without limitation, any participating government agency) located within or outside of the State (e.g., city, municipality, county, district, public authority or other political subdivision) may procure services for fees and other terms and conditions that are substantially similar to any of the Services, Fees and other terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement, provided that such other public agency executes a separate agreement with Consultant wherein the services rendered to such other public agency, the fees payable by such other public agency, and the other terms and conditions of such separate agreement are the responsibility of Consultant and such other public agency and not Client.

1.3 This Agreement does not limit the right of Consultant to enter into additional contracts with Client or to contract with third parties to provide them with merchandise or services of any kind whatsoever, including, but not limited to, services similar to the Services. During the Term of this Agreement, Client will not, directly or indirectly (except through Consultant), engage any third party to provide the Services or any services similar to the Services.

2. **Fees**. As compensation for performing the Services, Client will pay Consultant the fees, costs and expenses as described in the Schedules (individually and collectively these fees and costs are, the "Fees"). Consultant may perform the Services using professionals from its staff or Consultant's affiliated entities, and such Services will be invoiced to Client under the same terms applicable to Consultant's staff. Consultant may increase the Fees from time to time (including, without limitation, as may be described in any of the Schedules). Other than a Fee increase as described in the Schedules, Client may notify Consultant of a request that such Fee increase be modified or revoked and, if Consultant fails to do so to Client's satisfaction within thirty (30) days after the receipt of such request, Client may terminate this Agreement without cause pursuant to Section 7.3.

3. **Invoices; Payment**.

3.1 Consultant will invoice Client for the Fees earned and/or incurred by Consultant pursuant to this Agreement.

3.2 Invoices are due and payable upon receipt. Interest will begin to accrue on the thirty-first (31st) day following the invoice date on all unpaid balances at a rate of one and one-half percent (1½%) per month, or the maximum rate permitted by law, whichever is less. Payments will first be credited to interest and then to principal. In the event that Client disputes an invoice, only that portion so disputed in good faith will be withheld from payment, and the undisputed portion must be timely paid. Interest will accrue on any disputed portion of the invoice not timely paid and will be payable immediately if the disputed invoice is resolved in favor of Consultant.

3.3 If Client fails to fully pay an invoice within 30 days after the invoice date, Consultant may, after giving five (5) days' prior written notice to Client, suspend the rendering of Services under this Agreement until said invoice is paid in full, together with all interest that has accrued thereon. In the event of such a suspension of Services, Consultant will have no liability to Client for any delays or damages arising therefrom.

4. **Insurance**. Throughout the term of this Agreement, Consultant will maintain the following insurance in not less than the referenced amounts: (a) workers compensation and employers liability insurance as may be required by the State; (b) property damage liability of $1,000,000 per incident; (c) bodily injury liability of $1,000,000 per incident; and (d) professional liability for any errors or omissions of $1,000,000.

5. **Client Support.**

5.1 Client will promptly provide in writing to Consultant all data and other information relating to or which may be necessary for Consultant's performance of the Services. Without limiting the foregoing, Client will keep Consultant informed on a timely basis in writing as to the existence and amendments of the laws, ordinances and/or regulations under which Consultant is performing the Services (including any adopted by Client). Consultant will be permitted to rely on the accuracy, timeliness and completeness of the information provided by Client, and in no event will Consultant be liable to Client or others as a result of such reliance.

5.2 Client will examine all of Consultant's reports, specifications, notices, proposals and other documents. In the event that Consultant asks for a decision from Client in order for Consultant to perform the Services, Client will render such decision in writing in a timely manner.

5.3 Promptly following any request from Consultant, Client will adopt and maintain in full force and effect resolutions in forms acceptable to Client and in accordance with applicable law authorizing Consultant to examine the confidential sales tax and other relevant records of Client throughout the Term and, for so long as any Fees are still accruing pursuant to this Agreement, after the Term.

5.4 Client will assist Consultant in obtaining such licenses, permits and approvals as may be required by law for performing the Services, and Client will pay all fees, assessments and taxes related to the application, issuance and maintenance thereof.

5.5 The Services do not include services to support, prepare, document, bring, respond to subpoenas, act as a witness, defend or otherwise assist in litigation undertaken or defended by Client, which Consultant may be required by legal process or otherwise or requested by Client to provide (collectively, "Litigation Services"). In this regard, if Consultant agrees with Client or is otherwise required to perform Litigation Services, Client will promptly pay or reimburse Consultant for all of Consultant's costs and expenses related to Litigation Services (including, without limitation, Consultant's attorneys' fees and costs) at Consultant's actual cost, plus ten percent (10%) thereof (all of which are deemed to be additional Fees).

6. Confidentiality; Software Use and Warranty; Records.

6.1 Consultant will comply with the requirements of the applicable laws, ordinances and/or regulations of which it has been informed by Client pursuant to Section 5.1 concerning the confidentiality of tax records. Consultant may publicly state that it performs Services for Client.

6.2 As used herein, the term "proprietary information" means all information, techniques, processes, services or material that has or could have commercial value or other utility for Consultant or in Consultant's Business, including without limitation, (i) software, computer or data processing programs; (ii) data processing applications, routines, subroutines, techniques or systems; (iii) desktop or web-based software; (iv) audit, tax or fee collection/administration or business processes, methods or routines; (v) marketing plans, analyses and strategies; (vi) materials, techniques and intellectual property used; and (vii) the Software and the Software's documentation. Except as otherwise required by law, Client must hold in confidence and may not use (except as expressly authorized by this Agreement) or disclose to any other party any proprietary information provided, learned of or obtained by Client in connection with this Agreement. The terms of this Section 6.2 do not apply to information that is public information; provided, however, that proprietary information will not qualify as public information if it became public due to Client's (or its employees' or agents') disclosure.

6.3 If access to any software which Consultant owns is provided to Client as part of the Services under this Agreement (including, without limitation, if Client chooses to subscribe to such software and/or related reports as part of the Services pursuant to a Schedule to this Agreement) (such Consultant-owned software is, collectively, the "Software"), Consultant hereby provides a limited, non-exclusive, non-transferable license to Client (including such of Client's staff as may be designated from time to time by Client and approved by Consultant in writing) to use the Software pursuant to and during the Term of this Agreement.

6.4 The Software must only be used by such authorized Client staff, and Client must not sublicense, sublet, duplicate, modify, decompile, reverse engineer, disassemble, or attempt to derive the source code of the Software. The license granted hereunder does not imply ownership by Client or any of Client's staff of the Software nor any rights of Client or any of Client's staff to sublicense, transfer or sell the Software, or rights to use the Software for the benefit of others. Client may not create (or allow the creation of) any derivative work or product based on or derived from the Software or the Software's documentation, nor modify (or allow the modification of) the Software or the Software's documentation without the prior written consent of Consultant. In the event of a breach of this provision (and without limiting Consultant's remedies), such modification, derivative work or product based on the Software or the Software's documentation is hereby deemed assigned to Consultant. Upon termination of this Agreement or this Software license, this Software license will be deemed to have expired and Client must immediately deactivate, cease using and remove, delete and destroy all the Software (including, without limitation, from Client's computers and network). **Consultant warrants that the Software will perform in accordance with the Software's documentation**.

6.5 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement (including any Schedule hereto), if access to any software which Consultant does not own is provided to Client as part of the Services pursuant to this Agreement (including pursuant to any Schedule hereto), Client hereby agrees (i) to comply with all of the terms and conditions imposed on Client's access to such software (including, without limitation, by Consultant, such software's owner, and pursuant to applicable law), and (ii) Consultant has no obligation during the Term of this Agreement or thereafter to provide Client with access to such software.

6.6 All documents, preliminary drafts, communications and any and all other work product related to the Services and provided by Consultant to Client either in hard copy or electronically are the joint property of Client and Consultant. This does not include the Software or any other software, any programs, any methodologies or any systems used in the creation of such work product, nor does it include any drafts, notes or internal communications prepared by Consultant in the course of performing the Services that were not otherwise provided to Client in either hardcopy or electronic form, all of which may be protected by Consultant or third party copyrights or other intellectual property and remain Consultant's or such third parties' exclusive property (as the case may be). It is possible that any documents, drafts, communications or other work product provided to Client may be alleged to be public records under applicable law and/or may be discoverable through litigation. Well in advance of when Client may disclose such information in response to any request for public records, Client must notify Consultant in writing about the request and, if Consultant requests it, Client must apply for any potential exemption from disclosure that may exist under applicable law.

6.7 Subject to applicable law, Consultant is responsible for retaining all final documents and other final work product related to the Services for a period of not less than three (3) years from the date provided to Client. Retention of any other documents, preliminary drafts, communications and any and all other work product provided to Client by Consultant is the responsibility of Client. Consultant has no responsibility to retain any drafts, notes, communications, emails or other writings created or received by Client in the course of performing the Services (other than the final documents and other final work product related to the Services and provided to Client for the term of years referenced above).

7. Term and Termination.

7.1 The initial term of this Agreement commences as of the Agreement Date and, unless terminated earlier pursuant to any of this Agreement's express provisions, will continue in effect for five (5) years from such date (the "Initial Term"). This Agreement may be renewed for two twelve (12) month terms unless earlier terminated as set forth in Section 7.2 or 7.3 (each a "Renewal Term" and, collectively, together with the Initial Term, the "Term").

7.2 This Agreement may be terminated by either party for cause upon not less than forty-five (45) days' prior written notice given to and received by the other party, if the other party has materially breached this Agreement through no fault of the notifying party and fails to (i) commence correction of such material breach within thirty (30) days of receipt of the above-referenced written notice and (ii) diligently complete the correction thereafter.

7.3 In addition, either party may terminate this Agreement without cause upon not less than one hundred twenty (120) days' prior written notice to the other party.

7.4 On termination, Client will pay Consultant for all Fees and other compensation (including for Litigation Services) earned and/or incurred through the termination date and will thereafter timely pay Consultant for all other Fees and compensation to which Consultant may be entitled pursuant to this Agreement (including the Schedules hereto).

8. Indemnification.

8.1 Consultant, on behalf of itself and its directors, officers, employees, agents, direct and indirect equity holders, and affiliates (collectively, "Consultant Group"), agrees to fully and promptly protect, indemnify, reimburse and hold harmless Client, its directors, officers, employees, agents, direct and indirect equity holders, and affiliates (collectively, "Client Group"), from and against any and all liabilities, losses, claims, damages, personal injuries, death, expenses, and costs (including, without limitation, for attorneys' fees and costs) (each, a "Liability", and collectively, "Liabilities") which are the direct or indirect result of (a) any breach of any representation, warranty or covenant made by or given on behalf of Consultant under this Agreement, or (b) any gross negligence or willful misconduct on the part of any one or more of Consultant Group.

8.2 Client, on behalf of itself and the other members of Client Group, agrees to fully and promptly protect, indemnify, reimburse and hold harmless Consultant and the other members of Consultant Group from and against any and all Liabilities which are the direct or indirect result of (a) any breach of any representation, warranty or covenant made by or given on behalf of Client under this Agreement, or (b) any action or failure to act on the part of any one or more of Consultant Group where such action or failure to act was in good faith believed by any of Consultant Group at the time to be in conformity with this Agreement, or (c) otherwise related to or arising out of any act or omission by any one or more Client Group. In this regard, Client hereby acknowledges that it is responsible for instructing Consultant regarding Consultant's performance of Services under this Agreement, as well as the interpretation and meaning of the ordinances and/or regulations under which Consultant is performing Services under this Agreement.

8.3 Promptly after any member of Client Group or any member of Consultant Group (in each case, the "Indemnified Group") receives notice of the commencement of any proceeding for which Client or Consultant (as the case may be) intends to make a claim for indemnification under this Agreement, it should notify the other party (the "Indemnifying Party"), but the failure to so notify will not result in the loss of any rights of any of the Indemnified Group to indemnification hereunder except to the extent that the Indemnifying Party does not otherwise become aware of such proceeding and is actually adversely affected thereby to a material extent. The Indemnifying Party will assume the defense of the Indemnified Group (including the employment of legal counsel reasonably satisfactory to the Indemnified Group) and payment of such counsel's fees and disbursements (including retainers). Should the Indemnified Group reasonably determine that separate counsel is necessary (whether due to the existence of different defenses, potential conflicts of interest or otherwise), or if the Indemnifying Party has not assumed the defense, then any of the Indemnified Group may employ separate legal counsel, and the Indemnifying Party will pay such counsel's reasonable fees and disbursements as incurred (including retainers). The obligations of defense and indemnification under this Agreement apply, without limitation, to those situations where someone brings a cross claim for indemnity or contribution against any one or more of the Indemnified Group.

8.4 Neither Consultant nor Client shall, without the other party's prior written consent, settle, compromise or consent to the entry of any judgment in any pending or threatened claim, action, or proceeding or investigation in respect of which indemnification could be sought hereunder (whether or not any of the other party or any other member of the Indemnified Group is an actual or potential party to such claim, action or proceeding or investigation), unless such settlement, compromise or consent includes an unconditional release of the other party and any other member of the Indemnified Group from all liability arising out of such claim, action, proceeding or investigation and includes an explicit disclaimer of responsibility of any kind on the part of the other party and any other member of the Indemnified Group.

9. <u>Liability Limitations; Governing Law; Dispute Resolution</u>.

9.1 To the maximum extent permitted by law and notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement:

9.1.1 Except for Consultant's gross negligence or willful misconduct in connection with the performance of its obligations under this Agreement, Client's sole and exclusive remedies for any breaches of Consultant's obligations under this Agreement (including, without limitation, for any breaches relating to the Services or the Software, including any breaches of warranty, express or implied) (i) are limited to making reasonable and necessary repairs, replacements or corrections without additional cost to the Client, and (ii) will not exceed, under any circumstances, the amount of the Fees paid by Client to Consultant for the twelve-month period prior to the alleged breaches, calculated without reference to any payments constituting the payment of costs or expenses. All amounts paid to Consultant hereunder are deemed first to be for the reimbursement of costs or expenses and then any excess will be regarded as payments for other portions of the Fees under this Agreement. Any references to breaches of this Agreement will include any supplements, additions or amendments to this Agreement.

9.1.2 Except as may otherwise be expressly set forth in this Agreement, Consultant makes no warranty of any kind with respect to the Services or the Software, express or implied. Consultant hereby disclaims all other warranties, express or implied, including the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, title and non infringement. Consultant disclaims all warranties and responsibility for third party software.

9.1.3 In no event will any of Consultant Group be liable for any lost revenues or lost profits, or any special, incidental, or consequential damages of any nature whatsoever, even if such restrictions deprive one or more remedies of their essential purpose. This damage exclusion is independent of any remedies provided for herein.

9.1.4 None of Consultant Group will have any Liability (whether direct or indirect, in contract or tort or otherwise) related to, arising out of, or in connection with this Agreement or to any of Client Group acting on any advice given or opinion rendered by any of Consultant Group, except to the extent that such Liability is found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a judgment which has become final and that it is no longer subject to appeal or review to have resulted solely from such Consultant Group's willful misconduct or gross negligence.

9.1.5 No claim may be brought by Client against any one or more of Consultant Group arising out of this Agreement (including, without limitation, in connection with the Services or the Software) more than one year after the date on which such claim arose (regardless of the date when Client may have discovered a basis for the claim).

9.1.6 Client acknowledges that this Agreement is with Consultant in its capacity as a corporation or a limited liability company, and Client agrees that in no event will it seek to hold any of the Consultant Group (other than Consultant) responsible for any Liabilities.

9.2 The law of the State will govern the validity of this Agreement, its interpretation and performance, and any other claims related to it, without regard to the State's conflict of laws rules. Venue for any legal action arising out of this Agreement will be proper only in the State courts or the federal courts located within the State. The parties hereby submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of such courts and waive any other venue to which either party might be entitled by domicile or otherwise.

9.3 If either party is required to bring legal action to enforce its rights under this Agreement or as the result of a breach of this Agreement, the costs and expenses of the prevailing party, including reasonable attorneys' fees, will be paid by the non-prevailing party.

9.4 A breach of this Agreement by either party may cause the other party hereto irreparable harm, the amount of which may be difficult to ascertain, and therefore such other party will have the right to apply to a court of competent jurisdiction for specific performance and/or an order restraining and enjoining any further breach and for such other relief as such other party may deem appropriate. Such right is in addition to the remedies otherwise available to such other party at law or in equity. The parties hereto expressly waive the defense that a remedy in damages will be adequate and any requirement in an action for specific performance or injunction hereunder for the posting of a bond.

10. <u>General Legal Provisions</u>.

10.1 <u>Authorization to Proceed</u>. Each Schedule must be signed by both Client and Consultant before such Schedule will be binding on the parties hereto.

10.2 <u>Force Majeure</u>. Consultant is not responsible for damages or delay in performance caused by acts of God, strikes, lockouts, accidents, epidemics, pandemics or other health emergencies, or other events beyond the control of Consultant.

10.3 Amendment; Waiver. Any provisions of this Agreement (including, without limitation, any Schedules or provisions within any Schedules) may be amended or terminated if in writing and signed by both Client and Consultant. No waiver by any party of any default, misrepresentation or breach of warranty or covenant hereunder, whether intentional or not, will be deemed to be valid unless acknowledged by such party in writing, and such waiver will not extend to any prior or subsequent default, misrepresentation or breach of warranty or covenant hereunder or affect in any way any rights arising by virtue of any prior or subsequent such occurrence.

10.4 Severability and Survival. If any provision in this Agreement is held illegal, invalid or unenforceable, the enforceability of the remaining provisions will not be impaired thereby. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 7), Sections 3, 5.5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 will survive the termination of this Agreement.

10.5 No Third-Party Beneficiaries; Services Limited to Agreement. Except as set forth in Section 8, this Agreement gives no rights or benefits to anyone other than Client and Consultant and has no third-party beneficiaries. The Services to be performed for Client by Consultant are defined solely by this Agreement (including the Schedules), and not by any other contract or agreement that may be associated with performing the Services.

10.6 Assignment. This is a bilateral personal services agreement. Neither party will have the power to or will assign any of the duties or rights or any claim arising out of or related to this Agreement, whether arising in tort, contract or otherwise, without the written consent of the other party. Any unauthorized assignment is void and unenforceable. This Agreement is binding on the successors and assigns of the parties hereto. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, Consultant may, from time to time, utilize one or more third parties to provide certain of the Services (including, but not limited to, as may be set forth in one or more of the Schedules).

10.7 Notices. All notices under this Agreement must be in writing and will be deemed to have been given when such notice is received (i) from United States Postal Service First Class Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, (ii) by courier service, or (iii) by email; provided, however, that notices received on a weekend or holiday or on a business day after 4:00 p.m. local time will be deemed to have been received on the next business day. Notices will, unless another address is specified in writing, be sent to the addresses indicated below (each of which must include a street address and an email address): Consultant: 120 S. State College Blvd.#200, Brea, CA 92821, Attn: Contracts, Email: contracts@hdlcompanies.com; and Client: Attn: Finance Director, Email: pyoung@cityofredbluff.org.

10.8 Entire Agreement; Conflict. This Agreement (which includes any Schedules or amendments dated as of the Agreement Date or hereafter, including without limitation, amendments of the main body of this Agreement or the Schedules that may add to, subtract from, modify or clarify the Term, the scope of Services and/or the amount of Fees) constitutes the entire agreement between the parties and supersedes any prior understandings, agreements, or representations by or between the parties, written or oral, to the extent they relate in any way to the subject matter hereof. Should there ever be a conflict between the terms and conditions of any Schedules and the remainder of this Agreement as set forth in the main body of this Agreement, the terms and conditions of the remainder of this Agreement as set forth in the main body of this Agreement will prevail and be controlling; provided, however, that should there ever be a conflict between the terms and conditions of this Agreement (including any Schedules) and (i) any amendments hereof, the terms and conditions of the amendments hereof will prevail and be controlling, and (ii) the terms and conditions of any Schedule that expressly provides for them to supersede any terms and conditions of the main body of this Agreement, such terms and conditions of such Schedule will prevail and be controlling.

10.9 Counterparts; Electronic Signatures; Authority. This Agreement may be signed in any number of counterparts, each of which will constitute an original and all of which, when taken together, will constitute one agreement. Any signed signature pages of this Agreement transmitted by email or other electronic means in a portable document format (PDF) or other clear and visible electronic format will have the same legal effect as an original. Each of the persons signing on behalf of a party hereto represents that he or she has the authority to sign this Agreement on such party's behalf.

10.10 No Adverse Construction. Both parties acknowledge having had the opportunity to participate in the drafting of this Agreement. This Agreement will not be construed against either party based upon authorship. The section headings contained in this Agreement are inserted for convenience only and will not affect in any way the meaning or interpretation of this Agreement.

[Signatures are on the next page]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have entered into this Agreement through their duly authorized representatives as of the Agreement Date.

CONSULTANT:	**CLIENT**:
Hinderliter de Llamas and Associates	City of Red Bluff
By:________________________	By:________________________
Andrew Nickerson, President/CEO	

[Any Schedule or Schedules may (but is/are not required to) be attached hereto]

SCHEDULE A
<u>PREMIUM SERVICES – Transactions and Use Tax</u>

1. **Transactions Tax and Economic Analysis/Reports**
   - 1.1 Consultant shall establish a database containing all applicable Department of Tax and Fee Administration (CDTFA) registration data for each business within the Measure "S" District boundaries holding a seller's permit account. Said database shall also identify the quarterly transactions and use tax allocations under each account for the most current and previous quarters where available.
   - 1.2 Consultant shall make available to CITY the HdL proprietary software program and Measure "S" database containing all applicable registration and quarterly allocation information for CITY business outlets registered with the Department of Tax and Fee Administration. The database will be updated quarterly.
   - 1.3 Consultant shall provide updated reports each quarter identifying changes in allocation totals by individual businesses, business groups and by categories. Quarterly aberrations due to State audits, fund transfers, and receivables, along with late or double payments, will also be identified.
   - 1.4 Following each calendar quarter shall provide a summary analysis for City to share with Council Members, Chamber of Commerce, other economic development interest groups and the public that analyze City's sales tax trends by major groups without disclosing confidential individual tax records.
   - 1.5 Provide periodic updated reports endeavoring to identify and assist with budget forecasting including (i) changes in allocation totals by individual businesses, business groups and categories, and (ii) aberrations due to State audits, fund transfers, and receivables, along with late or double payments.
   - 1.6 Consultant shall provide a presentation led by an HdL Sales Tax Principal discussing latest sales tax results, emerging retail trends, business retention needs, leveraging of economic clusters and reviewing successes in client jurisdictions with similar characteristics. This presentation will occur following each calendar quarter.

2. **Deficiency/Allocation Reviews and Recovery**
   - 2.1. Consultant shall conduct on-going reviews to identify and correct unreported transactions and tax payments and distribution errors thereby generating previously unrealized revenue for the City. Reviews shall include:
     - 2.1.(a) Comparison of county-wide local tax allocations to transactions tax for brick and mortar stores and other cash register-based businesses, where clearly all transactions are conducted on-site within the Measure "S" City boundaries, and therefore subject to transactions tax.
     - 2.1.(b) Review of any significant one-time use tax allocations to ensure that there is corresponding transaction tax payments for taxpayers with nexus within the City boundaries.
     - 2.1.(c) Review of state-wide transactions tax allocations and patterns to identify any obvious errors and omissions.
     - 2.1.(d) Identification and follow-up with any potentially large purchasers of supplies and equipment (e.g. hospitals, universities, manufacturing plants, agricultural operations,

refineries) to ensure that their major vendors are properly reporting corresponding transactions tax payments to the Measure "S" Transactions Tax District.

2.2. Consultant will initiate, where the probability of an error exists, contacts with the appropriate taxpayer management and accounting officials to verify whether current tax receipts accurately reflect the local sales activity. Such contacts will be conducted in a professional and courteous manner so as to enhance CITY's relations with the business community.

2.3. Consultant shall prepare and submit to the Department of Tax and Fee Administration all information necessary to correct any allocation errors and deficiencies that are identified and shall follow-up with the individual businesses and the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration to ensure that all back quarter payments due the CITY are recovered.

3. Consulting and Other Optional Services

Consultant may from time to time in its sole discretion, consult with City's staff, including without limitation, regarding (i) technical questions and other issues related to sales, use and transactions tax, (ii) utilization of reports to enhance business license collection efforts, (iii) sales tax projections for proposed annexations, economic development projects and budget planning, (iv) negotiating/review of tax sharing agreements, (v) establishing purchasing corporations, (vi) meeting with taxpayers to encourage self-assessment of tax obligations, and (vii) other sales, use or transactions tax revenue-related matters.

FEES – Transactions Tax Services

4. Transactions Tax and Economic Analysis/Reports

4.1. Fees shall be paid **$300** monthly billed quarterly for the transaction district tax reports that we include with the quarterly sales tax analyses. The monthly fee shall be invoiced quarterly in arrears and shall be paid by City no later than 30 days after the invoice date.

4.2. Consultant will increase the non-hourly Fees established above once a year with reference to the 12-month percent change in the most recently published annual Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U), as reported by the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (the "CPI Change").

5. Allocation and Audit Recovery Services

5.1. Fees shall be paid **25%** of the initial amount of new transactions or use tax revenue received by the City because of audit and recovery work performed by Consultant, (hereafter referred to as "audit fees"). New revenue shall not include any amounts determined and verified by City or Consultant to be increment attributable to causes other than Consultant's work pursuant to this agreement. In the event, Consultant is responsible for an increase in the tax reported by businesses already properly making tax payments to the City, it shall be Consultant's responsibility to separate and support the incremental amount attributable to its efforts prior to the application of the audit fee. Said audit fees will apply to state fund transfers received for those specific quarters identified as being missing and/or deficient following completion of the audit by Consultant and confirmation of corrections by the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration but shall not apply prospectively to any future quarter. Consultant shall provide City with an itemized quarterly invoice showing all formula calculations and amounts due for audit fees.

ADDITIONAL TERMS – Sales, Use and Transactions Tax Services

6. **Consulting and Other Optional Services**
   - 6.1. Fees for performing other optional services are described below:
     - 6.1.1. Fees for performing City Staff training, public representation, or technical seminars for elected officials shall be $3,000 for one-time service and $2,000 for recurring service
     - 6.1.2. Fees for performing transactional tax ballot measure estimates shall be $2,600 per report.
     - 6.1.3. On-site travel to the city shall be $2,600 plus any associated travel expenses per visit.
     - 6.1.4. Incremental non-core packet reporting shall be $2,600 for a one-time report and $700 for recurring reporting service.
   - 6.2. Any other consulting and optional Services not listed shall be based on the following initial hourly rates: (i) Principal - $325; (ii) Programmer - $295; (iii) Senior Analyst - $245; and (iv) Analyst - $195.

7. **General Provisions Relating to Fees**
   - 7.1. Fees for travel and lodging expenses will be invoiced at cost and applied to all meetings (including implementation, training, operations and support). Travel expenses only apply to out of scope travel and must therefore be pre-approved by City.
   - 7.2. Fees will be invoiced monthly to City for Services performed during the prior month. To the extent that Consultant has commercially reasonable means to do so, Fees will be netted out of City's monthly revenue disbursement.

8. **Confidentiality Information**

   Section 7056 of the State of California Revenue and Taxation Code ("R&T Code") specifically limits the disclosure of confidential taxpayer information contained in the records of the CDTFA. Section 7056 specifies the conditions under which a city, county or district may authorize persons other than such city, county or district's officers and employees to examine state sales and use tax records.

   The following conditions specified in Section 7056-(b)(1) of the State of California R&T Code are hereby made part of this Agreement:
   - 8.1. Consultant is authorized by this Agreement to examine sales, use or transactions and use tax records of the CDTFA provided to City pursuant to contract under the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law R&T Code Section 7200 et.seq.
   - 8.2. Consultant is required to disclose information contained in, or derived from, those sales or transactions and use tax records only to an officer or employee of City who is authorized by City resolution provided to the CDTFA to examine the information.
   - 8.3. Consultant is prohibited from performing consulting services for a retailer (as defined in R&T Code Section 6015), during the term of this agreement.
   - 8.4. Consultant is prohibited from retaining the information contained in or derived from those sales, use or transactions and use tax records after this agreement has expired. Information obtained by examination of the CDTFA records shall be used only for purposes related to collection of local sales and use tax or for other governmental functions of the City as set forth by resolution adopted pursuant to Section 7056 (b) of the Revenue and Taxation Code. The resolution shall designate the Consultant as a person authorized to examine sales and use tax records and certify that this agreement meets the requirements set forth above and in Section 7056 (b), (1) of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

555 Washington Street
Red Bluff, CA 96080
(530) 527-2605

City Council

Staff Report

File #: 0235 **Agenda Date:** 7/9/2026 **Agenda #:** 4.

TO: **Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council**

FROM: **Paul Young, Finance Director**

SUBJECT:
Creation of Fund 38 Street SMART Citizen Initiative

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1) Approval of the creation of Fund 38 Street SMART Citizen Initiative.

2) Direct the City to account for all revenues and expenditures of the Street SMARTS Citizen Initiative, Measure S, within Fund 38.

SUMMARY:
A new fund is required to properly account for the activities and requirements of the Street SMART Citizen Initiative. Due to the new tax of this initiative, setting up a new fund will segregate the resources of the new tax from the other activities of the City.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL ACTION:
During its meeting on January 20, 2026, the City Council approved Resolution 01-2026, calling for placing the Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative on the June 2, 2026, election.

On June 2, 2026, the electorate voted to approve the implementation of a local transactions and use tax.

DISCUSSION:
Establishment of a separate fund for the Street SMART Citizen Initiative is required for proper accounting of the legally restricted revenues and is required by section 17.01 of Street Safety, Maintenance, and Road Tax Citizen Initiative (Measure S).

A fund is defined as a fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts recording cash and other financial resources, together with all related liabilities and residual equities or balances, and changes therein, which are segregated for the purpose of carrying on specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions, or limitations.(GASB Cod. Sec. 1300)

Once established, this fund will show up as a separate column in the annual comprehensive financial report, a separate total for the monthly warrant report, and allow separate reporting on all accounting transactions for the fund. The new fund will also allow for proper calculation and appointments of interest to the Street SMART Citizen Initiative.

CITY FISCAL IMPACT:
None

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Street SMART Petition

OFFICIAL TOP FUNDERS. VALID ONLY FOR JULY 2025

STREETS SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND ROAD TAX CITIZIN INITIATIVE (STREET SMART CITIZEN INITIATIVE)

Initiative Measure to Impose and Additional Transaction Use Tax for one percent (1%) for a period of twelve (12) years.

PETITION CIRCULATION PAID FOR BY:

The STREET SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND ROAD TAX CITIZEN INITIATIVE COMMITTEE (Street SMARTS Citizen Initiative Committee)

Committee major funding from: None at this time.

The latest Official Top Funders List can be obtained by emailing: RedBluffSafeStreets@gmail.com

INITIATIVE PETITION TO BE DIRECTLY SUBMITTED TO THE VOTERS

To the Honorable City Council of The City of Red Bluff:
We, the undersigned registered voters of The City of Red Bluff, California by this petition, hereby respectfully propose the following legislative act be adopted by the City Council or submitted to the registered voters of The City of Red Bluff for their adoption or rejection:
The city attorney has prepared the following title and summary of the chief purpose and points of the proposed measure:

Ballot Title and Summary
STREET SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND ROAD TAX CITIZEN INITIATIVE
(Street SMART Citizen Initiative)

This is a ballot measure to establish a special tax for retail transactions and use in the City of Red Bluff.
If approved by the voters, this measure would tax retail transaction and use at the following rates:
One percent (1%) of gross receipts of any retailer from the sale of all tangible personal property sold within the City of Red Bluff or delivered by a proprietor doing business within the City of Red Bluff, regardless of the place to which delivery is made.
The Ordinance establishes exemptions from gross receipts for the following:

- Fuel or petroleum products sold to operators of aircraft to be used outside of the City;
- Sale of property to be used outside the City which is shipped to a point outside the City;
- Sale of tangible personal property if seller obligated to furnish the property for a fixed price contracted by the operative date of the article; and
- Sale or lease of property which constitutes a continuing sale.

The Ordinance designates the following uses for the funds collected:

- Inspection, design, repair and rebuilding of all roads and sidewalks;
- Design, purchase, and construction of road or sidewalk components;
- Providing safe routes to school for children;
- Acquiring of property necessary to expand or modify a road or sidewalk;
- Traffic studies, signage replacement, and signage additions;
- Modification or extension of utility services;
- Installing new portions of sewer or waterlines;
- Adjacent ADA improvements;
- Lighting;
- Environmental reviews;
- Project management and oversight;
- Debt services on bonds or other indebtedness for street and roads projects; and
- Maintenance or striping of roads and sidewalks.

The Ordinance establishes that the special tax will become effective on October 1, 2026, and which shall be collected for a period of twelve (12) years thereafter.
The Ordinance establishes an expenditure plan for roads within the City of Red Bluff which shall be monitored by an Oversight Committee consisting of five (5) residents/business owners/property owners within the City.
The committee shall have no less than one resident, business owner, and property owner at any time. These members shall not be members of the Red Bluff City Council nor the Tehama County Board of Supervisors, or employees of either agency. The Expenditure Plan includes portions of the following streets:

- Walnut Street (Baker Road to Rio Street)
- Jackson Street (North City Limits to Luther Road)
- Aloha/Willow Street (Paskenta Road to S. Main Street)
- Oak Street (Jackson Street to Main Street)
- Luther Road (Airport Blvd. To S. Main Street)
- Kimball Road (Airport Blvd. to Montgomery Road)
- Gilmore Road (Antelope Blvd. to Howell Avenue)

This measure will pass if a majority of the registered voters cast a “Yes” vote and will provide approximately $5,100,000 annually for the City of Red Bluff. A “No” vote means that this ordinance will have no effect on current established sales tax within the City of Red Bluff and the provisions for contracting for projects will not change.

Notice of Intent to Circulate Petition

Notice is hereby given by the persons whose names appear hereon of their intention to circulate a petition within the City of Red Bluff for the purpose of funding street repairs by approving a twelve (12) year, one percent (1%) sales tax to address these needs.

We request the City Attorney prepare the ballot title and summary in accordance with Election Code 9203a.

A statement of the proposed actions as contemplated in the petition is as follows:

1. Measure R of 2024 failed.
2. Red Bluff City streets are still in a state of disrepair and no other remedy is in sight.
3. The City of Red Bluff does not have the funds to do the necessary and long overdue repairs.

Proponent: Daniele Eyestone
Treasurer: Kenn Rieders
Asst. Treasurer: Millicent Johnson
Members at Large: Jody Bartley, Ken Boone, Scott Camp, Clay Parker

Committee Supports Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure ? - 2026
A CITIZEN INITITIVE FOR THE CITY OF RED BLUFF IMPOSING A TRANSACTIONS AND USE TAX TO BE ADMINISTERED BY THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND FEE ADMINISTRATION

The Citizens of Red Bluff ordain as follows:

SECTION 1. TITLE.

This Initiative shall be known and may be cited as, "Measure ___: Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure" which shall establish and implement a retail transaction and use tax. The City of Red Bluff hereinafter shall be called "City." This initiative shall be applicable in the incorporated territory of the City.

SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS.

2.01 "Authority" means the Red Bluff City Council, pursuant to the Local Transportation Authority and Improvement Act set forth at California Public Utilities Code Section 180000 et seq.

2.02 "City" means the City of Red Bluff.

2.03 "County" means the County of Tehama.

2.04 "Expenditure Plan" means the Expenditure Plan attached to this Initiative as **Exhibit "A"** and incorporated herein.

2.05 "Initiative " means the Measure ___: Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Measure Initiative which establishes and implements the retail transaction and use tax set forth herein.

2.06 "Operative Date" means the first day of the first calendar quarter commencing more than 110 days after the adoption of the initiative, the date of such adoption being as set forth below.

SECTION 3. EXPENDITURE PLAN PURPOSES.

3.01 This Initiative provides for the implementation of the Expenditure Plan, as adopted by the City of Red Bluff, which will result in citywide local street improvements, ADA upgrades adjacent to street improvements, pedestrian, bicyclist, and driver safety improvements. These needed improvements shall be funded by a one (1.0%) cent retail transactions and use tax established for a twelve (12) year period. The revenues shall be deposited in a special fund, used solely for the transportation projects, improvements and programs described in the **Expenditure Plan** which is considered a part of this Initiative and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3.02 To impose a retail transactions and use tax in accordance with the provisions of Part 1.6 (commencing with Section 7251) of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code and Section 7285.91 of Part 1.7 of Division 2 which authorizes the City of Red Bluff to adopt this tax which shall be operative if a majority of electors voting on the measure vote to approve the imposition of the tax at an election called for that purpose.

3.03 To adopt a retail transaction and use tax initiative that incorporates provisions identical to those of the Sales and Use Tax Law of the State of California insofar as those provisions are not inconsistent with the requirements and limitations contained in Part 1.6 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

3.04 To adopt a retail transactions and use tax initiative that imposes a tax and provides a measure therefore that can be administered and collected by the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration in a manner that adapts itself as fully as practicable to, and requires the least possible deviation from, the existing statutory and administrative procedures followed by the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration in administering and collecting the California State Sales and Use Taxes.

3.05 To adopt a retail transactions and use tax initiative that can be administered in a manner that will be, to the greatest degree possible, consistent with the provisions of Part 1.6 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, minimize the cost of collecting the transactions and use taxes, and at the same time, minimize the burden of record keeping upon persons subject to taxation under the provisions of this initiative

SECTION 4. REQUEST FOR ELECTION.

4.01. The Citizens of Red Bluff hereby request the Tehama County Board of Supervisors place this Initiative before the voters for approval on the June 2, 2026 ballot.

4.02. The proposition to be placed on the ballot shall contain a summary of the projects, improvements, and programs and shall read substantially as follows: **Red Bluff Street Repair and Safety Citizen Initiative**. Shall the measure provide Red Bluff funding to fix potholes; maintain and repair deteriorating local streets and roads; reduce expensive future repairs; improve safety for drivers, pedestrians, disabled residents, including safe routes to children's school; and make the City eligible for state/ federal matching funds, by establishing a locally controlled one (1.0%) cent sales tax providing approximately $5,100,000 annually for twelve (12) years; requiring independent audits and public disclosure.

SECTION 5. EFFECTIVE, OPERATIVE AND TERMINATION DATES.

5.01. This Initiative shall become effective on June 2, 2026 only if a majority of the electors voting on this Initiative at the election held on June 2, 2026, vote to approve the Initiative. If so approved, the provisions of this Initiative shall become operative on October 1, 2026, and shall be imposed and collected for a period of twelve (12) years thereafter.

5.02. The authority to levy the tax authorized pursuant to this Initiative shall expire on September 30, 2038.

SECTION 6. CONTRACT WITH STATE.

6.01. Prior to the operative date, the City of Red Bluff shall contract with the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration to perform all functions incident to the administration and operation of this transactions and use tax initiative; provided, that if the City of Red Bluff shall not have contracted with the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration prior to the operative date, it shall nevertheless so contract and in such a case the operative date shall be the first day of the first calendar quarter following the execution of such a contract.

SECTION 7. TRANSACTIONS AND USE TAX RATE.

7.01. For the privilege of selling tangible personal property at retail, a tax is hereby imposed upon all retailers in the incorporated City of Red Bluff at the rate of one (1%) cent of the gross receipts of any retailer from the sale of all tangible personal property sold at retail in said territory on and after the Operative Date of this Initiative.

7.02. An excise tax is hereby imposed on the storage, use or other consumption in the incorporated City of Red Bluff of tangible personal property purchased from any retailer on and after the Operative Date of this Initiative for storage, use or other consumption in said territory at the rate of one (1.0%) cent of the sales price of the property. The sales price shall include delivery charges when such charges are subject to state sales or use tax regardless of the place to which delivery is made.

SECTION 8. EXPENDITURE PLAN PROCEDURES

8.01. It is the intent of the Citizens City of Red Bluff that revenues provided from this Initiative be used to supplement existing revenues being used for transportation projects, improvements and programs.

8.02. Pursuant to the intent of the Public Utilities Code section 180001, a jurisdiction cannot redirect monies currently being used for transportation purposes to other uses and then replace the redirected funds with local street maintenance and improvement dollars from the retail transaction and use tax.

SECTION 9. INITIATIVE PURPOSES.

9.01. This Initiative is adopted to achieve the following, among other purposes, and directs the provisions hereof be interpreted in order to accomplish these purposes:

1. To impose a retail transactions and use tax in accordance with the provisions of Division 19 (commencing with Section 180000) of the California Public Utilities Code, and Part 1.6 (commencing with Section 7251) of Division 2 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code and Section 7285.91 of Part 1.7 of Division 2 which authorizes the Authority to adopt this tax initiative which shall be operative if a majority of the electors voting on the measure vote to approve the imposition of the tax at an election called for that purpose.
2. To adopt a retail transactions and use tax initiative that incorporates provisions identical to those of the Sales and Use Tax Law of the State of California insofar as those provisions are not inconsistent with the requirements and limitations contained in Division 19 (commencing with Section 180000) of the California Public Utilities Code, and Part 1.6 (commencing with Section 7251) of Division 2 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code.
3. To adopt a retail transactions and use tax initiative that imposes a tax and provides a measure therefor that can be administered and collected by the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration in a manner that adapts itself as fully as practicable to, and requires the least possible deviation from, the existing statutory and administrative procedures followed by the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration in administering and collecting the California State Sales and Use Taxes.
4. The funds generated by the transaction and use tax authorized by this Initiative may only be used for highways and transportation purposes including the administration of the Expenditure Plan, including, the construction, acquisition, maintenance, streets, highways, including state highways and for related transportation purposes, including project management and oversight of the projects to be funded using the transaction and use tax, such as project delivery. These purposes include expenditures for planning, environmental reviews, engineering and design costs, adjacent ADA improvements, construction management and related right-of-way acquisition, if necessary. Expenditures also include, but are not limited to, debt services on bonds or other indebtedness, and expenses and reserves in connection with the issuance of the same.

SECTION 10. ADOPTION OF PROVISIONS OF STATE LAW.

10.01. Except as otherwise provided in this initiative and except insofar as they are inconsistent with the provisions of Part 1.6 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, all of the provisions of Part 1 (commencing with Section 6001) of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code are hereby adopted and made a part of this initiative as though fully set forth herein.

SECTION 11. LIMITATIONS ON ADOPTION OF STATE LAW AND COLLECTION OF USE TAXES.

11.01. Wherever the State of California is named or referred to as the taxing agency, the name of this City of Red Bluff shall be substituted, therefore. However, the substitution shall not be made when:

1. The word "State" is used as a part of the title of the State Controller, State Treasurer, State Treasury, or the Constitution of the State of California;
2. The result of that substitution would require action to be taken by or against this City of Red Bluff or any agency, officer, or employee thereof rather than by or against the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, in performing the functions incident to the administration or operation of this Initiative.
3. In those sections, including, but not necessarily limited to sections referring to the exterior boundaries of the State of California, where the result of the substitution would be to:

a. Provide an exemption from this tax with respect to certain sales, storage, use or other consumption of tangible personal property which would not otherwise be exempt from this tax while such sales, storage, use or other consumption remain subject to tax by the State under the provisions of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, or;

b. Impose this tax with respect to certain sales, storage, use or other consumption of tangible personal property which would not be subject to tax by the state under the said provision of that code.

4. In Sections 6701, 6702 (except in the last sentence thereof), 6711, 6715, 6737, 6797 or 6828 of the Revenue and Taxation Code.

11.02. The word "City" shall be substituted for the word "State" in the phrase "retailer engaged in business in this State" in Section 6203 and in the definition of that phrase in Section 6203.

1. "A retailer engaged in business in the District" shall also include any retailer that, in the preceding calendar year or the current calendar year, has total combined sales of tangible personal property in this state or for delivery in the State by the retailer and all persons related to the retailer that exceeds five-hundred thousand dollars ($500,000). For purposes of this section, a person is related to another person if both persons are related to each other pursuant to Section 267(b) of Title 26 of the United States Code and the regulations thereunder.

SECTION 12. ADDITONAL PERMIT NOT REQUIRED.

21.01 If a seller's permit has been issued to a retailer under section 6067 of the California Revenue and Taxation Code, an additional transactor's permit shall not be required by this Initiative.

SECTION 13. EXEMPTIONS AND EXCLUSIONS.

13.01 There shall be excluded from the measure of the transactions tax and the use tax the amount of any sales tax or use tax imposed by the State of California or by any city, city and county, or county pursuant to the Bradley-Burns Uniform Local Sales and Use Tax Law or the amount of any state-administered transactions or use tax.

13.02 There are exemptions from the computation of the amount of transactions tax the gross receipts from:

1. Sales of tangible personal property, other than fuel or petroleum products, to operators of aircraft to be used or consumed principally outside the county in which the sale is made and directly and exclusively in the use of such aircraft as common carriers of persons or property under the authority of the laws of this State, the United States, or any foreign government.
2. Sales of property to be used outside the City of Red Bluff which is shipped to a point outside the City of Red Bluff, pursuant to the contract of sale, by delivery to such point by the retailer or his agent, or by delivery by the retailer to a carrier for shipment to a consignee at such point. For the purposes of this paragraph, delivery to a point outside the City of Red Bluff shall be satisfied:

a. With respect to vehicles (other than commercial vehicles) subject to registration pursuant to Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 4000) of Division 3 of the Vehicle Code, aircraft licensed in compliance with Section 21411 of the Public Utilities Code, and undocumented vessels registered under Division 3.5 (commencing with Section 9840) of the Vehicle Code by registration to an out-of-City of Red Bluff address and by a declaration under penalty of perjury, signed by the buyer, stating that such address is, in fact, his or her principal place of residence; and

b. With respect to commercial vehicles, by registration to a place of business out-of-City of Red Bluff and declaration under penalty of perjury, signed by the buyer, that the vehicle will be operated from that address.

3. The sale of tangible personal property if the seller is obligated to furnish the property for a fixed price pursuant to a contract entered into prior to the operative date of this initiative.

4. A lease of tangible personal property which is a continuing sale of such property, for any period of time for which the lessor is obligated to lease the property for an amount fixed by the lease prior to the operative date of this initiative.
5. For the purposes of subparagraphs (3) and (4) of this section, the sale or lease of tangible personal property shall be deemed not to be obligated pursuant to a contract or lease for any period of time for which any party to the contract or lease has the unconditional right to terminate the contract or lease upon notice, whether or not such right is exercised.
13.03 There are exemptions from the use tax imposed by this initiative, the storage, use or other consumption in this City of Red Bluff of tangible personal property:
1. The gross receipts from the sale of which have been subject to a transactions tax under any state-administered transactions and use tax initiative.
2. Other than fuel or petroleum products purchased by operators of aircraft and used or consumed by such operators directly and exclusively in the use of such aircraft as common carriers of persons or property for hire or compensation under a certificate of public convenience and necessity issued pursuant to the laws of this State, the United States, or any foreign government. This exemption is in addition to the exemptions provided in Sections 6366 and 6366.1 of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California.
3. If the purchaser is obligated to purchase the property for a fixed price pursuant to a contract entered into prior to the operative date of this initiative.
4. If the possession of, or the exercise of any right or power over, the tangible personal property arises under a lease which is a continuing purchase of such property for any period of time for which the lessee is obligated to lease the property for an amount fixed by a lease prior to the operative date of this initiative.
5. For the purposes of subparagraphs (3) and (4) of this section, storage, use, or other consumption, or possession of, or exercise of any right or power over, tangible personal property shall be deemed not to be obligated pursuant to a contract or lease for any period of time for which any party to the contract or lease has the unconditional right to terminate the contract or lease upon notice, whether or not such right is exercised.
6. Except as provided in subparagraph (7), a retailer engaged in business in the City of Red Bluff shall not be required to collect use tax from the purchaser of tangible personal property, unless the retailer ships or delivers the property into the City of Red Bluff or participates within the City of Red Bluff in making the sale of the property, including, but not limited to, soliciting or receiving the order, either directly or indirectly, at a place of business of the retailer in the City of Red Bluff or through any representative, agent, canvasser, solicitor, subsidiary, or person in the City of Red Bluff under the authority of the retailer.
7. "A retailer engaged in business in the City of Red Bluff" shall also include any retailer of any of the following: vehicles subject to registration pursuant to Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 4000) of Division 3 of the Vehicle Code, aircraft licensed in compliance with Section 21411 of the Public Utilities Code, or undocumented vessels registered under Division 3.5 (commencing with Section 9840) of the Vehicle Code. That retailer shall be required to collect use tax from any purchaser who registers or licenses the vehicle, vessel, or aircraft at an address in the City of Red Bluff.
13.04 Any person subject to use tax under this initiative may credit against that tax any transactions tax or reimbursement for transactions tax paid to a district imposing, or retailer liable for a transactions tax pursuant to Part 1.6 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code with respect to the sale to the person of the property the storage, use or other consumption of which is subject to the use tax.

SECTION 14. PLACE OF SALE.

14.01 For the purposes of this Initiative, all retail transactions are consummated at the place of business of the retailer unless the tangible personal property sold is delivered by the retailer or his agent to an out-of-state destination or to a common carrier for delivery to an out- of-state destination. The gross receipts from such sales shall include delivery charges, when such charges are subject to the state sales and use tax, regardless of the place to which delivery is made. In the event a retailer has no permanent place of business in the state or has more than one place of business, the place or places at which the retail sales are consummated for the purpose of a transaction tax imposed by this Initiative shall be determined under rules and regulations to be prescribed and adopted by the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration.

SECTION 15. BONDING AUTHORITY.

15.01 The City of Red Bluff shall have the power to sell or issue, at any time, and from time to time, limited tax bonds payable from and secured by the proceeds from the sales tax authorized by this Initiative in order to finance and refinance the projects identified in the Expenditure Plan.
15.02 The maximum bonded indebtedness which may be outstanding at any one time shall be an amount equal to the sum of the principal of, and interest on, the bonds, but not to exceed the estimated proceeds of the tax. The amount of bonds outstanding at any one time does not include the amount of bonds, refunding bonds, or bond anticipation notes for which funds necessary for the payment thereof have been set aside for that purpose in a trust or escrow account.

SECTION 16. AUTHORITY COMMITTEES.

16.01 The following committee structure is established to advise the Red Bluff City Council in the administration of the Expenditure Plan and this Initiative:
1. Measure ___ Oversight Committee is established to review the independent fiscal audit of the expenditure of the tax funds and issue an annual report on its findings regarding compliance with the requirements of the Expenditure Plan and the Initiative to the Citizens of Red Bluff. The Measure ___ Oversight Committee is responsible for oversight of the proper use of sales tax funds and implementation of the programs and projects set forth in the Expenditure Plan and making recommendations to the Red Bluff City Council. The committee is not a policy making body. The Measure ___ Oversight Committee shall consist of 5 residents, business owners, property owners within the City of Red Bluff, in any combination thereof as long as there is at least one resident, one business owner and one property owner on the Committee. Members of the Measure ___ Oversight Committee shall not be members of the Red Bluff City Council nor the Tehama County Board of Supervisors, nor employees of either the City of Red Bluff or the County of Tehama.

SECTION 17. CREATION OF SEPARATE ACCOUNT.

17.01 All retail transaction and use tax revenue, plus interest, will be deposited in a special fund for the projects identified in the Expenditure Plan. The fund(s) authorized under this Initiative will be administered by the City of Red Bluff.
17.02 The City of Red Bluff shall allocate funds to projects and programs identified in the Expenditure Plan as necessary to meet contractual and program obligations. The City of Red Bluff may allocate funds as described but may reserve the right not to disburse monies until needed to meet contractual project or program obligations. Any interest earned on funds allocated pursuant to this Initiative shall be expended only for those purposes for which the funds were allocated.

SECTION 18. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT.

18.01 This Initiative is not a project as defined in Section 15378 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and is therefore exempt from CEQA requirements. Prior to the commencement of any project included in the Expenditure Plan, any necessary environmental review required by CEQA shall be completed.

SECTION 19. IMPLEMENTING INITIATIVE.

19.01 Upon approval of this Initiative by the voters, the City of Red Bluff may, in addition to the local rules required to be provided pursuant to this Initiative, adopt implementing initiatives, rules and administrative procedures, and take such other actions as may be necessary and appropriate to carry out its responsibilities to implement the Expenditure Plan.

SECTION 20. DESIGNATION OF FACILITIES.

20.01 Each project or program in excess of five-hundred thousand dollars ($500,000) funded in whole or in part by revenues from this Initiative shall be clearly designated in writing by signs and/or documents, during its construction or implementation as being funded by revenues from this Initiative.

SECTION 21. CONTRACTING FOR PROJECT DELIVERY.

21.01 The City of Red Bluff shall have the power to contract for project delivery of any project or program of the Expenditure Plan in the City of Red Bluff.

SECTION 22. ANNUAL REPORT.

22.01 An annual report will be prepared by the City of Red Bluff within one hundred eighty days (180) of the end of the fiscal year identifying the actions and accomplishments of the City in meeting the adopted Expenditure Plan.

SECTION 23. SEVERABILITY.

23.01. If any provision of this initiative or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the initiative and the application of such provision to other persons or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

SECTION 24. ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS LIMIT.

24.01. Article XIIIB of the California Constitution requires the establishment of an annual appropriations limit for certain governmental entities. The maximum annual appropriations limit for the City of Red Bluff has been established as twenty-three million ($23M) dollars. The appropriations limit shall be subject to adjustment as provided by law. All expenditures of the retail transaction and use tax revenues authorized pursuant to this Initiative are subject to the appropriations limit of the City of Red Bluff.

SECTION 25. ENJOINING COLLECTION FORBIDDEN.

25.01. No injunction or writ of mandate or other legal or equitable process shall issue in any suit, action or proceeding in any court against the State or the City of Red Bluff, or against any officer of the State or the City of Red Bluff, to prevent or enjoin the collection under this initiative, or Part 1.6 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, of any tax or any amount of tax required to be collected.

SECTION 26. CAPTIONS.

26.01. The titles and headings to the sections set forth in this Initiative are not part of this Initiative and shall have no effect upon the construction or interpretation of any part hereof.

SECTION 27. EFFECTIVE DATE.

27.01. This initiative relates to the levying and collecting of the City of Red Bluff transactions and use taxes and shall take effect immediately.

SECTION 28. TERMINATION DATE.

28.01 The authority to levy the tax imposed by this initiative shall expire March 31, 2038.

____

DANIELE EYESTONE, Proponent

EXHIBIT “A”

Expenditure Plan

Citizen Initiative for the City of Red Bluff Expenditure Plan

State and federal transportation funding has dropped severely in recent years, with once dependable funds becoming increasingly unreliable. Transportation’s primary fund source, the gas tax, and our vehicles are more fuel-efficient than ever with the State of California push to EV and alternative fuels vehicles, which further erodes revenue generated by the gas tax. Therefore, the revenues available to operate, maintain and improve our local transportation system have not kept up with the needs of the City. Over the next decade, City of Red Bluff residents will experience a sharp decline in the condition of the City’s local streets.

Based upon a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) survey that was completed in December 2023, the average PCI of Red Bluff streets is 39 out of 100. Projections show the average PCI would drop to 20 over the next decade if nothing is done to improve street conditions.

A new, reliable source of transportation funding is needed to maintain our City’s streets.

City of Red Bluff voters are being asked to approve a twelve (12) year, one (1%) cent sales tax to address these needs. Twenty-four (24) other counties in California have already approved similar ballot measures making them ‘Self-Help’ Counties. With these voter-approved local transportation funds, Self-Help Counties are able to maintain and improve their transportation systems. They are also more successful in competing for funding and leveraging a larger share of state and federal dollars. Self-Help funds generated must stay local and can only be spent on transportation.

The City of Red Bluff Expenditure Plan (“Plan”) was developed through a comprehensive review and examination of the Pavement Condition Index survey, which is a product of the City’s Pavement Management Program (PMP). The Plan outlines the streets set for improvement and includes costs for engineering design, adjacent ADA improvements, construction, and construction management.

Self-Help in the City of Red Bluff would:

- Generate approximately five million one hundred thousand dollars ($5.1M) per year based on one (1%) cent sales tax for an estimated total of sixty one million two hundred thousand dollars ($61.2M) over the course of the measure’s lifetime,
- Be required to be used for LOCAL projects and LOCAL priorities to benefit only Red Bluff, prohibiting Sacramento from taking possession of these funds;
- Be subject to strict accountability including annual financial audits and full public disclosure to ensure funds are used efficiently, effectively, and as promised.
- Allow the City of Red Bluff to compete for State and Federal matching funds and grants, thereby increasing revenues;
- Deliver an Expenditure Plan with a specific list of projects; and
- Include an Oversight Committee to ensure projects and programs in the Plan are actually funded and/or completed

Citizens Initiative for the City of Red Bluff Expenditure Plan

Revenue Estimates and Distribution

Over the course of the twelve (12) year plan, it is estimated that sixty-one million, two hundred thousand dollars ($61.2M) will be generated for local transportation investments. This amount was calculated based on a 10-year average of sales tax revenues throughout the City of Red Bluff that was then reduced to reflect a conservative estimate.

The estimated revenue and allocation among categories is based upon 2024 value of money and is not binding or controlling.

This funding will serve as an investment that will leverage future local, State and Federal grant opportunities. Funds may be used for all phases of project implementation, including planning, environmental, permits, and design, right-of-way, and/or construction capital and operations projects. State and federal fund sources that may also be used to implement transportation projects and programs in the next decade include the State Regional Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), Senate Bill 1 (SB1), Inter-regional Transportation Improvement Program (ITIP), Fixing America’s Surface Transportation (FAST) Act Freight and Highway Projects Program, Congestion Mitigation Air Quality.

All revenue estimates and allocations contained in this Plan are for illustrative purposes. Actual net revenues may fall above or below the projections contained in the Expenditure Plan, therefore actual revenue allocations to each category will be based on revenue received by year.

Local Control 100%

Local Streets, 100%, $61,200,000

Recognizing that streets are the backbone of our transportation system, this Plan provides funds to the City of Red Bluff, distributed primarily based on sales tax revenues generated to support local streets. These funds will be used exclusively for repair and maintenance – no new streets will be funded. These funds must be used to augment current transportation spending and cannot be used to replace a local agency’s general fund expenditures.

Available uses for the Local Control funding category include:

- Fixing potholes,
- Maintaining local streets and roads,
- Providing safe routes to school for children,
- Improving safety for drivers, pedestrians, and cyclists,
- Maintaining, improving or constructing streets, bridges, and bicycle and pedestrian facilities,
- Community Enhancements, such as downtown streetscapes, ADA improvements, transportation enhancements, way finding, and accessibility improvements, street lighting, street furniture and trees,
- Programs that reduce transportation demand,
- Storm damage repair and improvements to transportation facilities
- Street drainage facilities,

- Traffic signal coordination, intersection and channelization, and
- Traffic management.

Safeguards Built Into The Plan

This Expenditure Plan includes strong taxpayer safeguards to ensure that the projects approved by the voters are funded and delivered.

Citizen Oversight

An Oversight Committee will be created to provide an enhanced level of accountability for expenditures made under the Plan to ensure that all voter mandates are carried out and that the financial integrity and performance of the program is maintained. The Oversight Committee will include five members, which will include at least one resident, one business owner and one property owner within the City of Red Bluff limits.

Annual Independent Audit and Annual Reporting

Annual fiscal and compliance audits will be conducted by a CPA, to assure that the revenues expended are necessary and reasonable. The audits and annual Report must be published and made available to the public.

Sunset Date

This measure terminates in twelve (12) years.

No revenue generated from this tax shall be used to replace fair share contribution from new development.

Revenues provided from this measure shall not be used to replace private developer funding that has been or will be committed for any project to help alleviate the direct traffic impacts of any new or redeveloped residential, commercial or industrial development in the City of Red Bluff.

Strategic Plan

The City of Red Bluff will prepare and adopt a Local Streets Strategic Plan (LSSP) within twelve (12) months of the sales tax taking effect. The LSSP will include project cost estimates, revenue estimates, other matching funds, and a draft timeline for project delivery. Its prime purpose is to clarify program and project costs, schedule, financial plans and project readiness to expedite project delivery and to allow projects that are 'ready' to proceed forward within the parameters of the Expenditure Plan.

STREET SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND ROAD TAX CITIZEN INITIATIVE (Street SMART Citizen Initiative)

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC

THIS PETITION MAY BE CIRCULATED BY A PAID SIGNATURE GATHERER OR BY A VOLUNTEER. INFORMATION REGARDING PERSONS AND ENTITIES FINANCING THIS PETITION SHALL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO ANYONE WHO IS ASKED TO SIGN THIS PETITION. **SIGN ONLY IF IT IS THE SAME MONTH SHOWN IN THE OFFICIAL TOP FUNDERS OR YOU SAW AN "OFFICIAL TOP FUNDERS" SHEET FOR THIS MONTH.** YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO ASK.

1	Printed Name: ______ Signature: ______	Residence Address: ______ City: ______	
2	Printed Name: ______ Signature: ______	Residence Address: ______ City: ______	
3	Printed Name: ______ Signature: ______	Residence Address: ______ City: ______	
4	Printed Name: ______ Signature: ______	Residence Address: ______ City: ______	

5	Printed Name: Signature:	Residence Address: City:	
6	Printed Name: Signature:	Residence Address: City:	
7	Printed Name: Signature:	Residence Address: City:	
8	Printed Name: Signature:	Residence Address: City:	
9	Printed Name: Signature:	Residence Address: City:	
10	Printed Name: Signature:	Residence Address: City:	
11	Printed Name: Signature:	Residence Address: City:	
12	Printed Name: Signature:	Residence Address: City:	

13	Printed Name: _____________________________________ Signature: _____________________________________	Residence Address: _____________________________________ City: _____________________________________	
14	Printed Name: _____________________________________ Signature: _____________________________________	Residence Address: _____________________________________ City: _____________________________________	
15	Printed Name: _____________________________________ Signature: _____________________________________	Residence Address: _____________________________________ City: _____________________________________	
16	Printed Name: _____________________________________ Signature: _____________________________________	Residence Address: _____________________________________ City: _____________________________________	
17	Printed Name: _____________________________________ Signature: _____________________________________	Residence Address: _____________________________________ City: _____________________________________	
18	Printed Name: _____________________________________ Signature: _____________________________________	Residence Address: _____________________________________ City: _____________________________________	

DECLARATION OF PERSON CIRCULATING SECTION OF INITIATIVE PETITION

COUNTY OF TEHAMA)
STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

I,____, under penalty and perjury and under the laws of the State of California declare:

That I am at least 18 years of age, that all of the signatures on the voter signature sheets of this petition section were made in my presence and were observed by me; that all of the sheets constituting this petition section were fastened together at the time such signatures were made; that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, such signatures are the genuine signatures of the individuals who signed the petition, that I showed each signer a valid unfalsified "official top funders" sheet, as required by Elections Code Section 107; and that the signatures were obtained between

Beginning date of Circulation: ____________________
End date of Circulation____________________
Date and place of Signing by Circulator____
Circulator's Printed Name____
Circulator's Address____
If the circulator's affidavit of authenticity is unsigned, the signatures on that petition section are not valid.

555 Washington Street
Red Bluff, CA 96080
(530) 527-2605

City Council

Staff Report

File #: 0237 **Agenda Date:** 7/9/2026 **Agenda #:** 5.

TO: **Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council**

FROM: **Scott Miller, Public Works Director**

SUBJECT:
South Jackson Lift Station Change Order and Supplemental Appropriation

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
That the City Council authorize and approve as follows:

1. Authorize Change Order #1, to Task Order No. 3 in the amount of **$31,400.**

2. Authorize a project specific supplemental appropriation for Fund 47-44-760220-0100 Wastewater Collection Maintenance - Improve Other Than Buildings in the amount of **$31,400.**

SUMMARY:
During the course of design services under Task Order No. 3 for the South Jackson St, Sewer - Phase 2 project, unforeseen additional design services are needed, which will require an authorized change order and supplemental appropriation to the Wastewater Collection Maintenance - Improve Other Than Buildings fund.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL ACTION:
On February 2, 2022, the City Council approved the selection of R.E.Y. Engineers, Inc as one of the On-Call Underground Utility Engineering Services firms.

On December 7, 2021, the City Council approved the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for the On-Call Underground Utility Engineering Services and authorized staff to advertise the RFQ.

DISCUSSION:
The City of Red Bluff awarded R.E.Y. Engineers, Inc. Task Order No.3 in the amount of $342,100 to provide engineering design services for the South Jackson St, Sewer - Phase 2 project under the Professional Services Agreement that the City Council authorized at the February 2, 2022, City Council meeting. During the design of the new facility, it was discovered that the SCADA system that the City uses for the balance of sewer facilities is no longer manufactured, nor supported. Additional engineering tasks are required to design a control and monitoring system that would be compatible with the existing system. Due to the complex and limited site geographic conditions and existing utility constraints, additional engineering will be required for a retaining wall keeping the structural improvements on level grade, while allowing creek and bridge maintenance equipment to pass through after the site is improved. These two unforeseen items required a request for Change Order #1 for additional engineering tasks.

CITY FISCAL IMPACT:
Supplemental Appropriation will be required for Fund 47-44-760220-0100 Wastewater Collection Maintenance - Improve Other Than Buildings in the amount of **$31,400** will be required as this was not included in the FY26/27 Budget. There will be no impact to the General Fund.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. S Jackson Sewer Pump Amendment 1

R.E.Y. ENGINEERS, INC.
CIVIL ENGINEERING | LAND SURVEYING | REMOTE SENSING

May 26, 2026
Robin Kampman, PE
City Engineer
City of Red Bluff
555 Washington Street
Red Bluff, CA 96080

sent via email to: rkampmann@northstareng.com, rkampmann@cityofredbluff.org

Subject: South Jackson Street Sewer Phase 2 Project – Amendment #1

Dear Ms. Kampmann,

We appreciate the opportunity to continue supporting the successful delivery of the **South Jackson Street Sewer Phase 2 Project**. As the design has progressed and the project's technical requirements have become more clearly defined, additional structural engineering expertise from Burne Engineering is necessary to ensure that specific project elements, such as grading along the eastern boundary of the project is addressed appropriately. Also, the need for an alternative controller type at the pump station has necessitated additional work for the electrical engineer to make the appropriate changes to the controller in the construction document package, in particular, for the pump station.

To that end, we respectfully request a contract amendment to incorporate additional structural engineering and pump station design services into the project. Specific tasks are as follows:

1. **Retaining Wall Design Along the Eastern Project Boundary**
   Structural design and analysis of a retaining wall required at the project boundary, including evaluation of site constraints, preparation of plans, specs, calculations and a cost estimate for construction.
2. **Revision of Construction documents for pump station** to ensure compliance with new controller type.

Fee for Additional Services
The proposed fee for the services described above is **$31,400**.

We appreciate your consideration of this request. Please let us know if you would like to discuss this amendment in more detail or require additional documentation. Thank you for your continued partnership.

Sincerely,

Veronica Garcia-McGrew

Veronica Garcia-McGrew, PE,
Project Manager